MAR 19950011: FORT FITZGERALD

Received date: Aug 15, 1995

Public release date: Aug 16, 1996

DISCLAIMER

By accessing and using the Alberta Energy website to download or otherwise obtain a scanned mineral assessment report, you ("User") agree to be bound by the following terms and conditions:

- a) Each scanned mineral assessment report that is downloaded or otherwise obtained from Alberta Energy is provided "AS IS", with no warranties or representations of any kind whatsoever from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Energy ("Minister"), expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, no warranties or other representations from the Minister, regarding the content, accuracy, reliability, use or results from the use of or the integrity, completeness, quality or legibility of each such scanned mineral assessment report;
- b) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable laws, the Minister hereby expressly disclaims, and is released from, liability and responsibility for all warranties and conditions, expressed or implied, in relation to each scanned mineral assessment report shown or displayed on the Alberta Energy website including but not limited to warranties as to the satisfactory quality of or the fitness of the scanned mineral assessment reports and warranties as to the non-infringement or other non-violation of the proprietary rights held by any third party in respect of the scanned mineral assessment report;
- c) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents, exclude and disclaim liability to the User for losses and damages of whatsoever nature and howsoever arising including, without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, consequential, punitive or incidental damages, loss of use, loss of data, loss caused by a virus, loss of income or profit, claims of third parties, even if Alberta Energy have been advised of the possibility of such damages or losses, arising out of or in connection with the use of the Alberta Energy website, including the accessing or downloading of the scanned mineral assessment report and the use for any purpose of the scanned mineral assessment report.
- d) User agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents against and from any and all third party claims, losses, liabilities, demands, actions or proceedings related to the downloading, distribution, transmissions, storage, redistribution, reproduction or exploitation of each scanned mineral assessment report obtained by the User from Alberta Energy.

Alberta

Alberta Mineral Assessment Reporting System

GEOLOGICAL REPORT ON

ł

...

5

The second second

. .

FORT SMITH AND FORT FITZGERALD AREA

EXPLORATION PROJECT

in

NORTHEAST ALBERTA

for

E. J. Friesen and Associates Inc.

Adrian G. Mann Ph.D., P.Geol.

RUTHRIE Enterprises Ltd., 10443 Brackenridge Road, S.W., Calgary, Alberta - T2W 1A1

11 August 1995



FRONTICEPIECE

The Dog River Linear, looking east from the hills southwest of Three Pond Slough, east of Beaver Lake

E.J. Friesen & Associates Inc. _

#8 Lake Placid Rise S.E. Calgary, Alberta T2J 5B5 Telephone (403) 278-2577 Fax (403) 278-2638

 \circ

σ

 \sim

S

... 2

EHEFIGY/ENV. PROT.

August 12, 1995

Alberta Energy Mineral Resources Division Petroleum Plaza - North Tower 9945 - 108 Street Edmonton, Alberta T5K 2G6

Att: Mr. Brian Hudson <u>Manager, Mineral Agreements</u>

Dear Sir:

1.1-

ł

1

Re: Metallic Mineral Permits 9392060003 9392060004 9392070001 9392070002 9392070003 9392070003

(1) As part of our work on these permits, we have identified the portions which we wish to retain.

Metallic Mineral Permits 9392060003 and 9392060004 were reduced in size in April 1995.

We further wish to reduce the size of Metallic Mineral Permits 9392070001, 9392070002, 9392070003 and 9392070004 as per the attached, which is summarized as follows:

(a) Metallic Mineral Permit 9392070001

Area	LSD's	Hectares
5	70	1120

(b) Metallic Mineral Permit 9392070002

Delete total area

(c) Metallic Mineral Permit 9392070003

Delete total area

(d) Metallic Mineral Permit 9392070004

Area	LSD's	Hectares				
· .						
6	121	1936				

The new metallic Permits are described in detail on the following documents (copies attached)

(i) Area Map - Drawing # 95041-M1 Rev. 1

(ii) Permit descriptions (Pages 1 to 5)

(2) The assessment report (2 copies) for these Metallic Mineral Permits is attached. Apart from claim and permit acquisition costs of \$2,700.00, a total sum of \$40,614.00 has been spent in geological and allied research work on these properties to July 1995.

Please contact the writer should you have any questions.

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours truly;

E.J. Friesen & Associates Inc.

Ed Friesen President

Minerals Permit # 9392070001 Date: August 12, 1995 Reference Drawing # 95041-M1 Rev. 1 Page 1 of 5

Area # 5

01-04-126-10 W4M	02-04-126-10	W4M
03-04-126-10 W4M	04-04-126-10	W4M
05-04-126-10 W4M	06-04-126-10	W4M
07-04-126-10 W4M	08-04-126-10	W4M
01-05-126-10 W4M	02-05-126-10	W4M
05-05-126-10 W4M	06-05-126-10	W4M
07-05-126-10 W4M	08-05-126-10	W4M
09-05-126-10 W4M	10-05-126-10	W4M
11-05-126-10 W4M	12-05-126-10	W4M
09-06-126-10 W4M	10-06-126-10	W4M
11-06-126-10 W4M	12-06-126-10	W4M
13-06-126-10 W4M	14-06-126-10	W4M
15-06-126-10 W4M	16-06-126-10	W4M
09-34-125-10 W4M	10-34-125-10	W4M
11-34-125-10 W4M	12-34-125-10	W4M
13-34-125-10 W4M	14-34-125-10	W4M
15-34-125-10 W4M	16-34-125-10	W4M
00-25-125.10 WAX		13 4 34
11-25-125-10 WAM		W4M
12-35-125-10 W4M		W4M
15-35-125-10 W4M		W4M
15-35-125-10 W4M	16-35-125-10	w4M
01-36-125-10 WAM	02-36-125-10	WAM
05-36-125-10 WAM		WAM
07-36-125-10 W4M	08-36-125-10	WAM
09-36-125-10 W4M	10-36-125-10	WAM
11-36-125-10 W4M	12-36-125-10	WAM
13-36-125-10 W4M	14-36-125-10	WAM
10 100 10 MAM	14 30 123 10	
03-31-125-09 W4M	04-31-125-09	W4M
05-31-125-09 W4M	06-31-125-09	W4M

Minerals Permit # 9392070001 Date: August 12, 1995 Reference Drawing # 95041-M1 Rev. 1

Page 2 of 5

Area # 5 (continued)

01-30-125-09	W4M	02-30-125-09	W4M
07-30-125-09	W4M	08-30-125-09	W4M
09-30-125-09	W4M	10-30-125-09	W4M
11-30-125-09	W4M	12-30-125-09	W4M
13-30-125-09	W4M	14-30-125-09	W4M
15-30-125-09	W4M	16-30-125-09	W4M

Total

70 LSD's

Minerals Permit # 9392070004 Date: August 12, 1995 Reference Drawing # 95041-M1 Rev. 1 Page 3 of 5

Area # 6

Γ

01-14-125-09	W4M	02-14-125-09	W4M
03-14-125-09	W4M	06-14-125-09	W4M
09-14-125-09	W4M	10-14-125-09	W4M
01-13-125-09	W4M	02-13-125-09	W4M
03-13-125-09	W4M	04-13-125-09	W4M
05-13-125-09	W4M	06-13-125-09	W4M
07-13-125-09	W4M	08-13-125-09	W4M
11-13-125-09	W4M	12-13-125-09	W4M
14-12-125-09	W4M	15-12-125-09	W4M
16-12-125-09	W4M		
		ж	
			•
07-01-125-08	W4M	08-01-125-08	W4M
09-01-125-08	W4M	10-01-125-08	W4M
11-01-125-08	W4M	12-01-125-08	W4M
13-01-125-08	W4M	14-01-125-08	W4M
15-01-125-08	W4M	16-01-125-08	W4M
09-02-125-08	W4M	10-02-125-08	W4M
13-02-125-08	W4M	14-02-125-08	W4M
15-02-125-08	W4M	16-02-125-08	W4M
16-03-125-08	W4M		
09-07-125-08	W4M	10-07-125-08	W4M
11-07-125-08	W4M	12-07-125-08	W4M
13-07-125-08	W4M	14-07-125-08	W4M
15-07-125-08	W4M	16-07-125-08	W4M
		· · · · ·	
05-08-125-08	W4M	06-08-125-08	W4M
07-08-125-08	W4M	08-08-125-08	W4M
09-08-125-08	W4M	10-08-125-08	W4M
11-08-125-08	W4M	12-08-125-08	W4M
13-08-125-08	W4M	14-08-125-08	W4M
15-08-125-08	W4M	16-08-125-08	W4M

Minerals Permit # 9392070004 Date: August 12, 1995 Reference Drawing # 95041-M1 Rev. 1 Page 4 of 5

Area # 6 (continued)

