## MAR 19680148: NORTHERN ALBERTA

Received date: Dec 31, 1968

Public release date: Jan 01, 1970

#### DISCLAIMER

By accessing and using the Alberta Energy website to download or otherwise obtain a scanned mineral assessment report, you ("User") agree to be bound by the following terms and conditions:

- a) Each scanned mineral assessment report that is downloaded or otherwise obtained from Alberta Energy is provided "AS IS", with no warranties or representations of any kind whatsoever from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Energy ("Minister"), expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, no warranties or other representations from the Minister, regarding the content, accuracy, reliability, use or results from the use of or the integrity, completeness, quality or legibility of each such scanned mineral assessment report;
- b) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable laws, the Minister hereby expressly disclaims, and is released from, liability and responsibility for all warranties and conditions, expressed or implied, in relation to each scanned mineral assessment report shown or displayed on the Alberta Energy website including but not limited to warranties as to the satisfactory quality of or the fitness of the scanned mineral assessment reports and warranties as to the non-infringement or other non-violation of the proprietary rights held by any third party in respect of the scanned mineral assessment report;
- c) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents, exclude and disclaim liability to the User for losses and damages of whatsoever nature and howsoever arising including, without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, consequential, punitive or incidental damages, loss of use, loss of data, loss caused by a virus, loss of income or profit, claims of third parties, even if Alberta Energy have been advised of the possibility of such damages or losses, arising out of or in connection with the use of the Alberta Energy website, including the accessing or downloading of the scanned mineral assessment report and the use for any purpose of the scanned mineral assessment report.
- d) User agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents against and from any and all third party claims, losses, liabilities, demands, actions or proceedings related to the downloading, distribution, transmissions, storage, redistribution, reproduction or exploitation of each scanned mineral assessment report obtained by the User from Alberta Energy.

Alberta

**Alberta Mineral Assessment Reporting System** 

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF:

George	s.	Splane	1
--------	----	--------	---

COPY NO: 2

....

19680148 ECONOMIC MINERALS FILE REPORT NO. S-RE-182(1)

# PHOTOGEOLOGICAL STUDY SULPHUR PROSPECTING PERMIT NO. 182

NORTHERN ALBERTA

Prepared For George S. Splane August, 1968

# J. C. SPROULE AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

OIL AND GAS ENGINEERING AND GEOLOGICAL CONSULTANTS

1009 FOURTH AVENUE S.W. CALGARY - ALBERTA

TELEPHONE 269-7951

INDEXING DOCHMENT NO. 700566

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		60
INTRODUCTION		1
BEDROCK GEOLOGY AND TOPOGRAPHY		2
MODE OF OCCURRENCE AND RELATIONSHIP OF SULPHUR		
TO GENERAL GEOLOGY		3
PHOTOGEOLOGICAL STUDY WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR	•	
FIELD EVALUATION		7
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		8

#### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	1	-	Map of North-Central Alberta showing	
			Sulphur Prospecting Permits	

Figure 2 - Photogeological Mosaic, Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 182, Darling Creek Area, Alberta In Pocket

Dano

In Pocket

### PHOTOGEOLOGICAL STUDY SULPHUR PROSPECTING PERMIT NO. 182 NORTHERN ALBERTA

#### INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared at the request of Mr. George S. Splane. The request made was for a photogeological analysis of your Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 182, integrated with other available geological information. A map of north-central Alberta, Figure 1, shows the general geological setting of your Permit and its relationship to other sulphur permits in the area. Figure 2 represents the results of this preliminary study on a photogeological mosaic.

The immediate objective of this photogeological study was to identify from the study of aerial photographs those areas that, from our present knowledge, would be the most favourable for the occurrence of sulphur. Such areas could then be examined in the field to determine the presence or absence of sulphur.

Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 182 totals approximately 19,840 acres.

#### BEDROCK GEOLOGY AND TOPOGRAPHY

Permit No. 182 is located in an area underlain by Cretaceous rocks. The truncated edge of the Cretaceous, where its contact with the Paleozoic is exposed at the surface, lies about 60 miles up the regional stratigraphic dip, in a north-northeast direction. The thickness of Cretaceous above the Paleozoic within the Permit area is over 1,000 feet.

