MAR 19950017: OBED/HINTON

Received date: Sep 15, 1995

Public release date: Sep 16, 1996

DISCLAIMER

By accessing and using the Alberta Energy website to download or otherwise obtain a scanned mineral assessment report, you ("User") agree to be bound by the following terms and conditions:

- a) Each scanned mineral assessment report that is downloaded or otherwise obtained from Alberta Energy is provided "AS IS", with no warranties or representations of any kind whatsoever from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Energy ("Minister"), expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, no warranties or other representations from the Minister, regarding the content, accuracy, reliability, use or results from the use of or the integrity, completeness, quality or legibility of each such scanned mineral assessment report;
- b) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable laws, the Minister hereby expressly disclaims, and is released from, liability and responsibility for all warranties and conditions, expressed or implied, in relation to each scanned mineral assessment report shown or displayed on the Alberta Energy website including but not limited to warranties as to the satisfactory quality of or the fitness of the scanned mineral assessment reports and warranties as to the non-infringement or other non-violation of the proprietary rights held by any third party in respect of the scanned mineral assessment report;
- c) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents, exclude and disclaim liability to the User for losses and damages of whatsoever nature and howsoever arising including, without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, consequential, punitive or incidental damages, loss of use, loss of data, loss caused by a virus, loss of income or profit, claims of third parties, even if Alberta Energy have been advised of the possibility of such damages or losses, arising out of or in connection with the use of the Alberta Energy website, including the accessing or downloading of the scanned mineral assessment report and the use for any purpose of the scanned mineral assessment report.
- d) User agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents against and from any and all third party claims, losses, liabilities, demands, actions or proceedings related to the downloading, distribution, transmissions, storage, redistribution, reproduction or exploitation of each scanned mineral assessment report obtained by the User from Alberta Energy.

Alberta

Alberta Mineral Assessment Reporting System

19950017

REPORT

on the

HINTON PROPERTY

ALBERTA

۲

NTS 83E 9,16 83F 11-14 83L 1-3

for

Montello Resources Ltd. Suite 1473, Three Bentall Centre 595 Burrard Street P.O. Box 49057 Vancouver, BC V7X 1C4

by

Discovery Consultants 201 - 2928 29th Street Vernon, BC V1T 5A6

William R. Gilmour, P.Geo. February 3, 1995

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	Page	1
INTRODUCTION	Page	2
LOCATION AND ACCESS	Page	2
GEOGRAPHY	Page	3
PROPERTY	Page	3
HISTORY	Page	4
REGIONAL GEOLOGY	Page	5
PROPERTY GEOLOGY	Page	5
SURFICIAL GEOLOGY	Page	6
ECONOMIC GEOLOGY	Page	6
REVIEW OF AIRBORNE MAGNETIC SURVEY	Page	8
REVIEW OF MINERALOGICAL SURVEYS	Page	9
CONCLUSIONS	Page	13
RECOMMENDATIONS	Page	15
PROPOSED BUDGET	Page	16
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	Page	17

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1 Index Map Following Page 1 Figure 2 Location Map showing Following Page 2 Property Outline Figure 3 Permit Location and Numbers, In Pocket Aeromagnetic Anomalies, Heavy Mineral Sample Locations and Glacial Features (1:100,000)

Figure 4 Heavy Mineral Stream Sediment Following Page 10 Samples: Pinto Creek Area (Tp.56 R.25) (1:15,000)

TABLE

Table 1

Heavy Mineral Sampling Following Page 9

APPENDICES

Appendix A	A	Table	2:	Per	mit	Data	
Appendix 1	В	Statem	ent	of	Qual	ificati	ons

SUMMARY

The Hinton property is situated in a geological setting suitable for the occurrence of diamondiferous lamproite and kimberlite diatremes. Mineral grains indicative of lamproite or kimberlite have been recovered from stream sediments and glacial till, suggesting the presence of lamproite or kimberlite on the property. Microdiamonds have been recovered from stream sediments in a creek and they indicate a nearby source. An airborne survey has given magnetic responses which may reflect lamproite or kimberlite diatremes.

A work program is recommended, including detailed follow-up ground magnetic and electromagnetic surveys over selected airborne targets, evaluation of these geophysical anomalies by indicator mineral geochemistry, and testing of possible diamondiferous diatremes by core drilling. A \$610,000 budget for the recommended work is proposed.





INTRODUCTION

This report on the Hinton property has been prepared at the request of Mr. Patrick Power, president of Montello Resources Ltd. The writer visited the property in May of 1994 and this report is based on the results of that field investigation and a review of data reported from various sources, including Dighem Surveys and Processing Inc., Montello Resources Ltd., Loring Laboratories Ltd., C.F. Mineral Research Ltd., Lakefield Research, New Claymore Resources Ltd. and Troymin Resources Ltd.

The property is located north of Hinton, Alberta, northeast of the Rocky Mountains Foothills.

The exploration work to date includes a 21,500 line-km airborne magnetic survey and 62 heavy mineral stream sediment samples, from 51 sites, collected and processed.

This report reviews the exploration results and recommends additional exploration for diamonds.

LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Hinton property is located north of Hinton, Alberta, and extends from Obed in the southeast to the Smoky River in the northwest (NTS: 83E 9,16; 83F 11-14; 83L 1-3) (Figure 2). The most southerly boundary is at 53°34'N Latitude, most easterly at 117°05'W Longitude, most northerly at 54°09'N and most westerly at 119°10'W. The distance from the southeast corner to the northwest corner is approximately 150 kilometres.

Access to Hinton, 15 km south of the property, is provided by Highway 16 (Edmonton is 275 km to the east), Highway 40 and railroad. Other important towns in the area are Grand Cache, 115 km northwest and Edson, 75 km east. The property can be fairly well accessed by timber and energy (gas, oil, coal) roads. Seismic lines provide good all-terrain-vehicle (ATV) access, especially when the ground is frozen.

2



<u>GEOGRAPHY</u>

The Hinton property is on the southern Canadian Interior Plains, except for the extreme western tip which extends into the Rocky Mountain Foothills.

The Athabasca River Valley separates the Alberta Plateau to the north from the Alberta Plain to the south. The mid-boreal forest covers the property, interspersed with bogs in local lowlands.

Elevations range from 1570 metres in the Foothills to 900 metres in the Athabasca River Valley. The topographic relief is generally low to moderate except where locally incised along river and creek valleys.

The main drainage pattern is to the northeast, perpendicular to the trend of the Rocky Mountains.

The climate during the late fall, winter and early spring is generally cold and snowy, and is not suitable for surface sampling or mapping. However, during this period the frozen ground permits good access for drill equipment.

PROPERTY

The Hinton property comprises 46 Metallic and Industrial Minerals Permits, totalling 377,093 hectares (942,733 acres), situated north of Hinton, from Obed in the southeast to the Smoky River in the northwest (Figure 2). Note that the area in hectares is approximate, as a factor of 2.5 acres per hectare (ha), instead of 2.471 acres per hectare, was used in the permit applications; there are 9,324 hectares in a township (36 sections). The property is elongated in an east-west direction; maximum 135 km distance, with the maximum north-south distance being 68 km. The vast majority of the property lies within the Edson Forest district.

The property is subject to various agreements among Montello Resources Ltd., Troymin Resources Ltd., New Claymore Resources Ltd., Rich Resource Investments Ltd. and Luscar Ltd. Montello, the operator, has the right to acquire certain interests in the property by spending specific amounts on mineral exploration and by making certain cash payments.

A listing of permit information is presented in Table 2 in Appendix A.

HISTORY

No exploration for diamonds is known to have occurred on the Hinton property prior to 1993. The following is a summary of the exploration work carried out since 1993.

- Reconnaissance heavy mineral stream sampling for lamproite/kimberlite-indicator minerals was carried out by New Claymore in the fall of 1993; 22 samples collected, 4 processed for indicator minerals.
- 2. Dighem airborne magnetic survey was carried out during May to July, 1994; 21,500 line-km flown.
- 3. The writer spent 3 days on the property on behalf of Montello in May 1994. Work included discussions with Dighem personnel, orientation ground magnetic surveys to correlate airborne anomalies with possible cultural effects and a brief reconnaissance survey of bedrock and surficial geology.
- Reconnaissance and follow-up heavy mineral stream sampling for lamproite/kimberlite-indicator minerals and/or microdiamonds was carried out by Montello in 1994; 64 samples collected; 45 processed.
- 5. Till and rock sampling was carried out by Luscar in 1994; 6 samples collected and processed for indicator minerals.
- 6. Follow-up heavy mineral stream sediment sampling was carried out by C.F. Mineral Research in 1994; 6 samples collected and processed.

Some of the processed samples were later sent to other labs for additional processing and analysis.

4

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The Hinton property is situated in west-central Alberta on the North American Craton near its western boundary, and immediately east of the Cordillera. The craton comprises the Chinchaga and Wabamun accreted Proterozoic (1.9 to 2.4 Ga) terranes (Ross, 1991), which may contain a significant Archean component (Villeneuve et al, 1993).

A major structural feature, the Snowbird Tectonic Zone, is located south of the property.

The craton is overlain by sedimentary rocks of Paleozoic through Cenozoic age. To the southwest, Paleozoic through Tertiary rocks have been folded and thrust faulted in the Rocky Mountains and the Foothills (Price, 1977).

A sedimentary basin (Alberta Syncline) was developed to the northeast, parallel to the main fold and thrust belts, during the Upper Cretaceous and Paleocene.

PROPERTY GEOLOGY

Precambrian and Paleozoic rocks are covered by several kilometres of Cretaceous to Tertiary sedimentary rocks.

Non-marine sandstones, shales and minor conglomerate and coal of the Paleocene Paskapoo Formation cover most of the property.

To the southwest, parallel to the northwest trend of the Rocky Mountains, a band of the Brazeau Formation is exposed. These similar Upper Cretaceous rocks are older sequences of the same basin containing the Paskapoo. Published maps do not always agree on the location of the Paskapoo/Brazeau contact.

The extreme western portion of the property extends into the Foothills.

SURFICIAL GEOLOGY

Pre-glacial, late Tertiary gravels, from easterly flowing rivers, overlie the Paleocene Paskapoo Formation in places (Roed, 1975).

Mapping in an area covering the eastern portion of the property shows as many as five glacier advances with two main Cordilleran (Marlboro, Obed) and two main Laurentide (Mayberne, Edson) till sheets (Roed, 1975). In this area the Cordilleran ice-flow directions range from about 350° to 080° azimuth, with the most common range being 010° to 020° (Figure 3). Some Laurentide deposits occur up to 15 km south of a later Laurentide-Cordilleran glacier contact, shown in Figure 3, creating an overlap zone. In a general sense this contact bisects the property in a west-northwest direction.

The most common till, the Marlboro, ranges from 0.3 m thick on the upland to >30 m thick in buried valleys, and is generally about 3 m thick. The younger Obed till, averaging about 4.5 m thick, is generally restricted to a 15 km wide corridor along portions of the Athabasca River valley.

Glaciofluvial deposits mainly occur in the valleys of the Athabasca and Wildhay Rivers. The thickness of outwash sediments in the Wildhay valley is commonly 18 m. As well, older sand and gravel deposits occur between till sheets.

The surficial deposits have likely played an important role in the present day distribution of heavy minerals. On the uplands the till is generally not thick enough to significantly hinder exploration.

ECONOMIC GEOLOGY

Diamonds are formed under high pressures, but moderate temperatures, beneath the keels of stable cratons in the earth's mantle. From a depth of about 200 km the diamonds are quickly carried by a partial melt, high into the crust, usually venting to surface as a gas-rich volcanic eruption. Most mining potential occurs in the diatreme vent (pipe) and crater. The concentration of diamonds, even in an economic pipe, is very low. However, the high value of gem quality diamonds can make this low concentration profitable. In the Slave Province in the Northwest Territories and in the Fort à la Corne area of Saskatchewan the diamondiferous rocks are classified as kimberlite, by far the most common host rock for diamonds worldwide. The Argyle mine in Australia is the only major economic diamond deposit hosted in lamproite.

A belt of kimberlites, lamproites and related rocks stretches from Arizona north to the MacKenzie Mountains (Fipke et al, 1989), following the trend of the western cordillera of North America. This geological setting, near the edge of the craton, is suitable for lamproite emplacement, which can occur either within or outside of archons (Archean portions of cratons) (Scott Smith, 1992). On the Hinton property the underlying Precambrian craton may contain rocks of Archean age.

The nearest diamond-bearing diatreme on which information has been published is the Jack Pipe (Fipke et al, 1989; Pell, 1994), 160 km south of Hinton in the Rocky Mountains of B.C.

The age of possible diamond-bearing diatremes may be significant in evaluating the potential for economic diamond pipes on the property. Rocks of about 60-65 million years age cover most of the property. If lamproitic or kimberlitic events took place at the same time as the Fort à la Corne province, 119 to 91 million years ago (Scott Smith et al, 1994), then any diatremes would be buried by about 3.5 km of subsequent sedimentation (Price, 1977). However, if the age is younger than the cover rocks at 60 million years, for example, similar to the 52 million year old Lac de Gras pipes, then although a pipe may have suffered erosion it would likely still be preserved at the bedrock surface. Kimberlite or lamproite intrusions in the Tertiary rocks, on most of the Hinton property, will not be folded or thrust faulted as may be similar-aged intrusions in the Rocky Mountains and Foothills (Price, 1977). A Tertiary event "... may well prove to be the dominant diamondiferous event on the North American continent based on early indications from the Lac de Gras area..." (Dufresne et al, 1994).