 $- \tilde{c} > c$

05-09-125-08	W4M	06-09-125-08	W4M
07-09-125-08	W4M	08-09-125-08	W4M
09-09-125-08	W4M	10-09-125-08	W4M
11-09-125-08	W4M	12-09-125-08	W4M
01-10-125-08	W4M	02-10-125-08	W4M
03-10-125-08	W4M	04-10-125-08	W4M
05-10-125-08	W4M	06-10-125-08	W4M
07-10-125-08	W4M	08-10-125-08	W4M
11-10-125-08	W4M	12-10-125-08	W4M
01-11-125-08	WAM	02-11-125-08	W4M
03-11-125-08	WAM	04-11-125-08	W4M
05-11-125-08	WAM	12-11-125-08	W4M
02-17-125-08	W4M	03-17-125-08	W4M
04-17-125-08	W4M	05-17-125-08	W4M
06-17-125-08	W4M	07-17-125-08	W4M
03-02-125-07	WAM	04-02-125-07	TJ A M
03-03-125-07	W411	04-03-125-07	W 4111
01-04-125-07	W4M	02-04-125-07	W4M
03-04-125-07	W4M	04-04-125-07	W4M
05-04-125-07	W4M	06-04-125-07	W4M
07-04-125-07	W4M	08-04-125-07	W4M
01-05-125-07	W4M	02-05-125-07	W4M
05-05-125-07	W4M	06-05-125-07	W4M
07-05-125-07	W4M	08-05-125-07	W4M
09-05-125-07	W4M	10-05-125-07	W4M
11-05-125-07	W4M	12-05-125-07	W4M

Minerals Permit # 9392070004 Date: August 12, 1995 Reference Drawing # 95041-M1 Rev. 1 Page 5 of 5

Area # 6 (continued)

.....

• '

05-06-125-07	W4M	06-06-125-07	W4M
07-06-125-07	W4M	08-06-125-07	W4M
09-06-125-07	W4M	10-06-125-07	W4M
11-06-125-07	W4M	12-06-125-07	W4M
13-06-125-07	W4M	14-06-125-07	W4M
15-06-125-07	W4M	16-06-125-07	W4M
13-34-124-07	W4M	14-34-124-07	W4M

Total 121 LSD's

GEOLOGICAL REPORT on PRECIOUS METALS in NORTHEAST ALBERTA

Permit Number's

9392060003 9392060004 9392070001 9392070002 9392070003 9392070004

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

E.J. Friesen and Associates Inc, has Metallic Mineral Exploration Permits in the area centred on Fort FitzGerald, northeast Alberta, where an unusual configuration of aeromagnetic features requires some attention.

A study of the aerial photographs in and around the permits, in conjunction with a re-examination of the early government aeromagnetic work highlighted certain target areas where field work was required. Field mapping rejected some of these areas as being too difficult to assess, through paucity of outcrop, lack of access; and brought certain others to the fore, where some ground magnetometery, soil-, stream- and rock chip sampling, and detailed structural work has been undertaken.

Apart from claim and permit acquisition costs of \$2,700.00, a total sum of \$40,614.00 has been spent in geological and allied research work on these properties to July 1995.

The company has the technical and professional ability to address the project in a workmanlike manner. The project is speculative, but is built on solid scientific foundations, and has potential merit.

E.J. FRIESEN AND ASSOCIATES INC.

Page 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary

Table of Contents

List of Figures

1 Terms of Reference

2 Property Description, Ownership and Location

3 Access, Climate, Infrastructure

4 Geology

÷ .

£

5 Detailed Investigations

6 Interpretation of the Features Seen

7 Expenditure

8 Conclusions and Recommendations

9 Certification

10 Figures

11 Appendices

11.1 Analytical Certificates

- 11.2 Personnel Involved
- 11.3 Detail of Expenditures

Page 4

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Location and Metallic Mineral Permit Holdings Figure 2 Regional Aeromagnetic Map Figure 3 Magnetic Features in Fitzgerald Area Figure 4 Location of ground magnetometer traverses. Figure 5 Justin Slough - geological sketch map. Figure 6 Portage Quarry - geological sketch map. Figure 7 Beaver Lake - Stream Sediment Sampling Figure 8 Beaver Lake - Geological Sketch Map Figure 9 Communications Tower - Magnetometer Survey Figure 10 Lookout Area - Magnetometer Survey Figure 11 Riversedge Area - Magnetometer Survey Figure 12 Fred's Area - Magnetometer Survey Figure 13 Fin Island Area - Magnetometer Survey Figure 14 R.C. Area - Magnetometer Survey Figure 15 Three Pond Slough - Magnetometer Survey Figure 16 Three Pond Island - Magnetometer Survey Figure 17 Marilou - Magnetometer Survey Figure 18 Portage Quarry - Magnetometer Survey



E.J. FRIESEN & ASSOCIATES INC. FITZGERALD EXPLORATION PROJECT - NORTHEAST ALBERTA

1 Terms of Reference

I, Adrian Gardiner MANN, an associate of E. J. Friesen and Associates Inc., was retained by E.J. Friesen and Associates Inc. at irregular times over the past three years to visit, and to examine all data on, and in the immediate vicinity of, the company's Metallic Minerals Exploration Permits in Northeastern Alberta, to review them critically, and to report on the potential for viable economic mineral deposits in the area in general, and on these permits in particular.

To this end, I have:

È

1.1 read the literature quoted and other learned papers;

1.2 examined information in the company's records pertaining to the area;

1.3 examined the aerial photographs of the area at the University of Calgary Library;

1.4 visited the area, sketch mapped certain exposures, and taken numerous spot samples.

Page 6

GEOLOGICAL REPORT on FORT FITZGERALD AREA, NORTHEAST ALBERTA

2 Property Description, Ownership and Location

The company has a modest land holding to the north, east and west of Fort Fitzgerald, being six metallic mineral permits which were staked in 1991. Individual blocks, their ownership and anniversary dates are detailed on Table I, and depicted on Fig 1.

3 Access, Climate, Infrastructure

The Fort Smith Weather Guide, produced by the department of Economic Development and Tourism of the Northwest Territories, describes the climate of the area as one of long cold winters, in which daily maximum temperatures for January average -22°C, daily minimum temperatures average -32°C; and short cool summers, in which July temperatures average 22°C. The area is cool, but summer temperatures in excess of 35°C have been recorded, as too have winter temperatures below -50°C. There are 200 days in which the average daily temperature is below 0.0°C. Snow of a measurable amount falls between 10 and 14 times a month in winter. Annual snowfall averages 150cm, average rainfall averages 210mm per annum. The ground is generally snow free from April through October.

There are power, utilities, and a serviced airport at the town of Fort Smith, some 15km north of Fort Fitzgerald. Forth Smith is connected by gravel highway with Hay River, and thus to Peace River in Alberta, and by excellent gravel road to Fort Fitzgerald. Apart from the main roads detailed above, access thereafter is difficult, served by the winter road through Fort Chipewyan to Fort McMurray in the southeast, and by winter road through the Wood Buffalo National Park to Fort Vermilion in the southwest. Some poor forestry and seismic roads exist, plus hunters' and oil strat-test well-access tracks in poor to very poor condition, but which are generally accessible in most weather by ATV.

Field operations have been headquartered in Fort Smith and all consumables could be obtained there. Apart from the two settlements, the area is largely uninhabited, but skills and equipment are available locally, both in the local native settlement, and in Fort Smith.

4 Geology

4.1 Surficial Geology

The west is characterized by thick glacial drift, and a paucity of outcrop. Indicated ice flow from evidence of glacial fluting, was from northeast towards southwest. Beneath this cover, there is sporadic outcrop of Devonian sedimentary rocks. .

The regional geological map on 1:250 000 scale, shows muskeg and little or no outcrop: the west underlain by Devonian sediments; the east by Archaean gneisses. Baycock's quaternary geology map indicates ice flow from northeast towards southwest, with some outcrop, particularly along the river. The area is a flat and generally featureless plain cut down the centre by the Slave River which runs from south to north in a shallow gorge, some 50 to 75 ft (15 to 25m) deep. Rocky outcrops occur in the river at Pelican, Cassette and Mountain Rapids.

5 Detailed Investigations

A review of the geology, aeromagnetics, regional gravimetry, satellite imagery, and air photogeology of the area was completed in 1992. Several bullseye anomalies occur, together with a distinct linear. These were initially regarded as a suitable target for a low-level airborne magnetometer survey. However, more detailed consideration suggested that field examination would suffice, as the coverage is adequate as a first pass.