The Cretaceous rocks consist of shales, sandy shales and sandstones. These rocks are poorly consolidated and bentonitic in character. The underlying Devonian rocks consist of limestones, dolomites, shales and evaporites. Outcrops of bedrock are sparse.

The bedrock in the general area is overlain by a variable thickness of glacial till, glacio-lacustrine and recent deposits.

The surface of Permit No. 182 slopes very gently in a northwesterly direction. The average ground elevation is approximately 1,800 feet. Drainage of the area is by way of Darling Creek, which also flows to the northwest where it joins the Panny River just beyond the boundary of the Permit. In general, however, the area is poorly drained.

### MODE OF OCCURRENCE AND RELATIONSHIP OF SULPHUR TO GENERAL GEOLOGY

- 3 -

4

Within the general area of interest in which sulphur prospecting permits have been issued (Figure 1), the principal bedrock formations are of Cretaceous age, but, to the north and northeast, erosion has stripped off the Cretaceous beds exposing older Devonian rocks. The Devonian, as well as overlying Cretaceous rocks, are generally inclined gently westward into the Alberta Syncline so that progressively younger rocks of both Paleozoic and Mesozoic ages are present in that direction.

The bedrock, whether of Devonian or Cretaceous age, is overlain by a variable thickness of glacial and related sediments. The thickness of these overlying sediments is generally at a minimum in those areas where river valleys are deeply incised and greatest in the hilly portions of the area.

The surficial geology of the area is of particular interest to the subject of possible economic occurrences of sulphur because of the probability that any economic deposits in this area are in surficial deposits.

The last glacier to cover the subject area was of Wisconsin age. Dating by 'carbon 14' method indicates that this advancement over the region occurred over 31,000 years ago. Ice flow features on the Glacial Map of Canada indicate that this glacier came from an area west of Hudson Bay. The thickness of the glacier is estimated to have been approximately 5,000 feet and the average direction of flow in the subject area was to the west-southwest.

The general absunce of terminal moraines and other ice marginal features indicates that the retreat of the glacier was mainly by rapid stagnation. Deadice moraine forms the surface or underlies lacustrine deposits over much of the regional area. As the glacier retreated, the lowland to the north was blocked by ice and meltwaters and could not drain freely. Extensive proglacial and superglacial lakes were formed, resulting in the deposition of glacio-lacustrine deposits that vary in thickness from a few inches to fifty feet or more.

- 4 -

It is, at this time, not clear as to what extent the sulphur is developed in surficial deposits and to what extent it may be present within the stratified deposits of the Cretaceous and/or Devonian, but both modes of occurrence may exist. The most likely commercial deposits are, however, probably surficial.

Sulphur occurrences in the general region may be broken into three groups, as follows:

- (1) In muskeg or other poorly drained lacustrine or "dried lacustrine" areas. The most important known apparent example of this type is the Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 8 discovery occurrence.
- (2) Deposits of elemental sulphur in connection with active springs with or without associated gas. One such known occurrence involved gas, which was, at least in part, combustible.
- (3) Cretaceous shales in the area frequently contain finely disseminated sulphur. Although we know of no reported concentrations &f significant size from the Cretaceous, the possibility of such economic occurrences cannot be entirely eliminated.

In many parts of the general area, burnt shales have also been reported. These usually appear to be associated with recent slumps, possibly because the slumping brings the combustible material, which may include sulphur, in contact with the surface. The origin of the combustion is, at this time, purely conjectural, but lightning produced forest fires are the most likely cause.

-----

6

We are not prepared, at this time, to enter into detailed discussions of theories of the origin of the sulphur because of the large number of presently uncertain factors in this new area. Studies in the area are, however, likely to yield substantial information over the coming field season. Meanwhile, theories of origin from Paleozoic connate waters or from bedded Devonian and other gypsum and anhydrite deposits are of principal interest.

The manner of occurrence of sulphur deposits will determine whether they can be mined at the surface by stripping or from deeper strata by the Frasch process.

Solution of many of the questions of origin and occurrence is of prime economic importance and should be given very detailed attention as the present permit areas are evaluated.

