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1. SUMMARY

Alberta metallic and industrial minerals permit 9395020018, herein referred to as Otauwau Area Property, located south of Mitsu Lake, Alberta in the northeastern part of the Swan Hills was further explored for primary diamond deposits. A number of anomalous areas depicted from several high resolution aeromagnetic (HRAM) surveys acquired from Spectra Exploration Geoscience Corporation and Terraquest Ltd. along with areas recommended by Halferdahl & Associates Ltd. were investigated.

756736 Alberta Ltd. conducted ground magnetic surveys to more precisely localize the source or possible sources, of some of the aeromagnetic anomalies. Several topographic circular oval-shaped physiographic features were also investigated.

2. INTRODUCTION

During 1997 through to early 2001 exploration for primary diamond deposits was conducted within the Otauwau property. These activities included the acquisition of high-resolution aeromagnetic data from Spectra Exploration Geoscience Corp. and Terraquest Ltd.; ground magnetometer surveys by Blanket Earth Resources Ltd. in conjunction with 756736 Alberta Ltd.; and a brief review of aerial photographs, digital elevation data, and other publicly available information by Halferdahl & Associates Ltd.. Assessment reports, '1997 and Early 1998 Exploration of the Lesser Slave Lake Property', '1998 - 1999 Exploration of the Lesser Slave Lake Otauwau Area Property', and '1999 - 2001 Exploration of the Lesser Slave Lake Otauwau Area Property', describes the exploration conducted.

The assessment report herein, describes the exploration conducted at the Otauwau Area Property during 2001 through early 2003. It has been prepared by 756736 Alberta Ltd. who is the owner of the metallic and industrial minerals permit 9395020018.
3. LOCATION AND ACCESS

Property Location

The property is located in north-central Alberta, about 200 km northwest of the City of Edmonton and 13 km southeast of the town of Slave Lake. The property extends from 55°06'30" to 55°12'00" north latitude and 114°27'30" to 114°37'00" west longitude, within NTS map sheets 83 O/1 and O/2.

Property Access

The property is accessible by graveled oil and gas service roads leading from Highway 2, 16 km east of the town of Slave Lake, due south of the Mitsu Industrial Area turn-off approximately 4 km. (Fig. 3.1). There are many logging and oilfield service roads throughout the exploration area (Fig. 6.1). Seismic line, pipeline, and power-line lines provide all-terrain vehicle or snow-machine access to remote areas of the property.

Infrastructure near the area includes accommodations, food, and vehicles at Slave Lake.

Property Geology

Most of the property was devastated by a major forest fire that occurred in July 1998 and was extensively logged for salvage during the exploration period. The property also contains substantial amounts of oilfield culture. Economic activities in the area are dominated by logging and timber operations and oil and gas exploration and development. The property is in the northeastern part of Swan Hills within the hydrographic basin of the Otauwau River.
4. EXPLORATION

Work Description

Between Feb 27, 2001 and Feb 27, 2003, 756736 Alberta Ltd. carried out more preliminary ground follow-ups of the HRAM anomalies and other features that were identified in a preceding report¹. Other lower intensity HRAM anomalies² and several circular physiographical features were flagged and ground magnetic survey follow-ups were done on several of these.

Site Selection

The property contained considerable amounts of cultural interference complicating selection. HRAM data processing and editing may have eliminated geographically significant anomalous not shown on the aeromagnetic maps but that perhaps could be confirmed by ground measurements. Raw data processing using Geosoft Software showed that there were several small anomalies that do not have verified cultural sources.

The strategy chosen was to profile areas of the property that were indicated as weak magnetic anomalies on the HRMS flyby then using this information we discarded unlikely prospects, downsizing being the key objective. Profiles were flagged and stations measured using hip chain, compass, and GPS on sixteen of these areas. The length of the profile chosen depended on the terrain and the data available. Many planned profiles were abandoned when it became evident that the anomalies were attributable to man made cultural interference.