5.1 Aeromagnetism.

With flight lines at 800m intervals, and flight elevation of 300m above very level terrain (topography variance of <100ft), the area has good aeromagnetic coverage, albeit unsuited to the small targets envisaged. The magnetic response is subdued, but of interest are:

5.1.1 A remarkably linear feature, of over 40km length, striking 110° from Fort Smith in the northwest to south of Tulip lake in the east, has a magnitude of 50nT above background of c60900nT, and is asymmetric in profile, with a low along the northern flank. Width is generally less than 1000m. Reference is made to this below as the DOG RIVER LINEAR.

÷. .

5.1.2 Several "bullseye" magnetic highs, few of which span more than two adjacent flight lines, have magnitudes of 30 to 120nT above background. Stronger anomalies, spanning several flight lines, and those aligned in a north-northeast direction, were discounted as manifestations of the subsurface preglaciation topography. It is pertinent that selected highs do not coincide with isolated topographic highs or lows. Density of anomalies is some 20 in 250km². Specific features which require further investigation are:

GRQ#1 6km southwest of Fitzgerald is a magnetic high within a broader high zone which trends northwest (against the regional presumed strike). The southernmost "bullseye" is of interest. Magnitude of the local high zone is 40nT, covering some 4000m by 800m. The bullseye is 40nT above this, over a 400m diameter circle.

GRQ#2 Centred directly on Fitzgerald is an isolated bullseye of 120nT magnitude covering 1500m by 1200m. This could be a function of basement proximity.

GRQ#3 3.5km west of GRQ#1 is an isolated bullseye of dimensions 80nT over a circle of 800m diameter.

GRQ#4 Directly south of the Dog River Linear Feature, 5km east of Fitzgerald, is a 30nT magnetic high, elongated 1500m north-south and 500m east-west.

GRQ#5 On the north flank of the peninsula south of Mountain Rapids is an isolated 40nT high of 500m diameter. A magnetic low of equal size lies to the north.

GRQ#6 6km directly east of Pelican Rapids is an isolated 50nT high of 800m diameter. Inflection of the magnetic contours suggests a low to the north.

GRQ#7 1500m due north-northwest of GRQ#5 is a 20nT high of 100m diameter.

5.2 Air photo interpretation studies

Detailed air photo interpretation, with some ground truthing, has been done. Aerial Photographs series AZ4 132-59 and 60 on (1:54000) (1975) and series 74M-40-1950-6815 160 6000-1663-100 and 101, and 160 5914-1854-71 and 72 (1:40000), were examined at the University of Calgary Library. Several features are notable:

> GRQ#1 On the north slope of a small knoll there is an ellipse of 200m long axis coinciding precisely with the GRQ#1 magnetic anomaly. GRQ#1a Along the magnetic ridge trending northwest from GRQ#1 is a second ellipse of 150m x 200m dimensions

> **GRQ#3a** Immediately south of the small lake north of GRQ#3 is a circular feature, similar to GRQ#1.

GRQ#8 On the Tethul River, 25km directly east of Fort Smith, is a small circular feature of 200m diameter, coinciding with a 10nT magnetic high, and sited on a photolinear, here interpreted as a fault.

The Dog River aeromagnetic linear feature was not seen on the photographs, save as an drainage alignment.

5.3 Gravity

The government gravity map is of too small a scale (1:250 000), with stations at too great distances apart (\pm 4miles) to give any more than a broad regional picture. However, there is a remarkable high reading (-38.8 milligals in a general background of -40.0 to -50.0 milligals) at FitzGerald itself. This is reflected in the outcrop rock density map which shows densities of 2.70 to 2.75 around Fitzgerald, in a regional background of 2.60 to 2.65g/cm³, but samples are too far apart for meaningful interpretations on a local scale.

6 Interpretations of the features seen

6.0.1 The Dog River Linear Feature is interpreted as a near vertical narrow dyke or perhaps fault or sulphide rich vein not recorded on any geological map of the area.

6.0.2 The northeast trending high on which GRQ#1 is situated could be a manifestation of an anomalous sulphide concentration associated with the unconformity at the base of the Devonian. That there is another, aligned, anomaly of greater magnitude (200nT) some 10km to the southeast, in Wood Buffalo National Park, lends some credence to this idea; reinforced further by the Stoney Island copper showing, 18km southeast of there, along the same contact zone. The zone is a good target for exploration, with the possibility that the circular aerial photograph features are geobotanical anomalies or even sulphide "kill-zones".

6.0.3 The "bullseyes" could represent isolated single or clustered vertical cylindrical pipelike bodies, typical of the pattern of occurrence of kimberlite pipes. Where elliptical aerial photograph features have been observed, they reinforce this concept.

6.1 Field Mapping

Two parties were used in a reconnaissance mapping exercise, the one concentrating on covering as much area as possible by vehicle, west of the Slave River, the other hitting at specific targets for investigation. This second party went mostly on foot or by helicopter to two more remote locations east of the river. Paucity of outcrop in the west precluded meaningful geological mapping, so work was confined to a sequence of magnetometer traverses. In the east, geological mapping was supplemented with geochemical soil sampling, stream sediment sampling for heavy minerals, slough bottom geochemical sampling, and magnetometer traverses. In the extreme southwest, there is outcrop of Devonian sedimentary rocks, and some reconnaissance geological mapping was possible.

Detailed mapping was done on the Portage quarry, where there is an interesting outcrop of black bull quartz, associated with a mafic xenolith in potassic granite gneisses. A chipped channel sample across this feature was taken, totalling twelve metres sampled in one metre intervals, and submitted for broad spectrum multi-element analysis by neutron activation. Results are disappointing.

<u>ि</u>

In an effort to establish more precisely the orientation of faulting associated with the Dog River Linear, some detailed mapping and structural element data collection was undertaken east of Beaver Lake. Jointing indicates that the feature is fault defined to the north and south, and these faults are vertical or near vertical.

6.2 Ground Magnetometery Survey

Exploratory traverses, undertaken with a 2m pole-mounted magnetometer, have been made at 10 m intervals over a number of different lines. A test VLF-EM traverse was also run along the Fred's Area Road.

Preliminary interpretations are favourable, indicating that the linear is the manifestation of a thin, tabular, steeply dipping or vertical, east striking body of high magnetic susceptibility, which penetrates to surface. The body could be a fault, containing a fair quantity of pyrrhotite, or similar highly magnetic material; or it is a mafic dyke. The traverses in the east (3 Pond Slough, east of Beaver Lake; at the Riversedge, opposite Fin island; along the river edge on the Fin Peninsula) are particularly dramatic, with peaks of over 700 gamma above background rising and dropping again within 50m. In the west, the peak is more subdued, generally of the order of 300 gamma, which would be consistent with the thick glacial and fluvial sedimentary cover.

6.3 Ground VLF-EM Survey

Test work demonstrates that the techniques are applicable to locating shear zones of this nature in the basement. A single traverse was made, along the same line of the magnetometer traverse in Fred's area.

6.4 Pilot Gamma Ray Survey

Two traverses were made using a hand held scintillometer. The technique found nothing of interest.

6.5 Pilot Geochemical Surveys

Two lines of samples, of the clay soil immediately beneath the humus layer, were taken, from a depth of 10cm, across the Dog River Linear at 10m intervals west of Beaver Lake. Although there are no exceptional values returned, it is of interest that the highest gold and arsenic values from any samples in the

district were returned from these 17 samples. Note that arsenic rises as high as 12ppm in the centre of the linear on one traverse, and to 15ppm on the second traverse. Gold values, which are generally below detection limits elsewhere in the project area, rise to 6ppb in these soil samples. Iron, antimony, barium, bromine, lanthanum, zinc and thorium are also markedly higher in these samples compared with the other samples from the area. The higher of only two nickel values (110ppm) in the area is reported in one of these soil samples.

A sequence of samples was taken from the bottom sediment of a slough which crosses the Dog River Linear west of Beaver Lake. These too are enriched in arsenic, antimony, barium, bromine, zinc; and to a lesser extent, iron, lanthanum and thorium, relative to the other samples taken in the area.

6.6 Pilot Stream Sediment Heavy Mineral Survey

A crude heavy mineral survey was conducted. Seven samples were drawn from gravel beds on the bottom of a stream which cuts across the Dog River linear east of Beaver Lake. Two of the samples were taken from upstream of the feature, two from on the feature, and three from downstream. The samples were coarsely sieved in the field to remove pebbles and vegetal matter, then carefully hand panned in the laboratory from the original 2kg sample down to a rough concentrate of a couple of grams. These final concentrates were submitted to Lakefield Research for optical microscope analysis. All samples are confirmaed as crustal in origin.

7 Expenditure

Physical expenditure on these permits was largely incurred in access to the area, using commercial flights out of Calgary, hotel accommodation and food for five party members while in Fort Smith, and renting a vehicle in Fort Smith. One day's helicopter time, and these items accounted for almost all the costs incurred. The balance claimed is largely in the form of unpaid labour; professional, technical and manual.