Meanwhile, it is of general interest to the overall sulphur problem in this region that continuous flowing sulphur springs have been known in the area for nearly 200 years and that such springs are still known along a broad area along the Mesozoic-Paleozoic surface geological contact that extends from Western Saskatchewan, through the McMurray oil sands area, and across the present region of sulphur permits into the southern part of the Northwest Territories near Pine Point and westward along the Liard River. It is of further possible significance that there appears to be genetic relationship between these sulphur occurrences and the McMurray oil, which has a four percent to five percent sulphur content. In addition to this, there is considerable free sulphur associated with the McMurray oil sands, both within and outside of the oil saturated area. As a measure of the amount of sulphur already known to have been deposited, probably from the same type of connate waters that can be expected to have deposited the sulphur under study, we might refer to the "reserve" of the sulphur in the McMurray oil sands. Most recent estimates indicate that there is over 600 billion barrels of oil-in-place in the McMurray oil sands. This oil contains four to five percent of sulphur weighing approximately eight to ten billion long tons.

The above and other evidence available would indicate that what is needed to produce an economic sulphur deposit in this area is a favourable combination of faults and fractures for sulphate spring exits, sulphur supply in the connate waters and poorly drained lacustrine or other flat basin areas immediately adjacent to the spring exits. All these individual circumstances are known to exist. Under the proper combination of circumstances, there is no <u>definite</u> limit to the amount of sulphur that could be formed. Whether or not, or where, commercial deposits are present remains to be seen.

#### PHOTOGEOLOGICAL STUDY WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FIELD EVALUATION

- 7 -

Aerial photographs of Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 182 were examined stereoscopically for the purpose of selecting general areas of interest that, from our present knowledge, would appear to be most favourable for the occurrence of sulphur. We believe that these occurrences would most likely be located at or near the surface.

Strong photo alignments, interpreted as representing bedrock faulting or fracturing, are the dominant structural features in the general area. These alignments assume a general northwest trend. Also developed within the Permit area are a number of depressional features that currently contain muskegs and a series of small lakes. When associated with prominent faults or fractures these catchment basins are considered prospective for sulphur accumulation.

Within Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 182 the following areas are of interest. Field checking of these areas, including shallow augering and sampling of selected locations, is recommended.

<u>'Area 1'</u>, <u>'Area 2'</u> and <u>'Area 3'</u> are located in that portion of Permit No. 182 that is underlain by glacio-lacustrine deposits. This general region is characterized by a lighter tone on the aerial photographs. Prominent depressional features plus abundant fracturing makes these three areas especially interesting.

<u>'Area 4'</u> and <u>'Area 5'</u> occur in an arcuate trend in the south and eastern parts of the Permit. These small lakes and muskegs are believed to be developed on glacial till as interpreted from the heavy vegetative cover and darker tones on the aerial photographs. While examining these areas in the field, particular attention should be given to those features occurring immediately adjacent to fractures.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8 -

From our overall sulphur studies in the general area, we conclude that the most likely sources of commercial sulphur are surficial deposits.

We also conclude, from our regional studies of the sulphur prospects of the subject area, that surficial deposits of sulphur are likely to occur in muskegs, lakes, or abandoned lacustrine depressions, with particular reference to such areas that are along or adjacent to fractures and/or faults responsible for sulphate water springs.

We have determined that a number of such features show well on the air photographs and these areas have been outlined on the accompanying photogeological mosaic, Figure 2.

In view of the above, it is recommended that a field check be made of the localities that have been indicated by the photogeological study to be prospective. This field check would be that indicated as Step 1 of Phase II in our letter of July 18, 1968.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Mr. George S. Splane and is not to be reproduced in whole or in part in any form without the written permission of J. C. Sproule and Associates Ltd.