Although the Cretaceous/Tertiary sediments are easily eroded, lamproite and kimberlite may be even more recessive weathering, resulting in the covering of pipes by a greater thickness of till.

The discovery of a lamproitic or kimberlitic pipe on the Hinton property, diamondiferous or not, would be significant and further exploration would be warranted. Pipes tend to form in clusters and barren and economic pipes can often occur in close proximity. It is also possible that diamonds may occur in wellstratified crater/pyroclastic facies within the sedimentary sequence, as is the case at Fort à la Corne (Scott Smith et al, 1994), or in placer concentrations (paleoplacers), also within the sedimentary rocks, but from more distal sources. The 'Fort à la Corne' model may have economic potential, however, paleoplacers are less likely to be economic in this geological setting.

7

REVIEW OF AIRBORNE MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

A Dighem airborne (helicopter) magnetometer survey was carried out from May 10 to July 3, 1994, on the Hinton property for Montello by Dighem Surveys and Processing Inc. A total of 21,500 line-km was flown at 200 m line spacing. A high sensitivity (0.01 nanotesla) cesium magnetometer was used. The objective of the survey was to detect circular, pipe-like anomalies which might reflect lamproitic or kimberlitic diatremes (pipes).

The only data presently available to Montello are shown on the following maps:

- <u>Magnetic anomalies (1:100,000)</u>: determined from profiles and classified as to strength, single or multiple line, width of anomaly and probability of culture. Also on the map are coloured magnetics with the second order trend removed (i.e., showing residual values).
- 2. <u>Magnetic values (1:25,000 and 1:50,000)</u>: contoured in plan at 0.5 nanotesla (nT). The data has been processed; the second order trend has been removed.

The writer has modified the Dighem classification, producing a map (Figure 3) showing 14 first, 65 second and 63 third priority targets, for a total of 142 anomalies.

Many of the third priority and some of the second may be due to cultural effects; however, it is unlikely that any gas or oil wells have been classified as anomalies.

The magnetic anomalies are in the 2 to 15 nT range, which is low when compared to magnetic responses for many kimberlites in the Northwest Territories. However, the magnetic response of lamproite or kimberlite, if present, may be quite different from Lac de Gras kimberlites. The response of lamproites can be commonly weak, in the range of 5 to 50 nT (Janse, 1992). In a kimberlite/lamproite field of 24 vents in Ellendale, Western Australia, an aeromagnetic survey flown at a height of 80 m and 250 m line spacing yielded responses ranging from <4 nT to about 13 nT above background (Smith, 1985).

The electromagnetic responses are not known for the Hinton property magnetic anomalies. However, electromagnetic surveys may prove useful in evaluating the magnetic anomalies.

The airborne anomalies need to be evaluated and delineated by ground Max Min electromagnetic and magnetic surveys. Some of the targets may be quickly eliminated due to cultural effects. The heavy mineral stream sampling was carried out before the information on the Dighem anomalies was available. Therefore, none of the 142 anomalies have been effectively evaluated for lamproite/kimberlite indicator minerals, although there are a few targets with some down-stream sampling.

REVIEW OF MINERALOGICAL SURVEYS

The concept of a mineralogical survey is to evaluate the diamond potential within drainage catchments by sampling and processing stream sediments. If lamproite or kimberlite are present at the bedrock surface then specific minerals indicative of lamproite or kimberlite should be present in the stream sediments.

The analysis of mineral grains to distinguish between diamondiferous and barren kimberlites is well understood (Fipke et al, 1989). Classification of pyrope garnets and chromites can denote the diamond potential of the source diatremes. However, since the data base for indicator minerals for lamproite exploration is much smaller and combined with the presence of only minor pyropes in lamproites, the use of indicator minerals is not as precise. The geochemistry of P1 chromites is believed to be useful in evaluating the diamond potential of the source material (Griffen et al).

For deposits containing relatively abundant diamonds, for example the lamproitic Argyle Mine, discovery can be the result of finding diamonds in stream sediments (Janse, 1992).

Dufresne et al (1994) state: "The interpretation of data derived from modern sand and gravel deposits is extremely difficult because there may be significant contributions of indicator minerals from a variety of sources, including tills, preglacial deposits, Mesozoic to Tertiary clastic sedimentary rocks and, possibly, local diatremes."

Recent government sampling has shown a belt of chromite indicator minerals adjacent to and within the Foothills region, the "Hinton Trend" of Dufresne et al.

After a review of research literature, Dufresne et al conclude that gold and platinum group elements possibly "...may act as 'indicator minerals' for kimberlite and lamproite fields...".

Since 1993, stream sediments have been collected and processed from 51 sites on the Hinton property. Also, another 39 samples were collected but as yet have not been processed. Relevant information on the samples is presented in Table 1 and on Figures 3 and 4.

											•								
HINTON PROJ	ECT		MINERAL S			Sorte	d by Per	mit No		÷									
Date: 95.01.31		File: Geo	08(8/228/2	59_FIN.WK4	Solled by Feinill No			mit no.	Indicator Minerals						Diamonds				
Samola No	Collector	Lah	Report	Permit No.	Tp.	R.	Sample	Oria.	Oria. (Chromi	tes	<u>T CALCE</u>	Garnets		· (Cr-diop)	Total	per
Sample No.	Conscion	L ub.	No.				Type	Wt. kg	Mesh	DI	P1/P4	P3	G3/5 G	39/11	G10	PCP5		10 kg	orig
																		san	nple
83F 114	New Claym				58	23	SS	25.0	-9+16										
83F 121	New Claym.				57	21	SS	9.0	-9+16						· ••				-
PC 94 01	Luscar	Loring	36579		54	2	till	15.4					1						_1
PC 94 06	Luscar	Loring	36579	9393030070	54	2	till	17.5							1				1
PC 94 09	Luscar	Loring	36579	9393030070	54	1	till	7.7											0
PC 94 12	Luscar	Loring	36579	9393030070	54	1	till	21.5			1	. 1							1
PC 94 13A BR	Luscar	Loring	36579	9393030070	53	1	rock	22.3											0
PC 94 13A T	Luscar	Loring	36579	9393030070	53	1	till	24.9											0
77 09	Montello	CFM	94448	9393030070	54	1	SS	7.8	-20	່ 1	n/c	n/c							1
77 74	Montello			9393030070	54	. 1	SS		-20				••						
77 75	Montello			9393030070	54	1	SS		-20			-	-						
77 76	Montello			9393030070	54	1	SS		-20										
77 01	Montello	l oring	36647	9393030652	53	25	SS	10.3	-20			1	1	1		3			6
77 02	Montello	Loring	36647	9393030652	53	25	SS	8.5	-20				1		•	2			4
77.03	Montello	Loring	36647	9393030652	53	25	SS	9.8	-20					1					1
77 04	Montello	Loring	36647	9393030652	53	25	SS	8.8	-20			. 1°		8					10
77 04 Con	Montello	Loring	36647	9393030652	53	25	ss/con		-20		1	2				4			
77 08	Montello	CFM	94448	9393030652	53	25	SS	10.3	-20		n/c	n/c							0
77 08 Con	Montello	CEM	94448	9393030652	53	25	ss/con	1.6	-20		n/c	n/c							
77 084	Montello	Loring	36671	9393030652	53	25	SS	6.4	-20			1		1		5			11
77 084	Loring	Sraega	36671	9393030652	53	25						0							
77 084 Con	Loring	Sraena	36671	9393030652	53	25						2		-	-				
77 084 Con	Montello	Loring	36671	9393030652	53	25	ss/con	0.0	-20			1							-
77 10	Montello	Loring	36671	9393030652	53	25	SS	9.8	-20		1	1							2
77 12	Montello	Loring	36671	9393030652	53	25	SS	10.7	-20			1							1
77.01 - 08		l akofield	9447590	652/653	•••		con	4.7			-						0		
YE 3011	CEM	CFM	94503	9393030653	54	25	SS	66.2	-6								0		
77.05	Montello	CEM	94448	9393030653	54	25	SS	8.2	-20		n/c	n/c		1					1
77.06	Montello	CEM	94448	9393030653	54	25	SS	9.1	-20		n/c	n/c							0
77.06 Con	Montello	CEM	04448	9393030653	54	25	ss/con	0.3	-20		n/c	n/c							
77.07	Montello	CEM	04448	9393030653	54	25	SS	9.2	-20	5	n/c	n/c							5
77 07 Con	Montello	CEM	QAAAQ	9393030653	54	25	ss/con	0.0	-20	3									
ZZ 07 Con	Montelio		36671	9393030653	54	25	SS	8.8	-20		1								1
ZZ 11 77.40	Montello	Loring	26674	0303030654	54	26	22	9.6	-20		•	1				1			2
ZZ 16	Montello	Loning	26712	0303030655	51	27	00 ee	78	-20	1		1			1				4
	Montello	Loung	30/13	9293030625	51	-1	- 00 ee	11 0	-20	7	n/c	n/c						•	6
XE 3137			94000	9393030626 9393030626	54	4		73	-20	•		• • •							0
22 21	Montello	Lonng	30/13	93930300000	56	4		1.5	-20							-			
22 72	Montello		-	93930300001	57	1	. 33 50		-20	· ·	·								-
LL 13				03030300003	54	24	23 22	22.0	-9+16	-							-		
831 102	INew Claym			838303100Z	~~	£.4	55												

ABLE 1



HINTON PROJECT Date: 95.01.31		HEAVY MINERAL SAMPLING File: Geodata\559\559_HM wk4				Sorted by Permit No.												
Date: 55.01.51			0010100010	00_1111.01.4					Indicator Minerals							ן)iamor	nds
Sample No	Collector	lab.	Report	Permit No.	Tp.	R.	Sample	Oria.	Oria.	Chromi	tes		Garnets	5	· (Cr-diop		Total per
Campie No.	Concord	Lub.	No.		. 6.		Туре	Wt. kg	Mesh	DI	P1/P4	P3	G3/5 (G9/11	G10	PCP5	1	10 kg orig
005 400				0202024002	55	24		25.0	.0+16									sampie
83F 103	New Claym			9393031003	55	24	55	20.0	-9+10	_								
83F 109	New Claym			9393031004	00 55	20	55	40.0	-9+10	-								
83F 110	New Claym			9393031004	00 EE	20	55	10.0	-9710	-								· · · ·
831 118	New Claym		00740	9393031004	55	20	55	20.0	-9710		**							0
ZZ 19	Montello	Loring	36713	9393031004	22	20	SS	9.8	-20									v
ZZ 19A	Montello) · ·		9393031004	22	25	SS	~ ~ ~	-20									
ZZ 24	Montello	Loring	36713	9393031004	55	25	SS	9.4	-20	** .								
83F 116	New Claym			9393031005	56	24	SS	27.0	-9+16									**
83F 117	New Claym			9393031005	56	24	SS	23.0	-9+16					-				
83F 111	New Claym	. Loring	36248	9393031006	56	25	SS	11.5	-9+16		n/c	n/c						U
BB J1	Montello	Loring	36758	9393031006	56	25	SS	210.3	-20			3						0
BB J1	Loring	Lakefield	9447590	9393031006	56	25	con	11.2		••	**						.0	
BB J1 Con	Montello	Loring	36758	9393031006	56	25	ss/con	4.9	-20		1							
BB J2	Montello	Loring	36758	9393031006	56	25	SS	1515.3	-20	1	3	9	<u>,</u> 1	17			2	0
BB J2	Loring	Lakefield	9447590	9393031006	56	25	con	177.4									0	-
BB J3	Montello	Loring	36758	9393031006	56	25	SS	262.6	-20	1	5		2	5				0
BB J3	Loring	Lakefield	9447590	9393031006	56	25	con	27.5									2	
JH 69		M.T.U.		9393031006	56	25	S S	6.6	-20			-					10	15
XE 3126	CFM	CFM	94476	9393031006	56	25	SS	16.1	-20	1	n/c	n/c					4	3
XE 3127	CFM	CFM	94476	9393031006	56	25	SS	14.7	-20		n/c	n/c						· · 0
XE 3128	CFM	CFM	94476	9393031006	56	25	SS	63.4	-6	2	n/c	n/c					2	1
XE 3129	CFM	CFM	94476	9393031006	56	25	\$ \$	65.3	-6		n/c	n/c						0
77 13	Montello	Lorina	36671	9393031006	56	25	SS	7.6	-20									· 0
77 13 Con	Montello	loring	36671	9393031006	56	25	ss/con		-20			1						
77 14	Montello	Loring	36671	9393031006	56	25	SS	11.8	-20									0
77 15	Montello		36671	9393031006	56	25	SS	8.8	-20								1	1
77 15	Loring	CEM	94473	9393031006	56	25	SS	8.8	-20	8	n/c	n/c						9
77 22	Montello	Loring	36713	9393031006	56	25	SS	10.7	-20									-
77 22	Montello		36713	9393031006	56	25	SS	9.7	-20			1						1
77.26	Montello	Loring	36736	0303031006	56	25	22	61.9	-20			· ·		2				0
77 07	Montello	Loring	36736	0303031006	56	25		14.9	-20					_				0
77.00	Montello	Loring	36736	0303031006	56	25	60	48.8	-20	•	1	1	1			- 1		1
22 20	Montello	Loring	26726	0202021000	56	25		40.0	-20		•	•	•	1		•		Ó
ZZ 29 77.00	Montello	Loring	30730	0202021000	56	25	90 60	40.8	_20		4	1	1	. •				1
ZZ 30	Montello	Loning	30730	9393031000	50	25	66	10.6	-20		•	1	•	1				2
ZZ 31	Montello	Lonng	30730	9393031000	50	20		29.4	-20			•	•	1				ō
ZZ 32	Montello	Loring	30730	9393031000	50	20	55	20.4	-20			1	· 1	- 2				1
ZZ 33	Montello	Loring	30/30	9393031000	00 50	20	55	30.4 42.4	-20				-	-	·		0	
ZZ 14, 30 - 33	Loring	Lakefield	944/090	9393031006	00	20	con	12.1	-20									0
ZZ 34	Montello	Loring	30/30	8383031000	50	20	35	1460	-20				2	4				Ň
ZZ 40	Montello	Loring	36/36	9393031006	90	20	5 \$	110.0	-20				~					~