Claimed expenditure is detailed on a separate schedule.

8

1....

Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 In view of the Lakefield microscope test results, the likelihood of diamond bearing kimberlitic material occurring in either the GRQ bullseyes, or along the Dog River Linear is remote;

8.2 The Portage Quarry quartz vein and associated mafic xenolith and quartz stockwork contain no economic mineral concentrations of significance;

8.3 The carbonates outcropping in the extreme south, adjacent to the Wood Buffalo National Park, do not appear to contain any viable base metals;

8.4 There are interesting trace elements returned from the iron stained, sulphide flecked, gneisses within Fort Fitzgerald I. R. 196. The residents of the settlement should be apprised of this, and some follow-up work contemplated, but it is not recommended that E.J. Friesen and Associates Inc. become involved in this work, except in an advisory capacity if band members so desire;

8.5 The Magnetic response of the Dog River Linear is little short of phenomenal:

8.5.1 The profile suggests that it is a vertical or near vertical body, with thickness of less than 20m, penetrating from considerable depth to surface;
8.5.2 The detailed structural analysis confirms verticality and suggests that the feature is fault bound;

8.5.3 A sulphide dissemination alone would not cause such an anomalous feature, nor would a simple planar fault or narrow dyke;

8.5.4 A concentration of pyrrhotite or of magnetite seems to be a likely candidate;

8.5.5 The iron rich ochrous mud, and geochemical nickel and zinc anomalies associated with soil samples over the pilot sample area reinforce this view;
8.5.6 That the magnetic response is suppressed west, and sharp to the east, of the Slave River, indicates that it is a basement feature, covered by the glacial sediments to the west;

8.5.7 This is a primary target for further trenching and possibly diamond drilling work;

Page 15

PLATES



Figure 1 Sheared contact, greenstone xenolith in gneiss, Portage Quarry

Page 16

PLATES



Figure 2 White bull quatrz vein in gneiss, Portage Quarry

Page 17

PLATES



Figure 3 Dog River Linear - traversing in the Fred Area

I

Page 18

PLATES



Figure 4 Dog River Linear - traversing in the "Riversedge" area.

9 Certification

I, Adrian Gardiner MANN, undersigned, certify that:

9.1 I am a graduate of the Universities of London, England and Witwatersrand, South Africa;

9.2 I hold the degrees of:

Ph.D., M.B.A.,

B.Sc. (General Honours) in chemistry and geology, B.Sc. (Special Geology) (Honours);

- 9.3 I am a member in good standing of: Society of Economic Geologists, Geological Society of South Africa, Institution of Mining and Metallurgy, Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum;
- 9.4 I am registered: in Alberta as a Professional Geologist, in Britain as a Chartered Engineer;

9.5 I have practised continuously as a geologist since first I graduated in 1965. My experience was gained in central and southern Africa, south and north America;

9.6 The work presented in this report is a fair and honest reflection of the geology of the permits and their immediate surrounds;

9.7 The data on which opinions expressed in this report are made derive from:

9.7.1	Examination of the reference material cited;
9.7.2	Examination of data furnished by the company;
9.7.3	One field visit when certain exposures were
	sketch mapped and spot sampled;

9.8 I have a one third interest in these properties.

Adrian G. Mann Ph.D., P.Geol.,

Calgary, Alberta T2W 1A1. 11 August 1995

10 Figures



112 00'

111 00'

111 30'

111 00'

Figure 5A

Figure 5

Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure 11 Rev. 1

Figure 12 Rev. 1

Figure 13

Figure 14

Figure 15

Figure 16

Figure 17

Figure 18

11 Appendices

ſ

Γ

ſ

 \hat{t}_{\pm}

L.