Data collected for each profile was uploaded and processed at a later date (For data collection methods, processing methods and equipment used see Appendix 2 – Methods of Ground Magnetic Surveying Employed).


Table 4.1 Exploration, Grid Flagging, Soil Sampling, and Magnetic Ground Survey Locations, February, 2001 - 2003.

Locations of field work performed by 756736 Alberta Ltd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Identifier</th>
<th>UTM Easting</th>
<th>UTM Northing</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
<th>Distance in meters</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Shown in Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P5214</td>
<td>652840</td>
<td>6114580</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>Oct 14/01</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5314</td>
<td>653610</td>
<td>6114000</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>Oct 15/01</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5613</td>
<td>656580</td>
<td>6113680</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>Oct 17/01</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5611</td>
<td>656390</td>
<td>6111720</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>Oct 18/01</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tp. 71 R4W5</td>
<td>Sections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 11/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 12/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 13/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 14/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 15/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 16/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4, 9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 17/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 18/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 19/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Exploration &amp; Deculturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 20/01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings

P5214 – This magnetic profile varied by less than 5 nT once the noise spikes attributed to near surface magnetic rocks were filtered out.

P5314 – This magnetic profile varied by about 5 nT once the noise spikes attributed to near surface magnetic rocks were filtered out.

P5611 – This magnetic profile varied by about 5 nT once the noise spikes attributed to near surface magnetic rocks were filtered out.

P5613 – This magnetic profile varied by about 10 nT once the noise spikes attributed to near surface magnetic rocks were filtered out. The variations appear to be the influence of proximity to the Otawau River and its old channel beds. The higher variations may be due to natural placer concentrations of iron particles.
5. CONCLUSIONS

The ground magnetic profiles conducted do not confirm the presence of anything significant in the selected weak anomalies depicted on the aeromagnetic survey maps and none of the areas investigated warrant further exploration. The results were not unexpected. The strategy taken was to investigate the weaker anomalies and use this information to downsize the exploration area. Many other small anomalies were confirmed oil field culture and those profiles were abandoned. All anomalies depicted on the aeromagnetic map should still be investigated.

6. PERMIT TABULATION

TABLE 6.1 Property Descriptions and Location Permit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area:</td>
<td>1616 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Figure:</td>
<td>Fig. 6.1 Property Map - Retained Active Area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The areas retained were selected using selection criteria suggested by Halferdahl & Associates Ltd., topographic circular/oval-shaped physiographic features; anomalies depicted on 1997 Spectra Exploration Geoscience Corp. and Terraquest Ltd. HRAM survey maps; ground magnetometer surveys; and an extensive review of aerial photographs, digital elevation data, topographic maps and other publicly available information, by 756736 Alberta Ltd..
Table 6.1 lists the areas of the permits that 756736 Alberta Ltd. wants retained. Figure 6.1 should also depict this same information. If there is a discrepancy between the table and the figure, please use the information depicted in the figure. Cancel all shaded areas of the original permits as depicted in figure 6.1.

Distances Gridded and Surveyed

Total ground magnetic survey line/km = 3.85

Exploration Expenditures


Please allocate the exploration expenditures to the Retained Active Area.

For a summary of expenditures see Appendix 1 – Statement of Reasonable Expenditures. Retained Active Areas are depicted in Fig. 6.1. (A detailed breakdown of dates, activities and equipment used has been retained and is available upon request.)

Metallic and industrial minerals permit 9395020018 is held privately by 756736 Alberta Ltd. and exploration expenditures are not financed by share holders.

7. QUALIFICATIONS

Qualifications and work experience of the author of this report:

Education:

Work experience:
Many years experience as a Technical Systems Analyst working with complex computer systems, programming, troubleshooting, interfacing devices, etc.

I have no formal training in Geology. Prospecting is only a hobby.