ABLE 1

page 2

HINTON PROJECT HEAV Date: 95.01.31 File: 0			MINERAL S data\559\5	54MPLING 59 HM.wk4		Sorted by Permit No.												
				-			•		ļ	Indicate	or Mine	rais				I	Diamor	<u>ids</u>
Sample No.	Collecto	r Lab.	Report No.	Permit No.	Тp.	R.	Sample Type	Orig. Wt. kg	Orig. Mesh	Chromi DI I	tes P1/P4	P3	Garnets G3/5 (s 39/11	G10	Cr-diop PCP5	1	lotal per 0 kg orig sample
ZZ 41	Montello	b Loring	36736	9393031006	56	25	SS	44.9	-20		1		1	1				1
ZZ 42	Montello	b Loring	36736	9393031006	56	25	SS	44.0	-20		2	1		1				. 1
ZZ 43	Montello	o Loring	36736	9393031006	56	25	S S	18.0	-20					2				. 1
ZZ 50	Montello	b Loring	36758	9393031006	56	25	SS	25.7	-20	1				. 1				· 1
ZZ 64	Montello) (·	9393031006	56	25	SS		-20		••							
ZZ 65	Montello)		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20							**		
ZZ 66	Montello) ¹		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20									
ZZ 67	Montello) –-		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20			-						
ZZ 68	Montello)		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20	***								
ZZ 69	Montello)		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20				-					••• .
ZZ 70	Montello)		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20									
ZZ 71	Montello)		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20									
ZZ 77	Montello)		9393031006	56	25	SS		-20	·	·							
ZZ 78	Montello	Lakefield	9448406	9393031006	56	25	SS	8.2	-20							-	0	
ZZ 79	Montello	Lakefield	9448406	9393031006	56	25	SS	6.9	-20							-	0	
ZZ 80	Montello	Lakefield	9448406	9393031006	56	25	SS	8.5	-20								1	· · · ·
ZZ 81	Montello	Lakefield	9448406	9393031006	56	25	SS	8.6	-20								0	·
ZZ 17	Montello	b Loring	36671	9393031007	56	26	SS	9.1	-20					_				. 0
ZZ 17 Con	Montello	b Loring	36671	9393031007	56	26	ss/con	2.7	-20		1			1				
ZZ 63	Montello)		9393031008	57	24	SS		-20	-					-		-	
ZZ 62	Montello)		9393031009	57	25	SS		-20			••						
ZZ 25	Montello	b Loring	36713	9393031012	53	22	SS	8.9	-20		***							. •
83F 104	New Claym)		9393031013	53	23	SS	27.0	-9+16				·				-	
83F 105	New Claym)		9393031013	54	23	SS	27.0	-9+16	-		**			•••			
ZZ 18	Montello	b Loring	36713	9393031013	55	25	SS	8.3	-20						•	. 1		1
83F 106	New Claym). -		9393031014	54	22	SS	25.0	-9+16					-				
83F 107	New Claym	i		9393031014	54	22	SS	20.0	-9+16				-					
83F 108	New Claym	l		9393031014	54	22	SS	20.0	-9+16						·			
83F 101	New Claym	h. Loring	36248	9393031015	55	23	SS	8.2	-9+16		n/c	n/c						0
83F 119	New Claym)		9393031015	54	23	SS	24.0	-9+16									
83F 120	New Claym)		9393031016	55	22	SS	19.0	-9+16	· •••			-					
83F 112	New Claym).		9393031018	56	23	SS	23.0	-9+16	· •••		-			-			
83F 115	New Clayn)		9393031018	56	23	SS	28.0	-9+16					•••			**	
83F 113	New Claym	h. Loring	36248	9393031020	57	22	SS	13.0	-9+16		n/c	n/c		2				2
ZZ 60	Montello)		9393031020	57	22	SS		-20	(***		. •••		-
ZZ 61	Montello) 		9393031020	57	22	SS		-20								'	
RTW 1	New Clayn	n. Loring	36248	9393031021	27	23	SS	22.8	-9+16		n/c	n/c			· · ·			U
							Totals			31	19	31	12	51	2	17	22	

page 3



......

....





NOTES

1. Collector: company collecting sample or lab processing concentrate and forwarding it to another lab for addition work

1. Lab: Loring: Loring Laboratories Ltd. CFM: C.F. Mineral Research Ltd Lakefield: Lakefield Research M.T.U.: Michigan Technological University

3. Sample type:

ss stream sediment ss/con concentrate: hand panning or sluice box in field con concentrate: prepared in lab

4. Indicator Minerals:

n/c = not classified -- = not processed/analysed

<u>Chromite</u>

DI diamond-inclusion chromite P1/P4 xenocryst chromites

<u>Garnet</u>

G3, G5 eclogitic G9, G10, G11 peridotitic

> Chrome Diopside PCP5 peridotitic

5. Diamonds: 'microdiamonds'

6. Total: total indicator minerals and diamonds

The following brief description of sample collection and treatment methodology is based on written reports by A. Rich, Loring Laboratories Ltd., C.F. Mineral Research Ltd., Lakefield Research and D. Sraega (see BIBLIOGRAPHY) and on conversations with Montello personnel. The writer has not observed or supervised any of the field collection or laboratory procedures for these samples.

Various collection and screening methods were used to wet sieve the sediments in the field. Also, various size fractions were collected.

The samples were processed by Loring Laboratories, Calgary, or C.F. Mineral Research (CFM), Kelowna, to produce various heavy mineral concentrates.

Loring used a combination of a shaker table and heavy liquids to produce a mineral concentrate while CFM used only heavy liquids. After magnetic separation, certain mineral fractions were examined under an optical binocular microscope for possible chromites, eclogitic and pyrope garnets, ilmenites, chromium diopsides and diamonds. Semi-quantitative microprobe analysis of possible indicator minerals was done by Ixion Research, Montreal, for Loring and scanning electron microscope (S.E.M.) analysis was done by CFM. The writer has reclassified some of the Loring G3 and G5 garnets and chrome diopsides to include only those which are likely eclogitic or peridotitic, respectively. Some samples were treated by caustic dissolution, by Lakefield and CFM, for diamond recovery.

The results of the reconnaissance program show several significant features:

1. The discovery of a diamond in a reconnaissance sample (ZZ 15) from a tributary creek of Pinto Creek, led Montello to carry out follow-up sampling along the creek as well as other small creeks in the area (Figures 3,4).

Most of the samples were collected over a 3 km length of creek with an elevation drop of about 50 m. There are some swampy sections in the middle of this low gradient section of the creek. Microdiamonds have been recovered from 6 separate locations over 2.5 km (Figure 4, Table 1). The number of diamonds in some samples is significant. For example, sample XE 3126, collected by Mr. Charles Fipke of CFM, yielded 4 microdiamonds from a 16 kg -20 mesh field sample. Peridotitic pyrope garnets and P-type chromites have been recovered from 8 sites and diamond-inclusion chromites from 3 sites.





Sampling on the adjoining creek to the south has returned indicator minerals but no microdiamonds.

The diamondiferous creek is outside but immediately adjacent to an area of published mapping (Roed, 1969) and landforms can be used to extrapolate the surficial geology into unmapped areas. A topographic bench is interpreted as comprising Quaternary glaciofluvial outwash sand and gravel. However, some diamond-bearing samples (e.g., ZZ 15) are up to 1 km upstream from the influence of these Wildhay valley glaciofluvial deposits. Therefore, although the glaciofluvial sediments may also contain some diamonds, the diamondiferous samples collected by CFM (XE 3126, 3128) do appear to confirm the upstream Montello samples. A report by Mr. C. Fipke of CFM concludes that "... either a diamond bearing lamproite or kimberlite pipe or a diamondiferous sedimentary horizon to be present between the original site [XE 3126, 3128] and the site two kilometres upstream..." (report by C. Fipke in Montello news release dated January 24, 1995). Diamonds may occur in rocks, as described above in ECONOMIC GEOLOGY, or in glacial till and glaciofluvial sediments.

Gold grains were reported from some of the diamondiferous samples. For example, sample XE 3128 yielded 22 gold grains.

The sample weights of some of the Montello samples were notably large. For example, sample J2 totalled more than 1500 kg of -20 mesh sediments. From this sample Loring extracted 2 microdiamonds, 2 diamond-inclusion chromites, 12 P1/P3/P4 chromites and 17 peridotitic pyrope garnets. Normalized to a 10 kg field sample, these samples are not anomalous in indicator minerals (Table 1). In contrast, the two diamondiferous samples collected and processed by CFM returned 6 microdiamonds from 80 kg of -20 and -6 mesh This significant difference cannot be fully material. explained at present. It may accurately reflect the local differences in concentration, due to either hydraulic effects within the creek or proximity to a source, or be due to differences in sample collection, processing and analysis. It is necessary to understand the different methods used in order to be able to compare results among For example, in sample ZZ 15 Loring examined the +80 labs. mesh fraction and recovered no diamond-inclusion (DI) chromites, while CFM recovered one DI chromite from the +80 mesh fraction and 7 DI chromites from the -80 mesh fraction.

This area is near a major Pleistocene glacier boundary between the Cordilleran and Laurentide ice sheets (Figure 3). Field evidence should be sought to determine the source of till clasts, and ice flow directions, which are likely either northerly (Cordilleran) or southerly (Laurentide). Exploration in the Northwest Territories has shown that glaciers can easily transport indicator minerals over tens of kilometres from their source.

There is no airborne geophysical anomaly immediately upstream or up-ice in this locale. However, pipes can occur without a recognizable aeromagnetic response.

2.

3.

4.

Sample site ZZ 07, on a tributary of the Oldman Creek (Tp.54 R.25), yielded 8 diamond-inclusion chromites, which is an anomalous number of diamond-indicator grains. To the south, the headwaters of this tributary are adjacent to an area drained by samples ZZ 01, 02, 04 and 08A (Tp.53 R.25) which yielded anomalous numbers of peridotitic chrome diopside or pyrope garnet grains. Although the chrome diopsides and the non-G10 pyropes, by themselves, are not a priority target, and even though no airborne magnetic anomalies were identified or microdiamonds found, the presence of diamond-inclusion chromites increases the potential of this area. As the sample location is adjacent to a road, resampling further upstream may be necessary to confirm the results as being significant.

A sample (ZZ 20) on Pinto Creek (Tp.54 R.27) yielded a sub-calcic (1.7% CaO) G10 garnet and a diamond-inclusion chromite. Generally more than two anomalous grains would be necessary to warrant priority follow-up. However, the geochemistry of the indicator minerals supports a diamondiferous source.

Luscar collected 5 till and one rock sample from a track-mounted rotary drill program for coal exploration. The till yielded chromites and garnets, including a P1 chromite and G10 pyrope, that are indicative of diamondbearing kimberlite or lamproite.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The Hinton property is in a geological setting suitable for the discovery of diamondiferous lamproite, and to a lesser extent, kimberlite. The main favourable factors are the presence of:
 - a) a Precambrian craton, possibly containing a significant Archean component,
 - evidence of major deep-seated regional faults, which could act as loci for intrusions of lamproite or kimberlite diatremes, and
 - c) a diamond-bearing diatreme 175 km to the south.
- 2. The most likely types of potentially economic diamond deposits are:
 - a) lamproite vents,
 - b) kimberlite vents, and
 - c) pyroclastic kimberlite (or lamproite) in craters with no significant diatreme zones (Fort à la Corne model); possibly reworking of pyroclastic deposits.
- 3. On the area of the property east of the Foothills, diamondiferous diatremes must be younger than about 60 million years in order to occur at the bedrock surface and therefore be discoverable and potentially economic. This preferred age fits well with the age of the Lac de Gras diamond deposits, the most prospective found to date in North America.
- 4. Any lamproite or kimberlite intrusion located east of the Foothills will not be folded or thrust faulted.
- 5. Both Cordilleran and Laurentide glaciation occurred on the property, with about a 15 km zone of overlap. The Laurentide ice-flow directions are generally south, while the Cordilleran directions range from north through to east.
- 6. Mineral grains, dominantly chromite, from diamondiferous lamproite or kimberlite (diamond-indicator minerals) have been recovered from surficial sediments in various locations on the property.
- 7. Regionally these indicator minerals appear to be part of the 'Hinton Trend' of chromite indicator minerals.
- 8. In some samples these diamond-indicator minerals occur in anomalous amounts. Anomalies may be the result of significant bedrock concentrations within drainage catchments.