11.1 Analytical Certificates

No ID	ST	Au	Ag	As	Ba	Br	Ca	Co	Cr	Ce	Fe	Hf	Hg	lr	Мо	Na	NI	Rb	8b	8c	9e	8m	8r	Te	Th	υ	w	Zn	ta 🛛	Ce	Nđ	Sm	Eu	ть	Υь	Lu
PORTA	GEQ	UARRY	- RIV	ERED	GE																															
1 A16	5 1	3	0	2.1	1 10	0	0	0	210	0	8.62	1	0	0	0	2.79	0	250	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	1.3	1.7	0	52	2.7	7	0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1
2 41	5 2	0	0	0.0	77	0	0	0	200	0	0.37	0	0	0	3	2.83	0	240	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.0	0	127	7.3	11	0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
JAT	5 3	0	0	1.0	78	0	0	0	200	0	1.48	2	0	0	21	1.97	0	150	0	3.2	0	0	0	0	2.4	4.2	0	137	4.3	12	0	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.4
4 4 5		0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	220	1	8.48	2	0	0	290	2.36	0	300	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	8.6	6.2	0	0	6.3	12	0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.2
5 A 1	5 5	0	0	0.9	120	0	0	0	190	0	0.40	2	0	0	3	2.22	0	230	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	4.1	4.4	0	0	4.7	10	0	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.2
0 4 12	5 6	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	230	0	0.83	1	0	0	0	2.05	0	250	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0.0	1.2	0	0	2.8	- 4	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.1
7 A.S	5 7	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	170	0	0.64	1	0	0	0	2.01	0	220	0	1.0	. 0	0	0	0	1.1	1.7	0	0	5.7	11	0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1
6 A 15	58	0	0	0.0	79	0	0	2	130	· 1	. 1.42	2	0	0	2	1.96	0	300	0	1.9	3	0	0	0	0.0	1.7	. 0	59	1.7	5	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.1
9 A 12	5 0	0	0	0.0	0	1.3	0	2	200	0	0.43	0	0	0	0	1.64	0	290	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	. 0	3.1	7	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11 A 🛍	5 10	0	0	0.7	200	0	0	0	170	0	0.32	3	0	0	0	2.18	0	290	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	2.8	3.8	0	Ó	6.0	15	ė	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2
12 A 15	5 11	0	0	0.0	0	0.9	0	1	230	. 0	0.34	1	0	0	21	1.22	0	170	0	8.0	0	0	0	0	1.9	3.1	0	0	1.8	0	0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1
10 ~ ~		v	v	0.0	Ŭ	1.3	v	v	100		0.34	~		Ŭ	120	1.01	0	200	U	0.8	0	U	U	U	4.1	5.7	0	. 0	4,0	10	5	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.1
PORTA	GE Q	UARRY	/ – NO	RTH F/	ACE 700			•	170	•	• 40		•	•	~				•			•	•	•		••	•	•								
15 4 1	L 14	ž	Ň	0.0	450			3	170	Ň	1.40	- 1	Ň	Š	, v	1.09		1/0		4.1	U O		v.		14.0	2.9	0	0	16.0	31	12	1.9	0.5	0.0	1.4	0.2
14 4 1	5 15		Ň	0.0	2000		Ň		170	~	0.45	3		~		2.06		1/0	U U	4.7	U U	0			17.0	4.2	0	0	24.0	- 30	12	2.0	0.7	0.0	1.0	0.2
	5 13	U.	U	0.0	200	1.2	U.		170	U	0.45	U	U	0	0	1.62	0	320	0	1.1	0	0	. 0	0	0.3	0.0	0	. 0	2.5	4	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.1
PORTA	GE O	UARRY	- 50	UTH FA	CE			_				_																								
17 J15	5 1	0	0	0.0	180	0	0	0	210	0	0.83	7	0	0	0	2.42	. 0	130	0.2	4.0	0	0	0	0	22.0	3.6	0	0	26.0	59	23	3.8	0.3	0.0	2.0	0.3
10 315	52	3	0	0.0	180	0	0	3	280	0	4.81	5	. 0	0	- 4	1.31	0	210	0	18.0	0	0	0	1.3	1.1	2.5	0	60	3.4	13	7	0.3	0.0	0.8	7.2	1.1
19 J15	i 3	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	160	0	0.60	0	0	0	0	2.07	0	220	0	1.4	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	3.7	7	0	0.3	0.0	0.0	8.0	0,1
21 J15	5 4	. 0	0	1.3	130	1.3	0	2	180	0	0.49	2	0	0	30	2.05	0	220	0	0.9	0	0	0	1.3	4,7	2.4	0	63	5.0	11	5	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.2
22 J15	5	· 0	0	0.0	260	0	0	0	200	0	0.36	0	0	0	0	2.18	0	230	0	1.3	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	5.6	9	0	0.4	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.1
23 J15	6	0	0	0.0	510	0.9	- 0	3	200	0	1.42	3	0	0	2	2.40	0	140	0	3.8	0	0	0	0	9.0	3.1	0	83	21.0	40	14	2.2	0.5	0.0	1.4	0.2
24 J15	7	0	0	0.0	460	1	0	. 2	220	O	0.86	0	0	0	0	1.73	0	3 10	0	1.3	0	0	0	0	1.4	0.0	0	0	5.5	9	5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
25 J 15	6	0	0	0.0	700	0	3	19	260	3	7.31	3	0	0	2	1.29	0	380	0	23.0	0	0	0	3.2	2.4	1.4	0	3 13	37.0	110	49	11.0	0.7	3.1	7.3	1.0
26 J15	9	0	0	0.0	170	1.3	0	2	220	0	1.89	0	0	0	0	1. 68	0	250	0	9,8	0	0	0	0	1.0	2.8	0	0	4.8	10	0	0.4	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.7
FORT F	ITZG	EPALD	- CĐ	AETAR		7.ES																														
27 FG	1	0	0	0.9	430	0	0	2	180	0	1.13	3	0	0	0	2.21	96	180	0.2	8.8	0	0	0	0	18.0	4.9	0	78	32.0	70	28	4.3	0.8	0.0	3.2	0.5
26 FG	2	0	0	0.0	300	0	0	2	140	0	0.57	3	ō	ō	3	2.38	Ō	180	0	1.6	ō.	ō	ō	ō	13.0	2.8	ō	õ	29.0	56	20	3.2	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.1
29 FG	3	0	0	0.0	330	0	. 0	0	270	0	0.85	0	0	0	2	0.89	Ō	130	0	3.4	Ō	Ō	Ō	Õ	3.5	1.3	Ö	Ö	10.0	24	0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.2	0.2
JUSTIN	rs s L	OUGH	- 50	AMP GE				1.E SU	RVEY																											
START	ATR	VERCO	ONFLU	ENCE	WORK	ING NO	ORTH T	O DO	GRIVE	RLINE/	RA																									
31 J1	0	5	0	5.9	310	32	0	5	28	0	1.20	2	٥	0	0	0.30	٥	0	0.3	3.3	٥	0	0	0	3.5	1.0	'n	100	12.0	18	0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.1
32 .11	1	Ō	ō	3.3	480	15	ŏ	- Ā.	57	2	1.45	Ā	ŏ	. ň	ŏ	0.69	ŏ	80	0.9		ā			n •		4.3	ŏ	107	28.0	83		10	10	0.0	0 1	0.4
33 J1	2	ō	ŏ	6.7	400	30	2	5	31	2	1.73	- Ā	ŏ	ŏ	· 3	0.45	ŏ	35	0.2	6.5	3	ŏ	ň	0.0	74	44	ň	197	22 0	44	21	20	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.3
34 J1	3	ō	ŏ	6.4	350	49	ō	5	36	3	2.66	à	ŏ	ă	ŏ	0.40	ō		0.4	5.9	ň	ŏ	ň	ň		5.2	ŏ	73	20.0	40	- <u>``</u>	2.5	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.9
35 JI	- 4	-	-				•	-		•		· •	•	•	•		•	•	•.•	0.0	•	•	•		4.0				20.0		•	2.0	0.0	0.0		
38.11	5	2	0	8.3	620	7.7	0	9	88	3	2.43		0	0	0	0.86	•		0.4	11.0	ń	•	•	•	10.0	12.0	•	173	58.0	120	47	7 3	9.0			
37 .11	Ā			8.0	270	88	ŏ		17	ň	1 07		ň	ň	ň	0.00	Ň	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.0	17	Ň	Ň	Ň	ŏ	24	20	Ň	120		20	7	7.3	2.0	0.0	3.5	0.0
38 .11	- 7	ň		4.6	490		ň	7	83	ň	1 78		Ň	ň	Ň	0.41	ň		~ ~	8.0	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	19.0	2.9	Ň		0.0	-			0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
39.11		ō	ŏ	7 4	230	AA	ŏ		10	ň	1 13	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	0.41	Ň		0.3	1.5	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	13.0	4.7	Ň	363	20.0	14	7	3.3	0.7	0.0	0.5	0.2
41 J1	ě	ŏ	ŏ	7.8	200	80	ŏ	5	19	ŏ	1.28	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	0.86	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	1.4	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	2.9	3.6	ŏ	173	5.9	12	á	1.0	6.3	0.0	0.5	0.4
													-	-	-		_	· .	-		-	-	-	-		•••					-			••••	•••	•••
10310	3 SL	DUGH	- EAS	SI IRAN	VEHSE	ACHO	ຮຮ GU	LLEY	JOING	NOHI	1	_		-	-						-						_									
42.2	1	3	0	2.0	1900	3.2	1	ġ	56	3	2.10	7	0	- 0	0	0.75	110	120	0.3	9.0	Q	0	Q	0	15.0	5.6	0	366	45.0	100	36	5.0	1.3	0.0	2.6	0.4
43.52	2	0	õ	3.5	850	6.2	0	7	63	3	2.43	, C	Ō	Q	0	0.75	0	94	0.5	9,1	0	0	0	1.1	18.0	10.0	0	124	45.0	91	36	5.7	1.4	0.0	2.5	0.4
44 12	3	. 0	0	4.0	870	13	0	10	59	3	2.01	8	0	0	0	0.71	0	56	0.3	9.1	0	0	0	2.3	16.0	9.7	0	350	55.0	1 10	- 44	6.7	1.6	1.0	2.3	0.4
45 12	4	3	0	5.7	720	10	0	7	67	3	2.88	. 6	0	0	0	0.74	0	64	0.3	10.0	0	0	0	0	23.0	15.0	0	88	100.0	190	78	12.0	2.5	1.7	3.0	0.4
46 J2	5	0	0	11.0	700	5.2	0	12	81	3	5.33		0	0	- 4	0.79	0	- 56	0.4	9.3	0	0	6 10	2	21.0	7.0	0	83	110.0	230	84	13.0	2.7	1.4	3.3	0.8
47 52	6	2	. 0	12.0	690	3	0		57	2	7.23	· 7	0	0	6	1.05	0	65	0.4	8.5	0	0	0	1.3	19.0	5,1	0	80	66.0	130	62	0.0	1.8	1.2	2.7	0.4
48 J 2	7	- 4	0	6.0	720	4.2	0	5	- 35	0	4.48	7	0	0	- 4	1.60	0	78	0	6.6	0	0	0	0	12.0	3.5	0	0	47.0	.89	35	5.6	-1.4	0.0	1.6	0.3
49 J2		5	0	3,1	620	2.8	0		20	0	2.09	7	0	0	1	1.77	0	110	0	6.3	0	0	5 10	0	9.2	1.5	0	0	29.0	66	22	3.5	1.1	0.0	1.4	0.3
51 J22	- 8	6	0	2.1	000	0	2	- 4	26	0	1.62		0	0	0	1.56	0	63	0.2	7.1	. 0	0	0	0	8.5	1.0	0	0	24.0	51	15	3.1	0.0	0.7	1.8	0.3
52 J2	10	0	0	2.6	1 100	0	0	0	34	1	1.50	14	0	0	0	2.21	0	120	. 0	8.0	0	0	• • •	0	10.0	0.0	0	0	30.0	63	27	3.7	1,4	0.0	1.8	0.4
JUSTI	rs sL	OUGH	– WE	ST TRA	VERSE)98 GL	ITEA	COING		н																									
53 JJ	1	Q	0	5.5	690	4	0	8	73	5	4.24	8	0	0	5	1.13	0	130	0.4	12.0	0	0	0	0	14.0	2.3	8	185	40.0	87	32	4.4	1.5	0.0	2.2	0.4
54 JB	2	2	0	10.0	1100	6.6	0	17	100	7	5.31	0	0	0	1	0.84	0	184	0.6	15.0	0	0	0	0	28.0	6.2	0	194	61.0	170	65	8.8	2.4	0.0	3.5	0.6
55 JB	3	- 4	0	10.0	1000	5.6	0	12	73	- 4	7.39	8	0	0	5	1.22	0	190	0	12.0	• •	0	0	2.3	21.0	8.7	. 0	0	72.0	140	58	8.8	2.2	0.0	3.2	0.5
56 33	4	2	0	15.0	1200	- 30	0	13	110	3	8.82	7	0	0	0	0.78	0	40	0	14.0	0	0	0	0	32.0	18.0	0	181	120.0	210	67	15.0	3.3	0.0	3.9	0.7
57 33	5	0	0	11.0	1300	14	0	11	150	6	10.10		0	0	0	0.90	0	94	0	21.0	0	0	0	0	71.0	4.9	0	243	430.0	750	350	53. 0	10.0	5.7	0.5	1.5
56 J3	0	0	0	6.5	940	. 14	, O	9	89	5	3.41	0	0	0	0	0.93	· . O	140	0.6	14.0	0	0	0	0	28.0	23.0	0	136	110.0	220	100	14.0	9.0	1.6	4.1	0.7
59,03	7	0	0	8.7	1000	7.8	0	- 14	- 98		4.23	7	0	0	0	0.62	0	130	1.3	15.0	0	0	0	0	22.0	10.0	0	160	61.0	156	64	10.0	2.7	0.0	4.2	0.8

·····

presented in the second

· -----•

7

·····

<u>63</u>

JUNE 12, 1995

ED FRIESEN W.O. 9532-95 SAMPLE TYPE: Rock + Soil

÷.,.

