## MAR 19690070: ALBERTA

Received date: Dec 31, 1969

Public release date: Jan 01, 1971

## DISCLAIMER

By accessing and using the Alberta Energy website to download or otherwise obtain a scanned mineral assessment report, you ("User") agree to be bound by the following terms and conditions:

- a) Each scanned mineral assessment report that is downloaded or otherwise obtained from Alberta Energy is provided "AS IS", with no warranties or representations of any kind whatsoever from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Energy ("Minister"), expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, no warranties or other representations from the Minister, regarding the content, accuracy, reliability, use or results from the use of or the integrity, completeness, quality or legibility of each such scanned mineral assessment report;
- b) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable laws, the Minister hereby expressly disclaims, and is released from, liability and responsibility for all warranties and conditions, expressed or implied, in relation to each scanned mineral assessment report shown or displayed on the Alberta Energy website including but not limited to warranties as to the satisfactory quality of or the fitness of the scanned mineral assessment reports and warranties as to the non-infringement or other non-violation of the proprietary rights held by any third party in respect of the scanned mineral assessment report;
- c) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents, exclude and disclaim liability to the User for losses and damages of whatsoever nature and howsoever arising including, without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, consequential, punitive or incidental damages, loss of use, loss of data, loss caused by a virus, loss of income or profit, claims of third parties, even if Alberta Energy have been advised of the possibility of such damages or losses, arising out of or in connection with the use of the Alberta Energy website, including the accessing or downloading of the scanned mineral assessment report and the use for any purpose of the scanned mineral assessment report.
- d) User agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents against and from any and all third party claims, losses, liabilities, demands, actions or proceedings related to the downloading, distribution, transmissions, storage, redistribution, reproduction or exploitation of each scanned mineral assessment report obtained by the User from Alberta Energy.

Alberta

**Alberta Mineral Assessment Reporting System** 

SPROULE P GEOL S.R.L. HARDING, P. GEOL. - FREDDENT S.R.L. HARDING, P. GEOL. - VICE-PRESIDENT A.N. EDGINGTON, P. ENG. - VICE-PRESIDENT C.A.S. BULMER, P. GEOL. N.A. CLELAND, P H.A. GORRELL, P. GEOL.

G.R. ALDERMAN, P. GEOL. J.W. BAKHOVEN, P. GEOL. E.D. BIETZ, P. ENG. M.J. BRUSSET, P. ENG. J.R. CHILTON, P. GEOL. D.J.B. MARSHALL, P. ENG.

Ref.: 2.707.1483.4

Mr. J. B. Duff, Aztec Oil and Gas Company, 1090 Elveden House, 717- 7 Avenue S. W., Calgary, Alberta.

Dear Mr. Duff:

## Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 178 Re:

During the past year we have conducted preliminary field examinations of Sulphur Prospecting Permits for a number of clients. Samples collected in the field have been analyzed for sulphur content by Chemical and Geological Laboratories Ltd. and our recommendations to clients have been based on the results.

Late in 1968 one of our clients arranged for check analyses of samples from Sulphur Prospecting Permits to be made by several other laboratories and the results showed that many of the reported higher sulphur values could not be confirmed. Indeed, there were alarming discrepancies between the results. In general, and particularly with the muskeg samples which were high in organic material, the Chemical and Geological Laboratories results were much higher than the results from other laboratories. We have discussed this situation with the managers of the several laboratories and it appears that errors in analyses for elemental sulphur can develop in samples with high organic content. In other words, it is possible that organic materials or paraffins may be recorded as sulphur.

The only previous analyses of samples from Permit No. 175 with elemental sulphur analyses higher than 2.17 percent were those for Sample 178-1-1 at 2 feet with 15.55 percent and Sample 178-1-3 at 4 feet with 59.27 percent. We took the liberty of having check analyses of these two samples made by Loring Laboratories Ltd. and the results showed 4.64 percent element sulphur and 8.21 percent elemental sulphur respectively. A copy of the Loring report is attached.

The two Permit No. 175 samples with the reported higher sulphur values were muskeg material and we are now convined, on the basis of widespread check analyses, that the previous analyses must be strongly discounted.

February 7, 1969

CABLE ADDRESS "SPROULE" ONTARIO REPRESENTATIVE

1157 GREENDAKS DRIVE, CLARKSON, ONTARIO TELEPHONE 822-7059 AREA CODE 416

AUSTRALIAN REPRESENTATIVE DATA ANALYSIS PTY. LTD. 261 GEORGE STREET, SYDNEY, N.S.W. 2000 TELEPHONE 27-7698

ECONOMIC MINERALS

FILE REPORT No.

S-AF-178(3)

CALGARY 1 ALBERTA A. E. MACKAY



GEOLOGICAL & ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS

1009 FOURTH AVENUE S.W.

P.O. BOX 2525

In conclusion, we now consider the analysis of 8.21 percent sulphur for the sample at location 178-1-3 to be the only significant analysis from your permits. This value is somewhat higher than might be expected from soil samples in this area. Nevertheless, we consider the possibility of locating a commercial deposit of sulphur on Permit No. 178 sufficiently remote that we hesitate to recommend the expenditure of any further exploration effort.

2 -

If you wish, we will obtain for you analyses from a third laboratory in Winnipeg or Vancouver prior to your making a final decision on the disposition of Permit No. 178. We believe the renewal date is February 26, 1968.

Yours very truly,

J. C. SPROULE AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

S. R. L. Harding, P. Geol.

## SRLH/fc Encl.

i, i iz

et esta

 $A^{CC}$ . T 35 SPROULE 3. To: \_\_\_\_ . 0. Box 2525 Calgary, Alberta



File No. \_\_\_\_1594\_\_\_\_\_ Date January 9, 1969 Samples reject soils

LORING LABORATORIES LTD.

629 BEAVERDAM RD., N.E., CALGARY 67 PHONE 277-6797

0×

SAMPLE No.	Elemental %S	
178-1-1-2'	4.64	in an
178-1-3-4*	8.21	
	. These assays for elemental sulphur were analysed by the aniprocedure, outlined by Low, Weinig, & Schoder.	line
		• • •
	I Merchy Certify that the above results are those assays made by me upon the herein described samples	

Provincial Assayer of British Columbia

unless specific arrangements made in advance.