- 9. Microdiamonds have been recovered from a tributary of Pinto Creek, over a creek length of 2.5 km. The number of diamonds in some samples indicates a local source.
- 10. The <u>local</u> sources of lamproite/kimberlite indicator minerals and diamonds could be either diamondiferous diatremes, Tertiary clastic sedimentary rocks (crater/pyroclastic or paleoplacer), pre-glacial gravel deposits, or glacial till and fluvial deposits.
- 11. Care should be taken in interpreting mineral indicator results from various labs due in part to differences in sample collection, processing and analytical techniques.
- 12. An airborne geophysical survey has yielded magnetic responses which may correspond to diatremes.
- 13. The relative abundance of mantle-derived chromites over garnets, plus the occurrence of anomalous amounts of microdiamonds, indicate that if a diatreme is present it may be an Argyle-type lamproite.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that:

- 1. Upon receipt of the final Dighem aeromagnetic survey, a review of the results should take place.
- 2. After the geophysical review, certain airborne targets should be selected for follow-up exploration by detailed ground magnetic and Max Min electromagnetic surveys.
- 3. Suitable geophysical anomalies should be followed up by detailed stream sediment, till and rock sampling.
- 4. From these samples a heavy mineral concentrate should be produced by heavy liquids and magnetic separation.
- 5. The resulting concentrate should be examined for lamproitic and kimberlitic indicator minerals, and possible grains analysed by quantitative electron microprobe.
- 6. The exploration program should utilize the geochemistry of indicator minerals to set priorities as to which targets have the best chance of being diamond-bearing. That is, emphasis should be placed on minerals which are indicative of diamondiferous, not barren, sources.
- 7. Mapping of surficial deposits, including ice-flow directions, should be carried out during the heavy mineral sampling.
- Follow-up sampling, mapping and prospecting should be carried out in the four areas (see REVIEW OF MINERALOGICAL SURVEYS) already yielding diamonds or diamond-indicator minerals.
- 9. Exploration priority should be given to determining the source of the microdiamonds and diamond-indicator minerals discovered in a tributary of Pinto Creek.
- 10. The results of both the geophysical and mineralogical surveys should be evaluated together to determine drill targets.
- 11. The first phase of a recommended drill program should comprise core diamond drilling of selected targets to test for the presence of lamproite or kimberlite.

FESSIO Respectfully submitted, W. R. GRADOLE BRITISH Gilmour, P.Geo. February 3, 1995

PROPOSED BUDGET

<u>Phase I</u>

1.	Review of Dighem report	\$ 3,000
2.	Reconnaissance evaluation of 142 airborne geophysical targets to eliminate cultural anomalies	27,000
3.	Detailed ground magnetic and electromagnetic surveys over selected airborne anomalies assume 40 targets @ \$4000/target	160,000
4.	Follow-up heavy mineral sampling of selected ground geophysical anomalies and mineralogical anomalies	
	assume 20 targets @ \$10,000/target	200,000
		\$ 390,000
Phas	<u>e II</u>	
1.	Core diamond drill program 15 holes @ 100 m/hole 1500 m @ \$100/m	150,000
2.	Recovery of diamonds and kimberlite indicator minerals from drill core; microprobe analysis of indicator minerals; interpretation of results. Note that this budget item is contingent upon the discovery of kimberlite in the drill progra	of um 50,000
3.	Geological planning, supervision, interpretation and reporting	on _20,000
		<u>\$220,000</u>

Total <u>\$610,000</u>

FESSION RENER COLU W.R. Cithour, P.Geo. February 3, 1995

16

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Andriashek, L.D.	1983	Surficial Geology, Wapiti (83L), 1:250,000; Alberta Research Council, Open File 1983-23
Bayrock, L.A. and Reimchen, T.H.F.	1980	Surficial Geology Alberta Foothills and Rocky Mountains; Alberta Research Council
C.F. Mineral Research Ltd.	1994	Various reports on the processing of samples and the analysis and classification of mineral grains; for Montello Resources Ltd.
Dufresne, M.B. et al	1994	The Diamond Potential of Alberta: A Regional Synthesis of the Structural and Stratigraphic Setting, and other Preliminary Indications of Diamond Potential; Alberta Research Council Open File Report 1994-10
Fipke, C.E. et al	1989	The Development of Advanced Technology to Distinguish Between Diamondiferous and Barren Diatremes; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 2124
Geological Survey of Canada	1968	Glacial Map of Canada, 1:5,000,000; Map 1253A
Geological Survey of Canada	1989	Quaternary Geology of Canada and Greenland
Gilmour, W.R.	1994	Preliminary Exploration Program and Budget Proposal, Hinton Project, for Montello Resources Ltd., May 10, 1994
Griffen, W.L. et al		Chromite Macrocrysts in Kimberlites and Lamproites; Geochemistry and Origin
Janse, A.A.	1992	The Argyle Diamond Discovery, Australia; <u>in</u> Exploration and Mining Geology, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 383-390

Lakefield Research	1994	Various reports on the caustic dissolution of samples for diamond recover and identification; for Montello Resources Ltd.
Loring Laboratories Ltd.	1993/94	Various reports on the processing of samples and the analysis and classification of mineral grains; for New Claymore, Montello and Troymin
McMeahan, M.E. and Dawson, F.M.	1990	Geology Wapiti, 1:12,500; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 2313
Mountjoy, E.W.	1980	Geology Mount Robson, 1:250,000; Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1499A
Pell, J.	1994	Carbonatites, nepheline syenites, kimberlites and related rocks in British Columbia; Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Bulletin 88
Price, R.A. et al	1977	Athabasca River (Sheet 83), 1:1,000,000 Geological Atlas; Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1339A
Rich, A.	1994	Report on Diamond Exploration of Metallic Mineral Exploration Permits in Alberta; report for New Claymore Resources Ltd.
Roed, M.A.	1969	Surficial Geology, Edson; Research Council of Alberta, Map 33
Roed, M.A.	1975	Cordilleran and Laurentide Multiple Glaciation West- Central Alberta; Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Vol. 12, pp. 1493-1515

	Ross, G.M.	1991	Precambrian basement in the Canadian Cordillera: an introduction; Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Vol. 28, pp. 1133-1139
	Scott Smith, B.H.	1992	Contrasting Kimberlites and Lamproites; <u>in</u> Exploration and Mining Geology, Vol. 1, No.4, pp. 371-381
	Scott Smith, B.H. et al	1994	Geology of the Fort à la Corne Kimberlites, Saskatchewan; Proceedings of the CIM District Six 16th Annual Meeting
•	Smith, R.J.	1985	Geophysics in Australian mineral exploration; Geophysics, vol. 50, pp. 2637- 2665
	Sraega, D.I.	1994	Various reports on chromite geochemistry; for Loring Laboratories
	Villeneuve, M.E. et al	1993	Tectonic subdivision and U-Pb geochronology of the crystalline basement of the Alberta basin, western Canada; Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 447

APPENDIX A

TABLE 2

HINTON PROPERTY - PERMIT DATA

<u>Permit No.</u>	<u>Permit</u> <u>Holder</u>	<u>Rge</u> .	Twp.	<u>Sections</u>	<u>Area (ha)</u> (1 ha=2.5 ac.
9393030068	Luscar Luscar Luscar	23 23 23	53 54 55	19-20,29-32 5-8,17-20,27-34 2-4,10-11	8960
.*	Luscar Luscar	24 24	53 54	33-E,34-36 1,12-13,24,25-E	
9393030069	Luscar Luscar Luscar	23 24 25	53 53 53	6-8,17-18 1-28,33-W 1,2-E,12	9216
9393030070	Luscar Luscar Luscar	01 01 02	53 54 54	13,23-27,33-36 1-11,15-21,29-31 12-13,24-25,36	9216
9393030652	Troymin	25	53	2-W,3-11,13-36	8576
3030653	Troymin	25	54	1-36	9216
9393030654	Troymin	26	54	1-36	9216
9 393030655	Troymin	27	54	1-36	9216
9393030656	Troymin	1 28	54 54	12-14,22-28,32-36 1,12-13,24-25,36	5011
9393030657	Troymin	26	55	1-36	9216
9393030658	Troymin	27	55	1-5,6-E,7-E,8-17,18- 19-E,20-29,30-E,31-E 32-36	E, 8311 ,
9393030659	Troymin	1	55	1-6,8-18,20-29,32-36	8192
9393030660	Troymin	27	56	1-5,6-E,7-E,8-17,18- 19-E,20-29,30-E,31-E 32-36	E, 8293 ,
9393030661	Troymin	1	56	1-5,7-36	8960
9393030662	Troymin	2	56	7-30,32-36	7424
3030663	Troymin	1	57	1-35	8960



	•			•		
Permit No.	<u>Permit</u> <u>Holder</u>	<u>Rge.</u>	<u>Twp.</u>		<u>Sections</u> (2	<u>Area (ha)</u> ha=2.5 ac.)
9553030664	Troymin	2	57		1-6,8-18,20-30,32-36	8448
9393030665	Troymin	1	58		2-11,14-23,26-35	7936
9393030666	Troymin	2	58		1-5,8-18,20-29,32-36	7680
9393030667	Troymin	1	59		1-30,33-34	8192
9393030668	Troymin	2	59		1-32,35	8448
9393030669	Troymin	3	59		1-4,7-30,36	7424
9393030670	Troymin	4	59		4-5,7-30	6656
9393030671	Troymin	5	59		2,4,6-32	7424
9393030672	Troymin	6	59		1-2,7-30,32-36	7936
9393030673	Troymin	7	59		5-30,33,35-36	7424
9393030674	Troymin	8	59		1-2,8-17,20-29,34-36	6400
9393031002	NCR	24 24	53 54		29-32 2-11,14-23,26-36	8960
3031003	NCR	24	55		1-36	9216
9393031004	NCR	25	55		1-36	9216
9393031005	NCR	24	56		1-36	9216
9393031006	NCR	25	56		1-36	9216
9393031007	NCR	26	56		1-36	9216
9393031008	NCR	24	57		1-36	9216
9393031009	NCR	25	57		1-36	9216
9393031010	NCR	26	57		1-36	9216
9393031011	NCR	27	57		1-5,6-E,7-E,8-17,18-E 19-E,20-29,30-E,31-E, 32-36	, 8726
9393031012	RRI	22	53		1-36	9216
9393031013	RRI	23 23	53 54		1-5,9-16,21-28,33-36 1-4,9-15	9216

Pe <u>rmit No.</u>	<u>Permit</u> Holder	<u>Rge.</u>	<u>Twp.</u>	<u>Sections</u>	<u>Area (ha)</u> (1 ha=2.5 ac.)
9393031014	RRI	22	54	3-10,15-22,27-35	6400
9396061015	RRI	23 23	54 55	24-26,35-36 1,5-9,12-36	9216
9393031016	RRI	22	55	1-21,28-33	6912
9393031017	RRI	22	56	5-8,17-20,25-36	5120
9393031018	RRI	23	56	1-36	9216
9393031019	RRI	21	57	17-20,29-30	1536
9393031020	RRI	22	57	1-30	7680
9393031021	RRI	23	57	1-36	9216

Total

377,093 ha

NCR RRI

New Claymore Resources Ltd.Rich Resource Investments Ltd.



APPENDIX B

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, WILLIAM R. GILMOUR, of Vernon, British Columbia, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT:

- 1. I am a Consulting Geologist in mineral exploration.
- 2. I have been practising my profession for twenty four years in Canada and the United States.
- 3. I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia, with a Bachelor of Science degree in Geology.
- 4. I am registered as a Professional Geoscientist with the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia, as a Professional Geologist with the Association of Professional Engineers, Geologists and Geophysicists of the Northwest Territories, and a Fellow of the Geological Association of Canada and the Association of Exploration Geochemists.
- 5. I am the author of this report which is based upon an examination of the Hinton property in May 1994 and studies of the available published and unpublished reports and data on the property and surrounding area.
- 6. I have been involved in diamond exploration as a consulting geologist since 1992.
- 7. I own no interest, direct or indirect, in the securities or properties of Montello Resources Ltd., nor do I expect to acquire any such interest.
- 8. Permission is hereby granted to Montello Resources Ltd. to use this report in a Statement of Material Facts or Prospectus to be filled with the Albert Stock Exchange, the Alberta Securities Commission and the British Columbia Securities Commission.

Dated at Vernon, B.C. This 3rd day of February, 1995.



GEOLOGY OF THE HINTON AREA Explanation of 1:50,000 scale maps Compiled by Willem Langenberg Alberta Geological Survey

February, 1995

1

INTRODUCTION

The stratigraphy and structural geology of the bedrock will be discussed and some comments about the surficial Quaternary Geology will be made.

STRATIGRAPHY

Outcropping rocks range in age from Devonian to Tertiary, while Cambrian rocks are known from the subsurface. The stratigraphic units will be discussed from oldest to youngest.

PALEOZOIC ROCKS

The oldest rocks are the carbonates of the Devonian Palisser (called Wabumun in subsurface) Formation. They are overlain by calcareous shales and thin carbonates of the Mississippian Banff (including Exshaw) Formation. These rocks are overlain by the Mississippian carbonates of the Rundle Group.

TRIASSIC ROCKS (Tr)

Triassic rocks include sandstones and siltstones of the Sulpur Mountain Formation and limestones of the Whitehorse Formation.

FERNIE (Jf) AND NIKANASSIN FORMATIONS (Jn)

The Jurassic Fernie Formation largely consists of marine shales. The overlying, largely Jurassic Nikanassin Formation consists of marine and non-marine sandstones and shales. It is uncomformably overlain by the Cadomin Formation of the Luscar Group.