S. R. L. Harding, P. Geol.

1009 Fourth Avenue S.W., Calgary 2, Alberta. August 13, 1968 VAF/SRLH/fc

J. C. SPROULE AND ASSOCIATES LTD. -

### SULPHUR PROSPECTING PERMIT No. 182





	A	CRES		No	. T	R	1.1	II	SSUE	0		HOL	DER		19	-
	3	9.680		127	. 9	3 1	0 1	4 30	- 1.		Tab					State of
	1	9,200		128	. 11	6	2 5	5 30	- 1.	-6.8	Hur	4	5 = 1.	92.12		19,58
alte	a 9	9,840		129	. 10	7 1	4 5	30	- 1.	-6.8	C.E	Do	1.84	12.08	Sec. 2	3,24
4	9	9,520		130	. 10	7 1	1 5	5 30	- 1.	-68	Abt		ani	Sec. Mar	1550	3 + 22
	9	7,230		131	. 11	3 1	4 5	30	- 1-	-68	H.P	. KI	110	-	2	0 04
	5	9,520		132	. 9	7 5	3 5	30	- 1-	-6.8	H.P	105	110	-		
	3	9,680		133	. 10	1 1	3 5	30	- 1-	.58	J.F	Gr	inn			0 00
dwid	ie 3	8,400		134	. 10	1 5	5 5	30	- 1-	.68	A. G	Ha	eal	12 39		0 50
	1	9,840		135	. 11	6 3	3 5	. 30	- 1-	68	Bat	a Re	s out	-		6 00
	1	9,840		136	. 11	3 1	1 5	30	- 1-	.58	Bat	a Ret	S Dur	PCAR.		0 04
g.	3	8,400		137	. 10	1 11	1 5	30.	- 1-	68	G.L	. K1			Sal.	3 00
atr.	1	9.840		138	. 12	0 1	1 5	30.	- 1-	88	Hon	t cla	in	212	1	0 00
	4	7,360		139	. 12	5 11	1 5	30.	- 1-	68	Hon	tela	1. 11			0 0-
her	1	9,840		140	. 12	6 10	1 5	30.	- 1-	68	Kon	telat	5.00			0 00
XI - W	91	9,840		141	. 12	5 10	5	30.	- 1-	6.8	Kon	tela	1.		1	0 0 -
nd	1	9,840		142	. 12	6 11	5	30.	- 1-	68	Non	telai	1 T		10.1	9.04
nd	1	9,840		143	. 11	3 4	5	30-	- 1-	68	H. P.	. Ki	1101	an.	-	0.04
	3	9,840		144	. 10	8 11	5	7-	- 2-	88	Vest	-	Lan	2	1	
		8,560		145	. 10	1 3	5	7.	- 2-	6.8	3. 3	Super		1.		3 2 2 1 2
etr.	-	9,840		146	. 12	4 3	5	7.	- 2-	68	A101	can dr	Pa P		2	5 40 GC
		0.040		147	. 10	0 11	5	7-	- 2-	68	Spar	t an	Dev		10/27	7,840
	1	0,040		148.	, 11	9 1	5	7-	- 2-	68	D. A.	Can	apbe	11	1 24	0,000
	10	040		149.	. 91	8 11	5	7-	- 2-	5 8	H.P.	KII	lor		1	
1		1040		150,	. 11:	2 13	5	7-	2-1	68	R. S	uper	ata.	10		2040
1		,040		151.	. 99	9 10	5	7-	2-1	68	R. S	uper	ste	in	20	
	10	, 640		152.	109	3 14	5	7-	2-1	68	R. S	uper	ste	in	10	.040
	00	,040		153.	101	3 12	5	7-	2-1	68	1110	Bui	ldi	0.4	39	600
Sun				159.	111	8	5	7-	2-1	58	Ni lo	Bui	141	ne	30	5 8 8 8
Sup	60	.000		195.	2.95	5	5	7-	2-1	68	Milo	Bui	161	n e	30	6 80
11	32	280		100.	101	1	5	8-	2-8	58	Rang	er O	11	15 24	19	
lian	39	.6 20		157.	104	12	5	8-	2-6	58	Rang		11		19	840
	80	.000		108.	83	5	4	8-	2-6	5.8	H.L.	Ban	tin	R	19	
	10			159.	105	11	5	12-	2-6	5 8	Abid		OI	18	19	
	10			160.	94	1	5	12-	2-6		Abid	onne	Of.	18	19	840
				161.	115	1	5	12-	2-6	58	Abid	onne	01.	15	18	.560
ada	10	-		102.	99	17	4	12-	2-6	58	Impe	rial	OI!	1 Ent	99	.8=0
ada	1.			100.	104	13	5	12-	2-6	58	Abid	onne	OI	la	19	. 840
ada	19	240		10 4.	113	3	5	12-	2-6	8	Abid	onne	011	La la	19	.840
ada	19			160	111	3	2	16-	2-6	8	Payt	o Oi.	ls		19	.840
ada	11	520		167	110		3	16-	2-6	8	Buff.	alo I	Mina	rals	19	.840
	39	.6 80		169	100		2	18-	2-8	8	Herit	tage	Hol	lå.	39	.680
ver	19	. 840		100.	200	-	1	21-	2-0	8	G. N.	Cost	tt le	r	59	,520
d .	39	040		10.9*	110	1	5	21-	2-6	8	Peyto	0 01	1.8		19	. 840
	39	6.80		170.	120	2	5	21-	2-6	8	D. A.	Camp	bel	1	19	. 840
	19	.840		171.	28	13	5	21	2-8	8	Ameri	aba			19	. 840
st	18	.560		172+	98	16	5	21-	2-6	8	Amera	da			19	.840
r	99	. 840		1730	117	7	5	21-	2-6	8	Farme	T. (	Chem	1e -	19	.840
	3	.840		1740	116	1	3	37-	2-6	8	Farme	TS C	hes		19	.840
al	12	,160		175.	107	15	5	21-	2-6	9	D.L.	Hope	and the second		19	. 840
	19	,840		176.	119	1	5	26-	2-5	8	R. Th	onas			8.	320
r	39	,6 80		177.	101	11	-	28-	3-6	8	R.E.	Harr	is		19.	840
ines	19	,840		170.	120	10	-	26-	2-61		ARCec	011	6	Ges	19	840
ines	19	,840		100	101	14	2	27-	2-61	6	G. Lo	nn			19,	840
laes	19	, 840		1.81	110	13	2	21-	2-61	8	G. Lo	nn			18,	840
ines	19,	,840		183	011	11	2	3-	3-61		Syrac	use			19,	840
nes	19,	. 840		183	106	19	5	21-	3-61		.s.	Spla			19,	840
nes	19	840		184	200	13	0	21-	3-61	1	arco	12.54			39,	680
nes	19	840		185	05	2		29-	3-61		sincl	air			99,	840
nes	19	840		186	07	1		29-	3-01		sincl	air			97,	280
nes	19	840		187	0.0	-		29-	3-00		sincl	air			98,	\$60
	19,			188	80	0 1		29-	3-68		sincl	sir		1000	96,	334
	19	. 840		189.	90	9		29-	3-64		lincl	air			99,	840
1		21		190	97	10		20	9-08		incl	alr			73,	069
15	2 1	1 3	104	191	90	11	31	20-	3-08		incl	air			99,	137
1	1000	E.		192	102	10	33.5	20-	3-08		incl	air			98,	560
	-	and the second second			405	20 4		£ 3 m	3-08	5 5	incl	AIT			00	20.0