August Hangartner
Part time prospector, Leduc, Alberta

Distribution:
Minister of Energy: 2 copies
756736 Alberta Ltd. 2 copies
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Appendix 1: Statement of Reasonable Expenditures
Metallic and Industrial Minerals Permit 9395020018, Otauwau Block.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rates</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salary and Wages</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Hangartner - consultations, data processing, drafting, exploration, ground magnetometer surveys, gridding, mineral sampling, reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-travel</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>22 dy</td>
<td>$440.00</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 per.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- total travel time for services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- meals &amp; lodging - total meal expenses for services</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>22 dy</td>
<td>$440.00</td>
<td>$1,125.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 per.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- total accommodations expenses for services</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>22 nt</td>
<td>$660.00</td>
<td>$25.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- field supplies - cords, batteries, ribbon, hip chain, etc.</td>
<td>$25.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25.25</td>
<td>$1,125.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td>$1,125.25</td>
<td>$1,125.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rental Equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- truck rental, 3/4 ton</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>13 dy</td>
<td>$1,040.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- GSM-19 Magnetometer rental</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td>4 dy</td>
<td>$216.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- GSM-19 Magnetometer Base Station rental</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td>4 dy</td>
<td>$216.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- pentium computer system rental</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>8 dy</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- data logging device rental</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>4 dy</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- global positioning system rental</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>8 dy</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- gridding equipment rental</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>4 dy</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- lap top CPU pentium rental</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>10 dy</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- quad 6x6 rental</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>11 dy</td>
<td>$1,100.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- utility trailer rental</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>11 dy</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
<td>$3,677.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office Charges, Administrative, General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- phone, internet, Fax, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>$335.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- office supplies, paper, ink carts., lamination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$85.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td>$335.50</td>
<td>$335.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,637.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above is a summary of reasonable expenditures ascribed from quoted commercial equipment rental rates less 10 or 20%. Many, many more man hours than the summary above indicates were spent on this project, and one could reasonably ascribe some $50.00 per man hour to work of this nature in professional fees, however, this would be an unreasonable amount to justify considering the qualifications of the exploration teams, therefore, per man hour and the shortened claimed duration should be more appropriate.

I, August Hangartner, hereby certify that the costs as outlined above for the assessment of metallic and industrial permit 9395020018 for Feb 2001 - 2003, were expended as indicated.
Appendix 2: Methods of Ground Magnetic Surveying Employed.

Collection Method

The magnetic surveys were performed using an Overhauser Model GMS-19 Memory Magnetometer carried by the operator devoid of any magnetic materials and other ferrous metals. The operator walked each survey line, recording continuous time and magnetic intensity readings at 3 second intervals. At fixed stations along each survey line, the exact time of arrival and the location of the station were logged for post processing.

The base magnetometer, an Overhauser Model GSM-19 located at a fixed position operating in base mode, recorded continuous time and magnetometer readings at 3 second intervals for post processing diurnal correction. Both units are proton magnetometers with omnidirectional sensors.

Processing Method

The collected data: base (time and reading), mobile (time, reading and location) and the GPS readings - were downloaded in the field to a Pentium II/266 based laptop processor for post processing and plotting at a later date.

Post Processing: Using a program, written in Microsoft Access on a Pentium II/300 PC processor, variations of the base station were subtracted from the field mobile instrument data to give a data set which varies only with position. The GPS information was used to map the survey and the survey description was used to scale the location of each station. The logged location time and survey reading time were used to correlate measurements with location. The data collected at each station is therefore attributable to local variations in magnetic materials in the underlying rocks. Another Microsoft Access program module was used to process the data collected at 3 second intervals by spacing the readings evenly between the station locations at which they occurred. The addition of the latter process gives a more accurate presentation of what data might be present between stations.

The data were then contoured using Geosoft Oasis Software. The maps produced represent a profile of the magnetic field intensity measurements which in turn are determined from a survey of equally spaced points between nodes that have been interpolated from the original data.