LUSCAR GROUP (K1)

The largely Albian Luscar Group consists of the Cadomin, Gladstone, Moosebar and Gates formations. This group, which is equivalent to the Mannville Group of central Alberta, shows both marine and non-marine sedimentary environments and is about 600m thick.
The 10 m thick Cadomin Formation consists of chert pebble conglomerates, deposited in alluvial fans and on braided river pediment plains, and unconformably overlies the Nikanassin Formation. The Cadomin likely represents a major drop in sea level and a major sequence boundary. The Cadomin Formation is an excellent marker horizon, both at the surface and in the subsurface. Overlying rocks of the Luscar Group consist of clastics (sandstones, siltstones and shales in varying proportions) constituting the Gladstone, Moosebar and Gates formations. The Gates Formation contains the major economic coal seams, that are being mined in the Grande Cache and Cadomin areas. Some of the major coal seam outcrops are shown on the Pierre Greys Lakes (NTS 83E/15) mapsheet.

SHAFTESBURY FORMATION (Ks)

The formation consists largely of dark marine shale and siltstone, with minor beds of sandstone, bentonite and some ironstone concretions. Its age is late Albian to early Cenomanian.

DUNVEGAN FORMATION (Kdu)

Brown to reddish weathering quartzitic sandstones with interbedded shale and thin coal seams define the largely marine Dunvegan Formation of Cenomanian age.

KASKAPAU FORMATION (Kk)

The formation consists largely of dark marine shale and siltstone, and some ironstone concretions. Its age is Cenomanian.

CARDIUM FORMATION (Kc)

The Cardium Formation consists of marine sandstone, siltstones and shale. It forms a useful marker horizon for mapping purposes, because it is relatively thin (about 80 m) and the sandstones forms ridges, that are easy recognizable on the aerial photographs. The marine sandstones often contain hummocky cross beds and trace fossils. The Blackstone and Cardium formations form a coarsening upwards succession, indicative of a fall in relative sea-level. The age of the formation is late Turonian to early Coniacian.

WAPIABI FORMATION

The Wapiabi Formation includes all the beds between the Cardium Formation and the greenish sandstones of the Brazeau Formation and is about 600 m thick. The age of the formation is Turonian to Campanian. Seven members have been defined, but the only easily mappable unit on a 1:50 000 scale is the marine sandstone of the Chungo Member. For this reason the 2

Wapiabi Formation is divided into the Upper and Lower Wapiabi members, whereby the base of the Chungo Member is used as marker horizon.

Lower Wapiabi members (Kwpl)

The lower members are the Muskiki, Marshybank, Dowling, Thistle and Hanson members, which are dark grey, marine shales and siltstones. The Marshybank Member contains a larger percentage of siltstones and the Thistle Member consists of calcareous shales, but these members could not be mapped separately.

Upper Wapiabi members (Kwpu)

The upper members are the Chungo and Nomad members. The Chungo consists of about 70 metres of fine grained, often reddish-brown weathering sandstones and minor siltstone. Hummocky cross-stratification and trace fossils, such as *Planolites* sp. and *Skolithos* sp., indicate that these sandstones are largely marine and clearly distinctive from the younger alluvial sandstones of the Brazeau, Coalspur and Paskapoo formations. Marine bivalves can be found in the Chungo sandstones.

The Nomad Member consists of dark grey marine shales in between the Chungo sandstones and the greenish sandstones of the Brazeau Formation. This member is about 30 metres thick.

Consequently, the upper Wapiabi members (Kwpu) of the accompanying geological maps consists largely of the Chungo Member.

BRAZEAU FORMATION (Kb)

The Brazeau Formation, together with the Coalspur and Paskapoo formations forms part of the Saunders Group. The Brazeau Formation consists of about 1200 metres of sandstones, shales and some coal seams above the marine shales of the Wabiabi Formation and below the basal Entrance Conglomerate of the Coalspur Formation. Some coal seams are present in the upper part of the formation and are shown on some of the maps. The age of the formation is largely Maastrichtian (late Cretaceous).

COALSPUR FORMATION (TKcp)

The Coalspur Formation contains a 600 metres thick continental succession of interbedded sandstones, mudstones and thick economic coal seams. The base of the Coalspur Formation is the so-called Entrance Conglomerate. Thick coal seams interbedded with coaly shales and numerous bentonites occur in the upper part of the formation. This interval is known as the Coalspur coal zone, which is mined at Coal Valley. The Val d'Or coal seam is at the top of the interval and the Mynheer coal seam is at the bottom. These seams (plus other coal seams) are recognizable in the whole area between Switzer Provincial Park and Coal Valley. The Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary is at the base of the Mynheer coal seam, in the middle of the Coalspur Formation. The Coalspur Formation represents a nonmarine, fluvially dominated environment of deposition.

PASKAPOO FORMATION (Tp)

The Paskapoo Formation consists of at least 1000 metres thick alluvial sandstones and mudstones above the uppermost coal seam of the Coalspur Formation. Its age is Paleocene. The thick coal seams of the Obed Mountain coal mine represent the youngest exposed bedrock of the area.

STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY

Structures of interest to the geology of the area are from northeast to southwest the Alberta Syncline, Wildhay Thrust and Hoff Thrust in the Moberly Creek area (where the cross section is located). The Wildhay Thrust defines the Triangle zone. In the Grande Cache area the major structures are from northeast to southwest the Alberta Syncline, Muskeg Thrust, Mason Thrust and Cowlick Thrust. The southwest verging Wildhay Thrust possibly grades into a detachment zone situated in the lower Wapiabi shales. The Cardium duplexes below the Wildhay Thrust (see cross section) are potential gas exploration targets in future petroleum plays.

DIAMOND OCCURRENCES

The diamond occurrences along a tributary of Pinto Creek in the Hightower Creek area (83F/13) were plotted from information provided by Tony Rich.

SURFICIAL GEOLOGY

The surficial geology was not plotted on top of the bedrock geology, but a 1: 250,000 scale surficial geology map of the Edson sheet (83F) is available (Alberta Research Council, Map 33, by M.A. Roed, published in 1969) and is supplied together with the bedrock maps. The diamonds found in stream samples near Pinto Creek are situated just outside the area covered by this surficial geology survey, but they are close to the boundary between Edson Till (which has a continental source, i.e. Canadian Shield) and Marlboro Till (which has a Cordilleran source, but contains a few granite clasts of Canadian Shield origin). The provenance of the till in the Pinto Creek area should be further investigated before a possible provenance of the diamonds from the Shield can be excluded.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

5

Moberly Creek (83E/9)

Lang, A.H. (1949): Geological Survey of Canada. Map 963A, Moberly Creek.

Irish, E.J.W. (1949): Geological Survey of Canada, Map 968A, Moon Creek.

Richardson, R.J.W., Langenberg, C.W., Chao, D.K. and Fietz, D. (1990): Coal compilation project-Moberly Creek. Alberta Research Council, Open File Report 1990-3.

Pierre Greys Lakes (83E/15)

Irish, E.J.W. (1951): Geological Survey of Canada, Map 996A, Pierre Greys Lakes.

Richardson, R.J.W., Langenberg, C.W., Chao, D.K. and Fietz, D. (1990): Coal compilation project-Pierre Greys Lakes. Alberta Research Council, Open File Report 1990-4.

Donald Flats (83E/16)

Green, R. (1972): Geological Map of Alberta. Alberta Research Council, Scale 1:1,000,000.

Richardson, R.J.W., Langenberg, C.W., Chao, D.K. and Fietz, D. (1991): Coal compilation project-Donald Flats. Alberta Research Council, Open File Report 1991-7.

Dalehurst (83F/11)

Green, R. (1972): Geological Map of Alberta. Alberta Research Council, Scale 1:1,000,000.

Gregg Lake (83F/12)

Irish, E.J.W. (1947): Geological Survey of Canada. Map 899A, Gregg Lake.

Hightower Creek (83F/13)

Green, R. (1972): Geological Map of Alberta. Alberta Research Council, Scale 1:1,000,000.

Oldman Creek (83F/14)

Green, R. (1972): Geological Map of Alberta. Alberta Research Council, Scale 1:1,000,000.

In addition, unpublished reports by Union Oil Company of Canada were used to refine the extend of the Brazeau, Coalspur and Paskapoo formations.



р^а. " е 1 Strike and dip of bedding [Ks] Shaplesbury Fm. [TP] Paskapoo Fm. Anticline - K- Synchine - Thoust Fault KTCP Coalspur Fm. KD Coalspur Fm. KD Brazeau Fm. KMM Chungo Member of Lepiabi Fm. KMM Chungo Member of Lepiabi Fm. KMM Lower Wapiabi Fm. KMM Lower Wapiabi Fm. IF Fernie Fm. - Brezeau coal KBT Brazeau Fm. KC Carchan Fm. TRI Tricssic rocks E Fossil Locality KK Kashapan Fm. [MR] Rundle Gramp [Kou] Nunvegan Fm. Mar Banff Fm. Der Palliser Fm. 118-15:00 118*22'30"

Moberly CREEK

83-R-09

Sheet <u>No. 83-e-09</u>



RESOURCE ACCESS MAP 1:50 000

Nghway Designation Primary / Secondary	
Hard Surface - All weather	
Loose or Stabilized Surface - All weather (Main	route)
Loose or Stabilized Surface - All weather	
Loese Surface - Dry weather	~
Truck Trail	
Seismic Line, Trail, Culline	
Highway Interchange	
Bridge	
Ford Crossing (Subject to Conditions)	
Railway; Railway Point	
Railway (Abandoned, Condition or use unknown) _	+
Pipeline (Major)	
Transmission Line (Major)	•
Communication Tower (100 metres*)	Lookou
Forest Headquarters	Forest (Alberte
Ranger Station	Provinci {Alberta
Forestry Cabin	Campait (Alberta
Heliport	-
(Transport Canada)	Float La (Alberta
Airfield - Elevation and Length (ASL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke	Float La (Alberta
Airfield - Elevation and Length (ASL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Airstrip (Condition unknown)	Float La IAlberta
(Transport Canada)	Float La I'Alberta
(Transport Canada)	Float La (Alberta
(Transport Canada)	Float La (Alberta
(Transport Canada)	Float La (Alberta
(Transport Canada) Airfield - Elevation and Length (A SL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Surveyed Section Line - Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Mejor River	Float La (Alberta (8)
(Transport Canada)	Float La (Alberta
(Transport Canada)	Float La (Alberta
(Iransport Canada) Airfield - Elevation and Length (A SL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream Lake (Water level in metres ASL)	Float La (Alberta
(Iransport Canada) Airfield - Elevation and Length (A SL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Mejor River Perennial River or Stream Intermitient or Indefinite Stream Lake (Water level in metres A SL) Intermitient Lake	Float La (Alberta
(Iransport Canada) Airfield - Elevation and Length (A SL) In nundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream Lake (Water level in metres A SL) Sand or Gravet Bar	Float La (Alberta
(Iransport Canada) Airlield - Elevation and Length (A SL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perenniat River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Streem Lake (Water level in metres A SL) Major Gravet Ber Oul and Gas Activity Site	Float La (Alberta
(Irransport Canada) Airfield - Elevation and Length (A SL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perenniat River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream Lake (Water level in metres A SL) Intermittent Lake Sand or Gravet Ber OH and Gas Activity Site Clearing (Manmede)	Float La (Alberta
(Iransport Canada) Arfield - Elevation and Length (A SL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metree in bracke Arrstrip (Condition unknown) Townshig Line - Surveyed Townshig Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perenniat River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream Lake (Water level in metree A SL) Intermittent Lake Sand or Gravet Bar Ol and Gas Activity Site Permanent Sample Plots (P S.P)	Float La (Alberta
(Iransport Canada) Arfield - Elevation and Length (A SL.) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in bracke Arstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream Lake (Water level in metres A SL.) Ou and Gas Activity Site Ou and Gas Activity Site Permanent Sample Plots (P.S.P.) Mill Site	Float La (Alberta

PRODUCED AUGUST 1982

Map Base created from 1.20,000 Provincial Digital Bas Alberta township survey current to 1985 LATEST aurial photography used In revising ACCESS ONLY



 13
 14
 15
 16

 12
 11
 10
 10

 5
 6
 7
 8

 4
 3
 2
 1

hart of Albarta for Epoch 1990 Average Declination of the Compass in Dep Average Decrease of Declination in Minutes of Arc

)TE information as depicted, is subject to change, therefore the Gov no responsibility for discrepancies at time of use.