19680148

FIGUREI

(Duplicate)

: 103

102

101

100

99

98

93

89

: 87

184

TOTAL ACREAGE - 6.845,952

PERMIT IS NOT SHOWN ON THIS - LANDS SURROUNDED BY SULPHUR PERMITS AND STILL AVAILABLE I.R - INDIAN RESERVES - NO DISPOSITION LINE THROUGH PARTICULARS

INDICATES PERMIT CANCELLED.

MAINTAINED UP TO DATE FREE OF CHARGE TO ANYONE AT ALL TIMES

FIELD TITLE SERVICE 560 GUINNESS HOUSE CALGARY Tel. 263-3750 Scale: I in. = 24mi.







REPRODUCTION OF THIS ILLUSTRATION, OR ANY OF THE INFORMATION ON IT, IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORITY OF J.C.SPROULE AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

182

SURFICIAL DEPOSITS

LEGEND

¥

Muskeg Alignment interpreted to indicate

faulting or fracturing in bedrockAREA 1,2 etc.Areas of interest discussed in reportIB2Sulphur prospecting permit outline





PREPARED FOR GEORGE S. SPLANE

APPROXIMATE SCALE IN MILES

THIS IS A SEMICONTROLLED MAP-MOSAIC AND SHIOULD NOT BE MISTAKEN FOR AN ACCURATE GEOGRAPHIC BASE

J.C. SPROULE AND ASSOCIATES LTD. CALGARY, ALBERTA

AUGUST 1968