1

SAMPLE #	SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE #	SAMPLE ID
1	A15-1	31	J1-0
2	A15-2	32	J1-1
3	A15-3	33	J1-2
4	A15-4	34	J1-3
5	A15-5	35	J1-4
6	A15-6	36	J1-5
7	A15-7	37	J1-6
8	A15-8	38	J1-7
9	A15-9	39	J1-8
11	A15-10	41	J1-9
12	A15-11	42	J2-1
13	A15-12	43	J2-2
14	A15-13	44	J2-3
15	A15-14	45	J2-4
16	A15-15	46	J2-5
17	J15-1	47	J2-6
18	J15-2	48	J2-7
19	J15-3	49	J2-8
21	J15-4	51	J2-9
22	J15-5	52	J2-10
23	J15-6	53	J3-1
24	J15-7	54	J3-2
25	J1 5-8	55	J3-3
26	J15-9	56	J 3-4
27	FG-1	57	J3-5
28	FG-2	58	J3-6
29	FG-3	59	J3-7

Note: Insufficient sample for analyses on Sample # 35, Sample ID J1-4.

Fort Smith May/95

		aio	ries	1110.		Fax (403) 250-82	65	~		RP	ng	IR	ю	КА	MI	RB	53	SC.	SI	sn	SR	TA
Sample description	PPB	AG PPN	AS PPH	BA PPM	BR PPN	1	PPN	PPN	PPN	` \	PPH	PPH	PPB	PPX	•	PPN	PPN	PPN	PPH	PPH	PPN	PPM	• PPH
1	3	<5	2.1	110	<0.5	<1	<1	210	<1	0.62	1	<1	<5	<1	2.79	<22	250	<0.1	0.8	<3 <3	<100 <100	<500 <500	<0.5 <0.5
2	<2	<5	<0.5	77	<0.5	<1	<1	200	<1	1.48	2	<1	<5	21	1.97	21	150	<0.1	3.2	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
3	<2	<5	1.8	∕¤ ≪50	<0.5	<1	<1	220	1	0.48	2	<1	<5	290	2.36	<20	300	<0.1	0.7	<3	<100	<\$00	<0.5
5	<2	<5	0.9	120	<0.5	<1	<1	190	<1	0.40	2	<1	<5	3	2.22	<20	230	<0.1	0.8	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
6	<2	<5	<0.5	<50	<0.5	<1	<1	230	<1	0.83	1	<1	<5	<1	2.05	<20	250	<0.1	0.9	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
7	<2	<5	<0.5	<50	<0.5	<1	<1	170	<1	0.64	1	<1	<5	<1	2.31	<21	220	<0.1	1.0	<)	<100	<500	<0.5
8	<2	<5	<0.5	79	<0.5	<1	2	190	1	1.42	2	<1	<5	2 ~1	1.84	<20	290	<0.1	0.7	<	<100	<500	<0.5
9 11	<2	<5 <5	<0.5 0.7	<50 200	1.3 <0.5	<1	<1	170	<1	0.32	3	<1	<5	<1	2.10	<20	290	<0.1	0.7	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
	-3		-0.5	~50	0.9	د1	1	230	<1	0.34	1	<1	«S.	.51	1.22	<20	170	<0.1	0.8	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
13	<2	<5	<0.5	<50	1.3	<1	<1	180	· 1	0.34	· 2	<1	<5 "	120	1.81	<20	250	<0.1	0.6	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
14	<2	<5	<0.5	790	0.9	<1	3	170	<1	1.40	4	<1	<5	<1	1.89	<20	170	<0.1	4.1	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
15	2	<5	<0.5	680 260	<0.5	<1 <1	4 <1	170 170	<1 <1	1.50 0.45	3 <1	<1 <1.	<5 <5	<1	1.82	<20	320	<0.1	1.1	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
10		.,			••	-								-1	2 42	-21	120	0.2	4.0	· ~1	<100	<500	<0.5
17	<2	<5	<0.5	180	<0.5	<1	<1	210	<1	0.83	5	<1	<5	4	1.31	<22	210	<0.1	18	<3	<100	<500	1.3
18	3	<5	<0.5	180	<0.5	<1 <1	ر دا	160	<1	0.60	<1	<1	<5	<1	2.07	<20	220	<0.1	1.4	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
21	<2	<5	1.3	130	1.3	<1	2	180	<1	0.49	2	<1	<5	30	2.05	<20	220	<0.1	0.9	<3	<100	<500	1.3
22	<2	<5	<0.5	280	<0.5	<1	<1	200	<1	0.36	<1	<1	<5	<1	2.16	<20	230	<0.1	1.3	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
23	<2	<5	<0.5	510	0.9	<1	3	200	<1	1.42	3	<1	<5	2	2.40	<20	140	<0.1	3.6	3	<100	<500	<0.5
24	<2	<5	<0.5	460	1.0	<1	2	220	<1	0.86	<1	<1	. <>	<1	1.73	<20	310	<0.1	23	<3	<100	<500	3.2
25 .	<2	<5	<0.5	700	<0.5	3	19	260	د 1>	1.89	ر دا	<1	<5	<1	1.68	<20	250	<0.1	9.6	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
27	<2	<5	0.9	430	<0.5	<1	2	180	<1	1.13	3.	<1	<5	<1	2.21	98	180	0.2	6.8	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
3.	-3	~5	<0.5	300	<0.5	<1	2	140	<1	0.57	3	<1	<5	3	2.38	<20	180	<0.1	1.6	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
29	<2	<5	<0.5	330	<0.5	<1	<1	270	. <1	0.86	<1	<1	<5	2	0.89	<20	130	<0.1	3.4	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
31	5	<5	5.9	310	32	<1	5	28	<1	1.20	. 2	<1	<5	<1	0.30	<31	. <15	0.3	-3.8	<3	<100	<500	<0.5 0.9
32	<2	<5	3.3	480	15 30	<1 2	4	57 51	2	1.45	4	<1	<5	3	0.45	<21	35	0.2	5.5	3	<100	<500	<0.5
	~ 2,					-			·		-	~1		<u>_</u> 1	0.40	<28	<15	0.4	5.0	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
34	<2	<5	6.4	350	47	<1	9	86	3	2.43		<1	<5	۲» دا	0.86	<23	60	0.6	11	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
J0 17		<5	6.0	270	86	<1	6	17	<1	1.07	<1	<1	<5	<1	0.08	<28	<15	<0.1	1.7	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
38	<2	<5	4.8	480	56	<1	7	53	3	1.78	4	<1	<5	<1	0.41	<31	61	0.3	5.9	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
39	<2	<5	7.4	270	86	<1	6	10	<1	1.13	<1	<1	<5	<1	0.08	<30	<15	<0.1	1.5	< 3	<100	1300	
41	<2	<5	7.8	280	90	<1	5	10	<1	1.28	<1	<1	<5	<1	0.08	<32	<15	<0.1	1.4	<3 <3	<100 <100	<500 <500	<0.5 <0.5
42	3	<3	2.0	1000	3.2	1		58	د ۲	2.43	ć	<1	<5	<1	0.75	<20		0.5	9.1	<1	<100	<500	1.3
43	<2	<3	3.5	630	- 13	<1	10	60	3	2.81	6	<1	<5	<1	0.71	<20	56	0.3	9,.1	<3	<100	<500	2.3
45	. 3	<5	5.7	710	10	<1	7	67	3	2.88	- 6	<1	<5	<1	8.74	<21	64	0.3	10	<3	<100	<500	<0.5
	e7	<5	11	700	5.2	<1	12	61	3	8.33	6	<1	<5	° 4	0.79	<24	68	0.4	9.3	<3	<100	610	2.0
47	2	<5	12	690	3.0	<1	8	57	2	7.23	7	<1	<5	. 5	1.05	<20	65	0.4	8.6	<3	<100	<500	1.3 م م
48	4	<5	6.0	720	4.2	· <1	5	35	<1	4.48	7	<1	<5	4	1.60	<20	76	<0.1	•. •	< J 	<100	610	<0.1
49	5	<5	3.1	620	2.6	<1	4	28	<1	2.09	7	<1	< 3 25	د. ۱۰	1.96	<20	110	0.2	7.1	<	<100	<\$00	<0.1