Contour interval 100' SCALE 1: 50 000 WEST OF THE SIXTH MERIDIAN Kilometres

Universal Transverse Mercator Projection

Produced by Resource Mapping Section of the Land Information Ser intended for Internal Use by Forestry, Lands and Wildlife Copyright : Not to be reproduced without permission





SHEET NO.83-E-15

Pierre Greys Lakes

Kon Dunvegen Fm. Ks Shefterburg Fm. KL Luscer Group Kcd Calomin Fm. & outcrop of thick coal seam (Fossil locality) To shuke and dip of bedding 30 [JN] Nikanassin Fm. [KWPU] Chungo Mbr. of Wapieli Fing.) (plus minor Womad Mbr.) JF] Fernie Fm. [KNOL] Lower Wapichi Fm. TR Triassic rocks **ns***40.06 118-42-30" 118*47'30" 118*45'00 118*50'00* ×Q. VMDT. 118*42'30" 118*50'00"



,

•



Map Base created from 1,20,000 Provincial Digital Base Alberts township survey current to 1985 LATEST aerial photography used In revising ACCESS ONLY







· · · · ·

• • •

831=-13 Hightower CREEK

> ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION esource information Management Branch

RESOURCE ACCESS MAP 1:50 000

Highway Designation : Primary / Secondary	[
Hard Surlace - All weather	
Loose or Stabilized Surface - All weather (Main route)	3000000
Loose or Steblized Surface - All weather	
Loose Surface - Dry weather	
Truck Treel	
Seismic Line, Trail, Cutline	 -
Highway Interchange	
Bridge	
Ford Crossing (Subject to Conditions)	
Reliway: Reliway Point	
Railway (Abandoned, Condition or use unknown)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pipeline	
Transmission Line (Major)	
Communication Tower (100 metres-) O	
Redeellon Area X Forest Headquer	ters
Heliport (Transport Canada) Ranger Station	
Airfield - Elevation and Length (ASL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres in brackets)	
Airstrip (Condition unknown)	
Township Line - Surveyed	
Township Line - Unsurveyed	
Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed	
Quarter Section Line with Section Number	
Major River	
Perennial River or Stream	
Intermittent or Indefinite Stream	
Lake (Water level in metres ASL)	and the second
Intermitteni Lako	
Sand or Gravel Bar	
Oll and Gas Activity Site	
Clearing (Manmade)	
Permanent Sample Plots (P.S.P.)	
Mail Site	
Gravel Pit, Sand Pit, Mind or Quarry	

& Diamonds in shream samples

ated from 1:20,000 Provincial Digital Base erts township survey current to 1994 LATEST serial photography used In fouring ACCESS ONLY 14 15 16 12 11 10 5 5 6 7 8 4 3 2 1 1993 Epoch 1990 0 ge Declination of the Compass in Depress Average Décrease of Declination in Minutes of Arc NOTE Information as depicted, is subject to change, therefore the Government of Alberta assumes no responsibility for discrepancies at time of use. Contour interval 501. SCALE 1 : 50 000 WEST OF THE FIFTH MERIDIAN Kleneres Calculate In anti-Universal Transverse Mercator Projection

> Produced by Resource Information Management Branch, Land Information Division for internal use by Alberta Environmental Protection. Copyright Not to be reproduced without permission



4

[TP] Paskapoo Fm.

ن00145°53 لمسبقة... 117°30'00'

117*32'30*









•





DALEHURST

83F-13

RESOURCE ACCESS MAP 1:50 000 -----

------ووشاويا كوساهما والمراج ster level in metres A.S.L. e de la calencia de l ----- Cie 🗍 Permanent Sample Plots (P S P.) -----

erta township survey current to 1994 LATEST serial photography used IN TEVELING ACCESS ONLY 13 14 15 12 11 10 9 1993 5 6 7 8 4 3 2 1

inge, therefore the Govern ly for discrepancies at time of use

Contour interval 501 SCALE 1 : 50 000 WEST OF THE FIFTH MERIDIAN





•

83F-14



RESOURCE ACCESS MAP

•	1:50	000		
Highway Designation . Primary / Seco	ndary	· • • • • • • • •		
Hard Surface - All weather				
Loose or Stabilized Surface - All weath	er iMain rout	•}		
Loose or Stabilized Surface - All weath	ef			
Loose Surface - Dry weather	* - • • - • • •	• • • • • • • • • •		
Truck Treil	· - -		====	
Seismic Line, Treil, Cutline	· • • • • •		· · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Highway Interchange				j
Bridge	•••••••			-
Ford Crossing (Subject to Conditions) .		· · · · · · · · ·		
Railway, Railway Point	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •		
Railway (Abandoned, Condition or use un	known)			-
Ріренле				
Transmission Line (Major)				
Communication Tower (100 metres*)	🖸	Lookout Ti) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Recreation Area		Forest Her	dquarters	•
(Bransport Canada)		Ranger SI	tion	
Airfield - Elevation and Length (ASL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres	in brackets)	••••	C	-
Airfield - Elevation and Length (ASL) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown)	m brackets)	•		-
Airfield - Elevation and Length (A S L) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed	m brackets)			
Airfield - Elevation and Length (A.S.L.) In hundreds of test (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed	m brackets)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	C	
Airfield - Elevation and Length (A S L) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed	н brackets)		C	
Airtield - Elevation and Length (ASL) in hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown)	H brackets)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Airfield - Elevation and Length (A S L) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Section Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River	HT brackets)			
Airfield - Elevation and Length (A S L) in hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Bection Line - Unsurveyed Quarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream	H brackets)			
Artield - Elevation and Length (A S L) in hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Arstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Section Line - Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream	H bracketsj			
Airfield - Elevation and Length (A S L) in hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Section Line - Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River	In brackets)			
Artield - Elevation and Length (A S L) in hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Arstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Section Line - Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream	Im bracketsj Im Im Im			
Artield - Elevation and Length (A S L) in hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Arstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Tewnship Line - Unsurveyed Bection Line - Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennual River or Stream Intermuttent or Indefinite Stream Intermuttent Lake	<pre> brackets; </pre>			
Artield - Elevation and Length (A S L) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Arstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Bection Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream ake (Water level in metres A S L) htermittent Lake Send or Grevel Ber Dil and Gas Activity Site	H bracketsj			
Artield - Elevation and Length (A S L) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Arstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Tewnship Line - Unsurveyed Bection Line - Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennual River or Stream Intermutient or Indefinite Stream Intermutient Lake Send or Grevel Ber Dil and Gas Activity Site Searing (Manmade)	<pre> brackets; </pre>			
Artield - Elevation and Length (A S L) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Arstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Township Line - Unsurveyed Bection Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennial River or Stream Intermittent or Indefinite Stream ake (Water level in metres A S L) htermittent Lake Send or Grevel Ber Dil and Gas Activity Site Claaring (Manmade) ermanent Sample Plots (PSP)	H bracketsj			
Airfield - Elevation and Length (A S L) In hundreds of feet (hundreds of metres Airstrip (Condition unknown) Township Line - Surveyed Tewnship Line - Unsurveyed Bection Line - Surveyed/Unsurveyed Duarter Section Line with Section Number Major River Perennual River or Stream nitermittent or Indefinite Stream ake (Water level in metres A S L) htermittent Lake Dil and Gas Activity Site Ferminent Sample Plots (P S P) Wil Site	<pre> brackets; </pre>			

se created from 1:20,000 Provincial Digital Base efta township survey current to 1994

LATEST serial photography used in revising ACCESS ONLY



tic Chart of Alberta for Epoch 1990.0 clination of the Compass in Degrees age Decrease of Declination in Minutes of Arc.

NOTE, information as depicted, is subject to change, therefore the Government of Alberta assumes no responsibility for discrepancies at time of use

83F 13 15 16

12 11 10 1 5 6 7 1 4 3 2 1

Contour interval 501 SCALE 1 : 50 000 WEST OF THE FIFTH MERIDIAN Universal Transverse Mercator Projection

> Préduced by Resource Information Management Branch, Land Information Division and is intender Capyright. Not to be reproduced without permissio





.

1

•

•

,

•

ION TROUGH TROYMIN-NEW CLAYMORE PROPERTIES IN HINTON AREA (line of section indicated on maps) Scale 1:50,000 (horizontal and vertical) CROSS SECTION Pinto Creek Diamond bearing Subsidiary of Pinto Creek Gulf 2-30-56-25h/5 proj: 4.7km SE Can. Huntor 2-29-56-24 W5 proj. 4 km NW Gulf 5_7-56-24WS proj. 5 km NW Texas Pacific 6-25-51-26WS proj. 27 Km NW shell 8-26=54-1 W6 proj. gkm SE Pinto | creek TKCP Paskapoo Fm Coalspur Fm. Brazecu Fm CORDENE Luscer (Mannville) Group 1:55:55 ppi a Devo -. : 1¹ · ,

· · ·

Gulf 10_9-57-24W5 proj. 1.74m NW



A''

r . •

Alberta Syncline

Can., Pac.Fic 1-10-55-27W5 proj. gkm SE Pinto Creek





•

ı

ان الوالية موالفة مناميكي



-

Report #1179

2

DIGHEM MAGNETIC SURVEY FOR MONTELLO RESOURCES LTD. HINTON AREA ALBERTA

NTS: 83E/9,1; 83L/1-3; 83F/11-15

Dighem, A division of CGG Canada Ltd. Mississauga, Ontario Greg Hodges Geophysicist

A1179AUG.95R

SUMMARY

This report describes the logistics and results of a DIGHEM airborne magnetic survey carried out for MONTELLO RESOURCES LTD., over a property located near HINTON, ALBERTA. Total coverage of the survey block amounted to 21,500 line km. The survey was flown from May 10, 1994 to July 3, 1994.

The purpose of the survey was to detect magnetic anomalies indicative of lamproites or kimberlites. This was accomplished by using a helicopter-borne high sensitivity cesium magnetometer, the data from which was processed to produce maps of the magnetic field over the survey area. The results were interpreted and prioritized based on anomaly shape and strength, choosing those anomalies which indicated circular features of moderate (100 m - 1000 m) width.

The survey property contains numerous anomalous features, many of which are considered to be of moderate to high priority as exploration targets. Most of the inferred bedrock magnetic anomalies appear to warrant further investigation using appropriate ground exploration techniques. Areas of interest may be assigned priorities on the basis of supporting geophysical, geochemical and/or geological information. After initial investigations have been carried out, it may be necessary to re-evaluate the remaining anomalies based on information acquired from the follow-up program.



FIGURE 1

MONTELLO RESOURCES

HINTON AREA, ALBERTA - #1179

CONTENTS

		Section
INTRODUCTION	•••••	1.1
SURVEY EQUIPMENT	• • • • • • • • • • • •	2.1
PRODUCTS AND PROCESSING TECH	NIQUES	3.1
SURVEY RESULTS		4.1
General Discussion	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	4.1 4.3 4.4
BACKGROUND INFORMATION		5.1
Magnetics		5.20
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDA	TIONS	6.1

APPENDICES

- B. Statement of CostC. Statement of QualificationsD. Magnetic Anomaly List

INTRODUCTION

A DIGHEM magnetic survey was flown for Montello Resources from May 10, 1994 to July 3,1994, over a survey block located near Hinton, Alberta. The survey area can be located on NTS map sheets 83E/9,16; 83L/1-3; 83F/11-15 (see Figure 1).

Survey coverage consisted of approximately 20,500 line-km, including tie lines. Flight lines were flown in an azimuthal direction of 090° with a line separation of 200 metres. The survey employed a helicopter borne Picodas optically pumped cesium magnetometer.

Section 2 provides details on the survey equipment, the data channels, their respective sensitivities, and the navigation/flight path recovery procedure.

SURVEY EQUIPMENT

This section provides a brief description of the geophysical instruments used to acquire the survey data:

Magnetometer

Model:	Picodas 3340
Туре:	Optically pumped cesium vapour magnetometer
Sensitivity:	0.01 nT
Sample rate:	10 per second

The magnetometer sensor is towed in a bird 20 m below the helicopter.

Magnetic Base Station

Model:	Geometrics G-826
Туре:	Digital recording proton precession
Sensitivity:	1.0 nT
Sample rate:	0.2 per second

A digital recorder is operated in conjunction with the base station magnetometer to record the temporal variations of the earth's magnetic field. The clock of the base station is synchronized with that of the airborne system to permit subsequent removal of the drift.

Radar Altimeter

Manufacturer:	Honeywell/Sperry
Туре:	AA 220
Sensitivity:	0.3 m

The radar altimeter measures the vertical distance between the helicopter and the ground.

Analog Recorder

Manufacturer:RMS InstrumentsType:DGR33 dot-matrix graphics recorderResolution:4x4 dots/mmSpeed:1.5 mm/sec

The analog profiles are recorded on chart paper in the aircraft during the survey.

Digital Data Acquisition System

Manufacturer:	Scintrex/Picodas	
Model:	PDAS-1000; Microprocessor-based	
Recorder:	Internal 40 megabyte cassette drive; RMS GR-33	
Manufacturer:	RMS Instruments	
Model:	DGR 33	
Recorder:	RMS TCR-12, 6400 bpi, tape cartridge recorder	

Tracking Camera

Type: Panasonic Video

Model: AG 2400/WVCD132

Fiducial numbers are recorded continuously and are displayed on the margin of each image. Visual flight path recovery techniques were used to confirm the location of the helicopter where distinctive topographic features could be identified on the ground. This procedure ensures accurate correlation of analog and digital data with respect to visible features on the ground.

Navigation System (RT-DGPS)

Model:	Sercel NR106, Real-time differential positioning	
Туре:	SPS (L1 band), 10-channel, C/A code, 1575.42 MHz.	
Sensitivity:	-132 dBm, 0.5 second update	
Accuracy:	< 5 metres in differential mode, \pm 50 metres in S/A (non differential) mode	

The Global Positioning System (GPS) is a line of sight, satellite navigation system which utilizes time-coded signals from at least four of the twenty-four NAVSTAR satellites. In the differential mode, two GPS receivers are used. The base station unit is used as a reference which transmits real-time corrections to the mobile unit in the aircraft, via a UHF radio datalink. The on-board system calculates the flight path of the helicopter while providing real-time guidance. The raw XYZ data are recorded for both receivers, thereby permitting post-survey processing for accuracies of approximately 5 metres.