42008 - 10 Street N.E. Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2E 6K3 Tel (403) 250-1901 Fax (403) 250-8265

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Work Order: 9532-95

CanTech I	ahon	ator	ies .	Inc.	Fax	(403) 25	0-8265					
	u	v	SN .	LA	CE	ND	SH	EU	TB	YB	LU	Hass
Semple description	PPM	PPN	PPH	PPN	PPM	PPN	PPN	PPM	PPN	PPH	PPN	
	1.7	« 1	52	2.7	7	<5	0.3	<0.2	<0.5	0.6	0.07	20.00
1	<0.5	<u>~1</u>	127	7.3	11	<5	0.5	<0.2	<0.5	0.2 <	0.05	
2	4.2	~1	137	4.3	12	<5	0.6	<0.2	<0.5	2.2	0.35	20.00
3	9.2	~1	<50	6.3	12	<5	1.1	<0.2	<0.5	1.1	0.21	20.00
4	8.2	<1	<50	4.7	10	<5	0.7	<0.2	<0.5	1.4	0.22	20.00
5												20 00
	1.2	<1	<50	2.8	4	<5	0.2	<0.2	<0.5	0.0	0.00	20.00
•	1.7	<1	<50	5.7	11	<5	0.4	<0.2	<0.5	0.0	0.00	20.00
7	1.7	<1	59	1.7	5	` <5	0.2	<0.2	<0.5	1.1	0.14	20.00
	<0.5	<1	<50	3.1	7	<5	0.1	<0.2	<0.5	<0.2 <	0.05	20.00
9	3.0	<1	<50	8.0	15	8	0.6	<0.2	<0.5	0.8	0.18	20.00
11								_			~ ``	20.00
••	3.1	<1	<50	3.8	9	<5	0.4	<0.2	<0.5	0.8	0.14	20.00
12	5.7	<1	<50	4.6	10	5	0.7	<0.2	<0.5	1.0	0.19	20.00
13	2 9	2	<50	16	31	12	1.9	0.5	<0.5	1.4	0.24	20.00
14	4.7	~1	<50	24	50	12	2.8	0.7	<0.5	1.0	0.17	20.00
15	<0.5	<1	<50	2.5	4	<5	0.2	<0.2	<0.5	0.5	0.11	20.00
16												20 00
	3.8	<1	<50	26	59	23	3.8	0.3	<0.5	Z.0	0.34	20.00
17	2.5	<1	60	3.4	13	7	0.3	<0.2	0.6	7.2	1.06	20.00
18	-0.5	~1	<50	3.7	7	<5	0.3	<0.2	<0.5	0.8	0.11	20.00
19	20.5	~1	63	5.0	11	5	0.7	<0.2	<0.5	1.1	0.20	20.00
21	-0.5	~1	~50	5.6	9	<5	0.4	<0.2	<0.5	0.6	0.09	20.00
22	<0.3	~•			-					· · ·		
	2 1	~1	83	21	40	14	2.2	0.5	<0.5	1.4	0.23	20.00
23	-0.6	1	<50	5.5	9	<5	0.4	<0.2	<0.5	0.2	<0.05	20.00
24	2.4	~1	113	37	110	49	11	0.7	3.1	7.3	1.04	20.00
25	3.4	~1	<50	4.6	10	<5	0.4	<0.2	<0.5	4.9	0.74	20.00
26	2.0		76	32	70	26	4.2	0.6	<0.5	3.2	0.45	20.00
27	•••	~										
	7.8	<1	<50	29	58	20	3.2	0.6	<0.5	0.3	0.05	20.00
28	1.3	21	<50	10	24	9	1.6	0.5	<0.5	1.2	0.19	20.00
29	1.5	~ ~ 1	130	12	19	<5	1.8	<0.2	<0.5	0.8	0.14	2.203
31	1.0		107	26	53	23	3.2	1.0	<0.5	2.1	0.37	20.00
32	4.3		197	22	44	21	2.9	08	<0.5	1.5	0.27	10.00
33		~~							·			< 000
• 4	5.7	(>	73	20	40	<5	2.6	0.5	<0.5	1.3	0.23	16.000
34	12	<1	173	58	120	47	7.3	2.0	0.9	3.5	0.37	12.00
36		1	138	6.8	20	9	0.9	0.3	<0.5	<0.2	<0.05	4.000
37	2.7	~1	95	26	61	16	3.3	0.7	<0.5	1.3	0.20	5.000
38	4.7	<1	263	7.0	14	7	0.9	<0.2	<0.5	0.5	<0.05	3.000
39	•••											
		· <1	173	5.9	12	6	1.0) 0.3	<0.5	0.5	0.06	3.000
41	5.0		386	45	100	36	5.6	\$ 1.3	<0.5	2.6	0.41	20.00
42	3.0	1	124	45	91	38	5.7	7 1.4	<0.5	2.6	0.40	20.00
. 43	10		280	55	110	44	6.1	7 1.6	5 1.0) 2.3	0.42	20.00
44	9,7		350	100	190	78	12	2.5	5 1.7	/ 3.0	0.44	20.00
45	15	<1		100			2-					
· · ·				110	230	84	13	2.	7 - 1,4	1 3.3	0.60	20.00
46	7.0		. د بو	64	130	52	8.	0 1.	B 1.2	2 2.7	0.43	20.00
47				47		35	5.	6 1	4 <0.	5 1.8	0.25	20.00
40	3.5			,	64	22	3.	5 1.	1 <0.5	5 1.4	0.20	20.0
49	1.5			· 47	51	15	3.	1 0.	9 0.'	7 1.6	0.30	20.0
51	1.8	I <]	< 21	U 24								

					f		•			11 I.					;,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					<i></i>				
CanTech L	abor	rato	ries	Inc	4 0 1 1 2. F	2008 - 1 Calgary, / 22 6K3 fel (403) fax (403)	0 Street I Alberta, C 250-190 250-826	v.E. anada 1 5		Wor		rder	:95	32-9	5								·	
Sample description	AU PP B	AG PPH	as PPN	BA PPM	BR PPN	сл 1	CO PPN	CR PPM	CS PPM	FE 1	RP PPM	EG PPN	IR PPB	HO PPN	XA V	NI PPM	RB PPN	SB PPN	SC PPM	se Ppm	SN PPK	SR PPN	та Ррн	te PPK
52	<2	<5	2.6	1100	<0.5	<1	<1	34	1	1.50	14	<1	<5	<1	2.21	<29	120	<0.1	8.0	<3	<100	<500	<0.5	10
53	<2	<5	5.6	890	4.0	<1	8	73	5	4.24		<1	<5	5	1.13	<30	130	0.4	12	<3	<100	<500	<0.5	14
54	2	<5	10	1100	6.6	<1	17	100	7	5.31	9	<1	<5	<1	0.84	<32	180	0.6	15	<3	<100	<500	<0.5	28
55	4	<5	10	1000	5.6	<1	12	73	.4	7.39	8	<1	<5	5	1.22	<33	100	<0.1	12	<3	<100	<500	. 2.3	21
56	2	<5	15	1200	30	<2	13	110	3	8.82	7	<1	<5	<1	0.78	<73	40	<0.2	14	<3	<100	<500	<0.9	32
57	<3	<5	11	1300	14	<2	11	150	6	10.1	8	<1	<5	<1	0.90	<58	94	<0.1	21	<3	<100	<500	<0.9	71
	<2	<5	6.5	940	14		9	89	5	3.41	,	<1	<5	<1	0.93	<32	140	0.8	14	<3	<100	<500	<0.5	28
59	<3	<5	8.7	1000	7.4	<1	14	98	6	4.23	7	<1	<5	<1	0.82	<32	130	1.3	15	<3	<100	<500	<0.5	22
											•					•								
Sample description	U PPM	W PPM	3n PPM	LA PPN	CE PPN	ND PPN	SM PPN	EU PPM	TB PPM	YB PPN	LU PPM	Hass g									· •			•
52	<0.5	<1	<50	30	63	27	3.7	1.4	<0.5	1.8	0.35	20.00												
53	2.3	6	109	40	87	32	4.4	1.5	<0.5	2.2	0.37	20.00												
54	8.2	<1	194	81	170	69	8.8	2.4	<0.5	3.5	0.58	20.00												
55	6.7	<1	<50	72	140	58	. 8.6	2.2	<0.5	3.2	0.53	20.00												
56	16	<2	181	120	210	87	15	3.3	<0.5	3.9	0.68	5.000												
57	49	<2	243	430	790	350	53	10.0	5.7	9.5	1.54	15.00												
58	23	<1	136	110	220	100	14	3.0	1.5	4.1	0.70	20.00												
59	10	<1	160	81	150	66	10	2.7	<0.5	4.2	0.64	20.00												

.