Although the base station receiver is able to calculate its own latitude and longitude, a higher degree of accuracy can be obtained if the reference unit is established on a known benchmark or triangulation point. The GPS records data relative to the WGS84 ellipsoid, which is the basis of the revised North American Datum (NAD83). Conversion software is used to transform the WGS84 coordinates to the system displayed on the base maps.



Helicopter

The system was installed in a Bell 206BIII turbine helicopter (Registration C-FFUJ) which was provided by National Helicopters Ltd. The helicopter flew at an average airspeed of 125 km/h with an average magnetic bird (sensor) height of 45 m.

Higher winds may cause the system to be grounded because excessive bird swinging produces difficulties in flying the helicopter. The swinging results from the area which is presented by the bird to broadside gusts.

Field Workstation

Manufacturer:	Dighem
Model:	FWS: V2.65
Туре:	80486 based P.C

A portable PC-based field workstation is used at the survey base to verify data quality and completeness. Flight tapes are dumped to a hard drive to permit the creation of a database. This process allows the field operators to display both the positional (flight path) and geophysical data on a screen or printer.

PRODUCTS AND PROCESSING TECHNIQUES

The following products are available from the survey data. Those which are not part of the survey contract may be acquired later. Refer to Table 3-1 for a summary of the maps which accompany this report, some of which may be sent under separate cover. Most parameters can be displayed as contours, profiles, or in colour.

Base Maps

Base maps of the survey area have been produced from published topographic maps. These provide a relatively accurate, distortion-free base which facilitates correlation of the navigation data to the UTM grid. Photomosaics are useful for visual reference and for subsequent flight path recovery, but usually contain scale distortions. Orthophotos are ideal, but their cost and the time required to produce them, usually precludes their use as base maps.

Table 3-1 Survey Products

1. Preliminary Products @ 1:25,000

Total field magnetic contours.

- Final Transparent Maps (+5 prints x 30 Map sheets) @ 1:20,000
 Total field magnetic contours with interpreted anomalies.
- <u>Colour Maps</u> (3 sets x 30 Map sheets) @ 1:20,000
 Total field magnetics with interpreted anomalies.
- 4. <u>Colour Maps</u> (5 sets x 5 Map sheets) @ 1:50,000

Total field magnetics (Trend removed) with interpreted anomalies.

5. <u>Colour Maps</u> (5 copies x 1 Map) @ 1:100,000

Total field magnetics (Trend removed) with interpreted anomalies.

Survey report (5 copies) Analog chart records Flight path video cassettes VISION software package

Note: Other products can be produced from existing survey data, if requested.

- 3.2 -

Total Field Magnetics

The aeromagnetic data are corrected for natural variations over time of the earth's magnetic field using the data from the stationary magnetic base station.

Magnetic Derivatives

The total field magnetic data may be subjected to a variety of processing techniques to enhance the data for various anomaly types:

first vertical derivative (vertical gradient) second vertical derivative magnetic susceptibility reduction to the pole upward/downward continuations regional trend removal

All of these enhancement techniques improve the recognition and/or interpretation of near-surface magnetic bodies, with the exception of upward continuation. Any of these parameters can be produced on request. For the purpose of this survey, regional trend removal was used to produce maps with reduced regional variation, so that the colour distribution is applied over a smaller range, enhancing the smaller, local anomalies.

Dighem's proprietary enhanced magnetic technique is designed to provide a general "all-purpose" map, combining the more useful features of the above parameters. This was used to generate some preliminary maps.

Contour, Colour and Shadow Map Displays

The geophysical data are interpolated onto a regular grid using a modified Akima spline technique. The resulting grid is suitable for generating contour maps of excellent quality. The grid cell size is usually 25% of the line interval.

Colour maps are produced by interpolating the grid down to the pixel size. The parameter is then incremented with respect to specific amplitude ranges to provide colour "contour" maps. Colour maps of the total magnetic field are particularly useful in defining the lithology of the survey area.

Shadow maps are generated by employing an artificial sun to cast shadows on a surface defined by the geophysical grid. There are many variations in the shadowing technique. These techniques may be applied to total field or enhanced magnetic data, magnetic derivatives, VLF, resistivity, etc. The shadow of the enhanced magnetic parameter is particularly suited for defining geological structures with sharper images and improved resolution.

SURVEY RESULTS

The survey results are presented on 30 separate map sheets for each parameter at a scale of 1:20,000.

The total field magnetic data have been presented as contours on the base maps using a contour interval of 0.5 nT where gradients permit. The data on this survey showed a very large regional trend, reflecting a source of crustal depth, the 600nT amplitude range of which dominates the data set. The effect of this trend on data presentation is to spread the colour distribution over the entire range of data, leaving very little distinction between the commonly 2nT anomalies of interest. This problem was corrected on the 1:20,000 scale maps, which only show a small portion of the regional variation, by using a unique colour distribution for each map sheet. This enhances the colour appearance of the anomalies, but the plots will not match in colour at their boundaries. (The normal procedure is to use a single-colour-per-value distribution over all maps.)

The 1:50,000 and 1:100,000 scale maps cover a larger area than the 1:20,000 maps, so the regional distribution becomes significant. To enhance the display of the anomalies the total field magnetic data have been processed to produce "Trend Removed" magnetic map(s). This procedure samples the broad shape of the magnetic background and removes a calculated smooth regional from the data. This lowers the data range

across the entire survey area, and allows more colour and contours to be distributed to the anomalies. Trend removal does not increase or decrease the amplitude of the target anomalies above local background.

The magnetic results, in conjunction with the other geophysical parameters, should provide valuable information which can be used to effectively map the geology and structure in the survey areas.

ANOMALY SELECTION CRITERION

The interpretation was purely one of 'pipe selection' without inference of geology or structure.

The form of response considered were equidimensional "bullseye" anomalies in plan form, with moderate to high gradient, and moderate width. The 'priority' anomalies have been listed, however numerous (hundreds) smaller anomalies were also observed. These could be considered as secondary, for follow-up in areas that prove to be of greater interest.

Selection was based on the contour maps, however priority was assigned after examination of the profiles (Filtered, Residual, Raw and diurnal traces). Attributes considered important in assigning priority were:

- 1) Width of anomaly
- 2) Amplitude above the background
- 3) Isolation of response
- 4) Gradient
- 5) Shape
- 6) Plan form (preference to bullseye signatures)

Various cultural responses were known to occur in the area, many associated with oil and gas wells. Cultural probability has been assigned by comparison to known cultural responses, and proximity to areas of known culture.

The diurnal trace has been examined to ensure selected responses are not diurnal induced.

DESCRIPTIONS OF ANOMALIES

Appendix C contains a tabulation of various parameters for each selected anomaly. The parameters are as follows:

1. Line Number

Line and part number on which the anomaly occurs. For multiple line anomalies it is the central line, or the one on which the anomaly is predominant. Time flag for the anomaly, in seconds after midnight, measured from the centre of the anomaly.

3. X co-ordinate

Easting, in UTM metres - Zone 11.

4. Y co-ordinate

Northing, in UTM metres - Zone 11.

5. Width

The approximate width of the anomaly (measured from 1:25,000 scale Residual Magnetic Contour Maps)

6. PC

The probability of the response being caused by culture.

most probably culture
 some possibility of cultural origin

3 = probably not culture

7. Description

A brief description of the anomaly, taken primarily from profile form.

8. Priority

The priority assigned, assuming the response is not cultural.

- 1 = highest priority
- 2 = intermediate priority
- 3 = lowest priority of selected anomalies

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This report provides a brief description of the survey results and describes the equipment, procedures and logistics of the survey.

Every effort was made to exclude anomalies with visible cultural sources, but due to the numerous cultural features in the survey area, any interpreted anomalies which occur in close proximity to cultural sources should be confirmed as bedrock conductors prior to drilling.

Respectfully submitted,

DIGHEM

Greg Hodges Geophysicist

GH/sdp

APPENDIX A

LIST OF PERSONNEL

The following personnel were involved in the acquisition, processing, interpretation and presentation of data, relating to a DIGHEM^v airborne geophysical survey carried out for Montello Resources, near Hinton Alberta.

Steve Kilty Greg Paleolog Howard van Stone Steve Hayward K.H. Jones Ted Tyne Brenda Sharp Sue Jagger Greg Hodges Lyn Vanderstarren Mike Armstrong Susan Pothiah Albina Tonello Vice President, Operations Survey Operations Supervisor Senior Geophysical Operator Second Operator/Field Dataman Pilot (National Helicopters Ltd.) Data Processing Supervisor (Australia) Computer Processor (Australia) Interpretation Geophysicist (Australia) Report Preparation Drafting Supervisor Draftsperson (CAD) Word Processing Operator Secretary/Expeditor

The survey consisted of 21,500 km of coverage, flown from May 10, 1994 to July 3, 1994.

All personnel are employees of CGG Canada Ltd., except for the pilot who is an employee of National Helicopters Ltd.

DIGHEM

Greg Hodges Geophysicist

GH/sdp

APPENDIX B

STATEMENT OF COST

Date: August 8, 1995

IN ACCOUNT WITH DIGHEM

To: Dighem flying of Agreement dated April 14, 1994, pertaining to an Airborne Geophysical Survey in the Hinton area, Alberta.

Survey Charges

21,500 km of flying @ \$28.00/km

\$602,000.00

Allocation of Costs

- Data Acquisition	(60%)
- Data Processing	(20%)
- Interpretation, Report and Maps	(20%)

DIGHEM

Greg Hodges Geophysicist

GH/sdp

APPENDIX C

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, D. Greg Hodges of the City of Mississauga, Province of Ontario, do hereby certify that:

- 1. I am a geophysicist, residing in Mississauga, Ontario.
- 2. I am a graduate of Queens University, with a B.Sc. (Hons), Geophysics (1980).
- 3. I have been actively and continuously engaged in geophysical exploration since 1980.
- 4. I was personally responsible for the preparation of this report, based on examination of the interpretation report and the geophysical data.
- 5. I have no direct or indirect financial interest in the property described in this report.
- 6. I am presently employed by Dighem, a division of CGG Canada Ltd.

D. Greg Hodges Geophysicist
APPENDIX D

EM ANOMALY LIST

Multiple	Line	Anomali	es					
Line		Fid	X	Y	W	PC	Desc Pr	Į.
1006	/1	55070	386806	6001402	800	3	Broad along line	2
1012	/0	14320	381064	6000191	250	1		2
1013	/0	21238	386619	5999979	400	- 3	Arcuate, double peaked	2
1015	/3	35673	379979	5999786	400	3	Bullseye, good amplitude	L
1015	/1	1768	382804	5999598	500	3	High amplitude, good shape	1
1025	ίō	29869	429525	5997601	250	3	Double peaked	1
1033	/1	7415	423974	5996004	250	3	Double peaked, elongate	1
1034	/1	29809	428583	5995805	300	3	Broad	2
1045	12	75200	429768	5993624	250	3	Low amplitude	3
1046	/1	7428	425974	5993404	350	3	Low amplitude	3
1112	10	17755	439228	5980166	300	3	Within a zone of anomalies	1
1114	/0	18046	407478	5979793	250	3	Symmetrical, good	1
****	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10040	42/4/0	5515155	2.00	•	amplitude, elongate	
1120	/5	50100	120262	5077031	200	2	Symmetrical peak, near	1
1170	/5	20100	429202	29//031	200	5	cluster of anomalies	-
1110	10	22200	450000	5000005	400	2	Provd double peaked	2
1112	/0	22300	459088	5980205	. 400	3	Broad, double peaked	
	/-	1007	450001	5000005	400	2	anomary	2
1113	/1	1007	459221	5980005	400	3	Nees oulture elliptical	1
1132	1	5367	485103	5976202	200	3	Near culture, elliptical	ĩ
1137	/5	48964	445375	5975196	400	3	A wide response	- -
1148	/5	50100	474556	5973000	150	3	Continues over six line	2
1157	/5	31752	489955	5971218	300	Ţ	amplitude	-
1172	/1	41668	418453	5968193	250	3	Not a closure, low amplitude	3
1257	/5	8968	489469	5951220	150	3	Narrow, peaked	1
1259	/5	40790	472646	5950808	200	3	Symmetrical, good	1
2207	/-	10770				-	amplitude	
1291	/0	49226	455199	5942010	200	1	Near cultural response	3
1202	/5	16005	126008	5044425	150	5	Shallow gradient	3
1200	/3	2191	420030	5944425	250		Flongate north-south	2
1290	/1	26620	440072	5944500	200	2	Bulleeve wide	1
1230	10	1021	455707	5343404	300	2	Good amplitude, double	1
1334	70	1931	459595	2932193	300	3	peak, within cluster of	-
			456006		150	- ·		1
1348	/0	35511	456006	5932994	150	5	Moderate ampilitude,	<u>.</u>
						-	within cluster of anomalies	5
1280	/0	33658	487367	5946567	150	3	Small bullseye anomaly	2
1009	/0	2676	363957	6000829	200	2	At edge of arcuate	2
							structural feature	
1014	/0	28244	362420	5999984	150	2	Small closure within gradient	د
1026	/1	71165	250012	5007407	100	1	Narrow dipole	3
1020		71105	365034	5997403	250		Subtle response, elongate	3
1030	/0	32309	303724	5995011	250	2	along line	
1043	/0	9014	368076	5994019	350	3	Wide, flat anomaly	2
1047	/0	31688	366055	5993214	200	2	Dipole, steep	2
1012	/0	12825	374666	6000212	250	3	Low amplitude	3
1017	/0	5745	378996	5999172	150	1	Peaked, narrow	2
1021	/1	11175	382130	5998398	200	1	Within a line of anomalies,	1
							peaked with good amplitude	_
1026	/1	65340	381458	5997392	150	1	Within a line of anomalies	3

Single Line Line	Anomalies Fid	X	Y	W	PC	Desc Pr	τy
1033 /0) 16022	379652	5995986	100	1	Within a cluster of anomalies, narrow, peaked, with moderate amplitude	2
1033 /0) 16150	379322	5995991	250	1	Within a cluster of anomalies, broad, good amplitude	2
1037 /0	40870	379075	5995210	100	1	Within a cluster of anomalies, narrow, good amplitude, dipole	3
1039 /0	0 18570	378522	5994811	100	1	Within a cluster of anomalies, narrow, peaked, good amplitude	3
1052 /0	21340	384606	5992214	350	3	Bullseye, moderate gradient	2
1037 /0	43926	391964	5995178	250	3	Poorly defined	3
1040 /:	L 43750	398328	5994609	150	1	Narrow, peaked, good amplitude	2
1016 /:	L 67673	422567	5999388	150	1	Narrow, peaked	1
1021 /0	0 71276	416604	5998406	200	3	May be structurally related, bullseye with a good shape in profile form	1
1026 /	25547	422640	5997410	300	3	Moderate amplitude	2
1039 /	1 48750	412650	5994791	200	3	Low amplitude, small closure	3
1041 /	58596	410609	5994400	200	· 3	Narrow, peaked	3
1047 /:	1 15280	415702	5993203	150	1	Narrow, peaked	1
1036 /	2 73672	433159	5995406	200	3	Small bullseye, low amplitude	3
1047 /	1 10310	432931	5993196	100	1	Narrow, peaked	3
1087 /	0 30482	423866	5985208	250	4	Extends to the northeast, low amplitude	3
1100 /	0 38368	422336	5982591	150	1~	Symmetrical, good amplitude	7
1119 /	0 78450	418453	5978812	200	1	Low amplitude	2
1121 /	0 35815	419129	5978412	300	Ţ	Symmetrical	2
1127 /	1 81450	427501	5977217	500	1	Wide	3
1150 /	0 85287	429960	5972619	150	1	amplitude	ວ າ
1134 /		444972	5975764	200	2	amplitude	۔ م
1147 /	5 72756	435829	5973196	100		amplitude	2
1153 /	1 30297	444787	5972001	150	1	Symmetrical, good amplitude	2
1158 /	0 7070	438679	5971005	500	1	Wide, several peaks	3
1161 /	1 35290	434393	5970415	100	1	Good amplitude	2
1119 /	1 1979	468365	5978774	200	4	Circular	4
1119 /	1 2661	471032	5978766	300	3	Isolated, at edge of deep circular feature	- -
1122 /	0 17400	476260	5978172	200	3	Elongate along flight line	3
1125 /	5 48358	478812	5977614	100	1	Symmetrical, good amplitude	1
1126 /	0 16640	479611	5977418	100	1	Symmetrical, good amplitude	1
1126 /	0 16781	479117	5977440	150	1	Symmetrical, good amplitude	2

Single Line	Anomalies						
Line	Fid	Y	v	147	DC	Dogg	Deres
1144 /2	16450	193260	5072505	100	1		FLY
1144 /2	10450	403200	33/3333	100	± .	amplitude	
1156 /0	41240	477954	5971406	150	1	Symmetrical, good	1
						amplitude	
1157 /5	28143	474610	5971203	100	1	Symmetrical	2
1160 /1	78198	474861	5970638	100	1	Symmetrical, good	1
1126 /0	13030	493503	5977867	250	1	Cood amplitude	2
1252 /2	11630	423559	5952187	100	1	High amplitude.	1
					-	symmetrical	. –
1180 /1	53210	434645	5966538	100	1	High amplitude,	1
1219 /0	39890	441846	5958754	100	. 1	Bymmetrical High amplitude.	2
, -			0700704	200	-	symmetrical	
1244 /0	25120	440158	5953797	200	1	High amplitude,	1
1173 /1	63955	416560	5067000	100	4	symmetrical	
11/3 /1	03035	410300	390/982	100	T	symmetrical	
1164 /1	77270	450806	5969800	200	3	Small closure, moderate	2
1170 /0						amplitude	
11/2 /0	65876	469061	5968197	350	3	Isolated bullseye,	1
1192 /0	18152	165615	EDEADOE	200		Moderate amplitude	2
122 /0	71202	403043	5704265	300	3	Moderate amplitude	2
1223 /2	/1362	403//4	575/936	200	3	Small Closure, not evident on profiles	3
1227 /1	34693	468055	5957147	100	1	Good amplitude.	2
					-	symmetrical	-
1241 /3	68170	458609	5954394	100	1	Good amplitude,	2
1241 /3	68262	458258	5954401	100	1	symmetrical	
1241 / 5	00202	430230	39344UI	TOO	1	symmetrical	2
1255 /1	23372	462736	5951620	100	1	Good amplitude,	2
1101 /0			-		_	symmetrical	•
1191 /0	34346	470395	5964412	150	3	Bullseye anomaly - small	2
1174 /0	51720	472782	5967774	200	. 1	Symmetrical	1
1179 /0	12663	477211	5966809	100	1	Dipole	1
1182 /0	24236	482111	5966153	100	1	Good amplitude,	1
1193 /0	12200	400000	5066000			symmetrical	
1163 /0	12/90	482206	5966037	100	1	Good ampllitude,	1
1185 /0	85272	475107	5065570	100	1	Nodorato amplitudo	2
1207 /0	4222	473127	5965576	100	· <u> </u>	Moderate amplitude	4
1207 70	4332	4/4910	2201180	150	T	GOOd amplitude,	T
1210 /0	4033	473926	5960586	100	3	Small bullseye anomaly,	1
						narrow	
1220 /1	22936	479843	5958562	200	1	Good amplitude,	1
1227 /1	32060	170721	5057142	100	1		1
1247 /1	52000	4/0231	595/145	100	.	symmetrical	. 1
1232 /1	61120	477711	5956206	150	1	Good amplitude,	1
1035 /3	27075	176061	FOFFFOR	100	•	symmetrical	~
1007 /0	4/7/3	4/0201	5755577	100	Ţ	nthote	2
1237 /3	42115	489044	5954802	100	1	Moderate amplitude,	2
1237 /3	42320	488374	5954804	100	1	Good amplitude	1
/•				TOO	-	symmetrical	, *
1237 /4	24730	482466	5955188	300	3	Low amplitude, broad	3
1242 /3	73800	475574	5954200	150	1	Low amplitude	3

Single Li	ne	Anomailes			·	·		_
Line		Fid	X	Y	W	PC	Desc	Pry
1257	/5	6436	479159	5951206	100	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	1
1259	/5	39770	477185	5950809	100	1	Good amplitude,	1
1259	/5	40980	471807	5950785	100	1	Good amplitude,	1
1261	/5	15457	489693	5950363	200	3	Good amplitude,	1
1242	1.	1007	400710	E0E4106	150	2	Bynneciicai Modorato amplitudo	2
1283	/2	51017	423583	5945975	150	2	Narrow, bullseye, good	1
1201	10	40705	400174	5044401	100	2	amplitude	. 1
1274	10	1900	4201/4	57444UI 50/7705	100	1	Summetrical cood	1
12/4	,.	1900	44/134	374//33	100	ب	amplitude	
1279	/0	47350	436470	5947145	200	1 .	Symmetrical, good amplitude	2
1279	/0	48850	442422	5947151	150	. 1	Symmetrical, good amplitude	1
1299	/0	39950	434602	5942786	100	2	Symmetrical, good amplitude	2
1292	/0	41145	446847	5944178	100	3	Small bullseve	1
1320	/3	23960	431093	5938602	200	3	Small bullseye within a	1
·							cluster of responses, good amplitude	
1320	/3	2435	432513	5938555	100	2	Symmetrical, good	1
1267	/4	2478	461921	5949225	100	1	Symmetrical, good	2
1274	/2	43485	461766	5947819	100	1	Symmetrical, good	1
1297	/0	18579	466246	5943162	200	3	Moderate amplitude	1
1318	/1	41080	467088	5939013	100	1	Symmetrical, good	ī
1319	/0	23945	456970	5938821	100	1	Symmetrical, good	1
1336	/0	68336	468147	5935385	100	1	Symmetrical, good	1
1337	/0	75770	466649	5935227	100	1	Symmetrical, good	1
1341	/0	19600	464663	5934405	100	1	Symmetrical, good	1
1343	/0	42675	458679	5934008	200	1	Symmetrical, good	1
1344	/0	6475	465832	5933809	150	4	Symmetrical, good	2
1349	/0	2422	460676	5932790	200	3	Symmetrical, good	2
1264	/4	33715	471215	5949804	200	3	Good amplitude, within	1
1268	/6	8900	487135	5949006	150	1	Good amplitude,	2
1269	/2	5785	470935	5948790	150	3	Good amplitude, bullseye anomaly, within cluster	2
1272	/1	15355	471388	5948187	150	3	Good amplitude, bullseye anomaly, within cluster	2

Single	Line	Anomalies						
Li	ne	Fid	X	Y	W	PC	Desc	Pry
12	75 /1	29090	475086	5947601	100	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	2
12	79 /1	6060	475474	5946811	100	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	2
12	81 /0	25620	471714	5946399	100	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	2
12	82 /0) 15650	471332	5946153	100	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	2
12	86 /0	8880	475134	5945380	100	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	2
12	96 /0) 30390	475962	5943394	100	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	3
13	03 /0	25800	483629	5941980	150	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	2
13	19 /0) 20290	470742	5938733	150	1	Good amplitude, symmetrical	2
13	18 /3	47362	492824	5939006	200	3	Moderate amplitude	3
13	26 /:	79468	492181	5937395	200	3	Moderate amplitude	3
13	26 /	L 79557	492575	5937383	200	3	Moderate amplitude	3



.









IGHEM SUP	RVEY	NTS: see above	GEOPHYSICI
ATE: JULY,	1994	JOB: 1179	SHEET: 4
	DIGHEM,	A division of	CGG Conada Lto
	0	1	









MONTI H	ELLO RESOUR	CES LTI Berta
ΤΟΤΑ	L FIELD MAG	NETICS
DIGHEM * SURVEY	NTS: see above	GEOPHYSICIST:
ATE: JULY, 1994	JO8: 1179	SHEET: 7
DIGHEN	A, A division of CGG (Canada Ltd.
0		2 K
	Scale 1:20,000	
	DIGHEN	



.

•

• • •



DIGHEM * SURVEY	NTS: see ab	ove		GEOPH	MSICI
DATE: JULY, 1994	JOB: 1179			SHEET	: 9
DIGHEM,	A division	of	CGG	Canada	Ltd
			. <i>i</i>		
0		1			
	Scale	1:20	000		

433000 4975 3175 3225 3250 \smile 3050 2150 · ---- (1020 2200 2175 7775 ----1030 ______ ----5 1975 11040 1 \sim 1100 1125 11050 1 200 \sim \sim 3985 1838 it 2 300 / 575 SSO 1110 29190 - "siesy" 1105 ~ 1625 1575 -th \sim $\langle \rangle$ 2329 2300 \sim $\sim - 1$ ~ +00 <u>____</u> 2510 1-4-1 101 6.75 1500. \sim 2020 ****€=' 1 81297 \checkmark 7275 //~ 7250 3900E 13450 2985 -11-575 1973 3775 JACO 2900 2010 10 > 2325 ,2**91**0 -----N 0175 6 .820 - 8 s 3940

•

•

• <u>·</u> · ·

The second secon S. 3084 1672 - Jacob - J 1/ 2556 /4/ 1/371911 Ville !!

•

• 14

and the second second

1 c 0 c 4 The XIII I HAVE 1 mad All All All All All WILL OF 200 · • • • • ill oft + + 11/10/14/ 1. 5075 1 ///////shiphi / 1117/31/ × 000 / 1630 12241

-

. •

· .

د. ⊷ بیده، سیم از باده دمیم از این ا

الإستان مستعلقا والمعالي المعادي المستعلق أنسا سأتها فالشراب والمعاليات المراجع

Level - and the second states

• • • •

A CO ZIPEL 750 > 13071 2875 > 13131 and the second second) 24140 > 13140 > 13160 ----------4375 l'ant an antara i Line

5220- 90 12950 1200 F007 6800 \$700 6725 حكنكه 13012 3800 13020 🛏 - - -2325 3000 .ค.เป \sim 1625 1.686 483-----(Pa) 307 308 1800-1775 13090 -- 425 13100 4 sr68 31.10 ५—) MEO 1 13120 6025 3-5 375 195 3160 3007 = 39% HIM 2100 - 2885-14775 4525 101 Jan 1 469000 E •

r.

• · · · ·

-

1/2/25 - 1/ 2200 6325 1 - 1 erted , z •6700 ___ 6400 berg 1000 - 100 -'348X 4108 10000 7 254 7703 .3110 TUN THE Juli U. M.

DISCOVERY Consultants MONTELLO RESOURCES LTD. HINTON PROPERTY UTM Permits, Aeromagnetic Anomalies, Heavy Mineral Sample Locations, Glacial Features DATE: Jan. 31/1995 PROJECT: 559 SCALE: 1:100000 NTS: Part of 83E,F,K.L

FIGURE: 3

DRAWN. Jan. 31/1905 REVISED KILOMETRES