·

LAKEFIELD RESEARCH

A Division of Falconbridge Limited

185 Concession Street Postal Bag 4300 Lakefield, Ontario Canada K0L 2H0 Tel: (705)-652-2038 FAX: (705)-652 6365

То:	Ed Friesen	Company:	Friesen and Assoc.
From:	Nicki McKay	Fax No:	403-278-2638
Date:	June 28/95	Reference:	8900-922

This transmission consists of 17 page(s) including this one.

Ed:

Please find enclosed the results of indicator mineral analysis of your seven panned concentrates.

No chrome pyropes or chrome diopsides were found. At least 10 orange garnets (possible eclogitic almandine) were selected from each sample. Crustal garnets can also be orange in colour. Electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) is required to distinguish crustal from mantlederived garnets.

At least 8 oxide grains (tentatively identified as ilmenite) were selected from each sample. One black octahedron (tentatively identified as chromite/chrome spinel) was selected from Sample A 01S. These minerals can also occur in crustal rocks. Kimberlitic affinity of these grains can only be determined by EMPA.

I am enclosing a copy of a paper by Dan Schulze of the University of Toronto discussing the recognition and significance of indicator minerals. A good reference list is in the back. Needless to say there is much written on this subject.

I called Chicoutimi, and my friend there who handles the analyses (less expensive oncs) will be out of the office until Tuesday. Our other contact in London, Ontario (more expensive analyses) is on holiday for another week and a half. I will contact you next week when I have more information. I won't be in the office until Wednesday, but Greg will be here and is familiar with your results.

Regards

1

f -

-

: .

-

Project: 8900-922

June 28, 1995

Indicator Minerals

	Indicator Minerais										
Sample	Ch	tome Pyrope	Orange Gernet	Chlome	limenite	Cr spinel/					
8	Purple	Red	(poss. aclogilic)	Diopside		Chromita					
ACON	•	•	10 (>15)	•	9	•					
A01N	-	-	10 (>15)	-	7	•					
A03N	•	•	10 (>50)	•	10 (>50)	-					
A04N	•	-	10	- -	9	•					
A018	-	•	10 (>15)	•	9	1					
A025	•	-	10 (>15)	-	10 (>15)	•					
ROIE	-	•	10 (>15)	-	8	-					

Note: 20 (>100) - indicates 20 grains were selected, but >100 were present in sample.

PUL DI.VALAV LALACANTOI LAFA I LEG AA I TI.AA I PULPETER DEAFULAN

A Division of Falconbridge Limited 185 Concession Street, Postal Bag 4300 Lakefield, Ontario, Canada KOL 2H0 Tel: (705) 652-2000 Fax: (705) 652-6365

July 24, 1995

E.J. Friesen and Associates Inc. #8 Lake Placid Rise S.B. Calgary, Alberta CANADA T2J 5B5

Attention: Mr. Ed Friesen

Re: LR Project No. 8900-922 Indicator Mineral Analysis

Dear Ed:

Please find enclosed the results of the quantitative electron microprobe analysis of selected oxides and garnets from your seven panned concentrates (12 grains total).

Mineral compositions of ilmenite and magnesian almandine garnet were typical of crustal derivation. No grains of kimberlitic affinity were identified.

The six orange garnets were high in iron, and too low in magnesium, calcium and titanium to be classified as eclogitic almandine-pyrope.

The five ilmenite grains were low in magnesium (0.07 to 0.14% MgO); ilmenites associated with kimberlites typically measure 4-15% MgO. Two additional oxide grains (from samples A02S and A04N) were identified as rutile (TiO₂) and detailed analyses were not performed. The single octahedral grain (possible chromits/chrome spinel) from sample A01S was determined to be a zinc spinel.

The analyses are attached. If you have any questions, do not hesitate to call me. I wish you luck in your further exploration efforts!!

Best regards, LAKEFIELD RESEARCH

N.A. McKay, M.Sc., Mineralogist

8900-922

Electron Microprobe Analyses - Friesen and Associates

Samola		0:00	1000									
Cample	Grain	3102	1102	AL203	Cr2O3	FeO	MgO	MnO	CaO	ZnO	SUM	identification
ADON	Gamet	37 58	0.00	22.22	0.00							1
	Ovida	01.00	0.05	22.23	0.00	33.08	5.02	0.56	1.93		100.49	Magnesian Almandine
40411	One	0.01	51.32	0.00	0.00	47.28	0.12	0.43	0.00	0.00	99 16	Ilmenite
AUTN	Garnet	37.45	0.06	21.30	0.00	31.73	4.84	1.11	245		00.57	
	Oxide	0.00	50.45	0.00	0.00	42.95	0 11	5.25	240	1	89.57	magnesian Almandine
adsn	Gamet	38.18	0.05	22 77	0 M	29.67	7 70	5.23		0.01	98.77	Ilmenite
	Oxide	002	50 10	0.00		20.07	1.10	1.13	1.24		99.81	Magnesian Almandine
AGAN	Ovide	0.02		0.00	0.00	47.11	0.14	1.87		0.00	99.33	limenite
A046											1	Rutile
M13	Gamer	37.46	0.08	22.54	0.00	30.72	6.47	0.51	2 19		00.07	
	Oxide	D.00	50.56	0.00	0.00	47,59	0.07	1.07		0.00	00.07	Maynesian Almandine
	Spinel	0.00	0.00	57.41	0.15	28.00	2.40	0.00		0.02	89.31	limenite
A02S	Garnet	37 53	0.00	22.26	0.00	20.00	3.10	0.03		8.57	98.22	Zinc Spinel
•	Oxide	07.00	0.05	22.30	0.00	32.00	5.10	0.82	2.11		100.01	Magnesian Almandine
ROIE	Gamet	36.85	0.00	21.05								Rutile
	Orida	00.05	0.09	21.00	0.01	32.51	4.66	0.79	2.81		99.57	Magnesian Almandine
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Toyne	0.00	51.83	00.00	0.00	46.74	0.08	0.37		0.05	99.07	Imenite

11 Appendices

ſ

[....

that we have a

i Jun

11.2 Personnel Involved

The following personnel were involved

Name and address

Ed Friesen

ł

Γ

4-2-

Calgary AB T2J 5B5

Adrian Mann

Calgary, AB T2W 1A1

Justin Snelling

Okotoks, AB TOL 1T2

Rudy Friesen

Okotoks, AB TOL 1T2

John Martinuk Englewood, Colorado 80111 Position

party chief

chief geologist

geologist

worker

worker

11 Appendices

1

114

. .

11.3 Detail of Expenditures

		Summary		Dates Wedne	sday May 10 to Mo	nday May 15
						inday indy to
	FortFi	tzgerald - Fort Smith -	May 95			
			Days	Position	Rate	Costs
					\$ / Day	
Personnel involved	·		6	party chief	\$400.00	2400
			5	worker	\$200.00	1000
			6	geologist	\$400.00	2400
			6	geologist	\$400.00	2400
			6	worker	\$200.00	1200
Preparation			5	nady chief	£400.00	
				party cilier	\$400.00	2000
			2	aeologist	\$200.00 \$400.00	400
			2	geologist	\$400.00 \$400.00	800
			2 ·	yeologist	\$400.00	800
			4	WUIKEI	⊅∠ 00.00	400
Office			12	orolect manager	\$400.00	4800
			20	geologist	\$400.00	8000
Office equipment	computers plotters					1500
	photocopy					

1

12514.5

Total

40614.5

Fort Fitzgerald Expedition Expences

ſ

÷

l L

[

٤.,

May-95

Pelical Rapids Inn Ft Smith		136 9.6
Visa Truck Rentals		641.73
Canadian freight		170
Great Slave Helecopters		1928.23
Park and Jet		25.68
Costco photo		15.08
Pine Crest Restaurant		90.37
Portage Restaurant		43. 3 2
Northern Fort Smith		32.09
North of 60 books		16.48
Bakery		23
safeway photo		6.84
Greens pan		14.45
Bakery		21.75
Bakery		25.35
Portage Restaurant		102.45
Bakery		12.5
Bakery		26.25
Bakery		26.25
Bakery		44.95
Bakery		81.37
Bakery		7.25
Portage Restaurant		149. 34
Wally's Drug Ft Smith		19.56
Northern Fort Smith		96.73
Costco bars		20.82
Shell Ft Smith		48
Revy		19.8
Canadian Tire		42.05
Revy		97.3
Ribtor		41.62
Campers Village		45. 4 7
Ft Smith		41.3
Link Hdwre		11.75
chest waders		200
CanTech Lab		1138.69
Lakefield Research		774.5
long distance phone calls		25
magnetomrter		214
scintelometer	1	100
VLF-EM		100
Airline ticket 5@91	2.71	4563. 55
travel to airport / return		\$40.0 0

Total

12514.5