MAR 19680105: NORTHERN ALBERTA

Received date: Dec 31, 1968

Public release date: Jan 01, 1970

DISCLAIMER

By accessing and using the Alberta Energy website to download or otherwise obtain a scanned mineral assessment report, you ("User") agree to be bound by the following terms and conditions:

- a) Each scanned mineral assessment report that is downloaded or otherwise obtained from Alberta Energy is provided "AS IS", with no warranties or representations of any kind whatsoever from Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Energy ("Minister"), expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, no warranties or other representations from the Minister, regarding the content, accuracy, reliability, use or results from the use of or the integrity, completeness, quality or legibility of each such scanned mineral assessment report;
- b) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable laws, the Minister hereby expressly disclaims, and is released from, liability and responsibility for all warranties and conditions, expressed or implied, in relation to each scanned mineral assessment report shown or displayed on the Alberta Energy website including but not limited to warranties as to the satisfactory quality of or the fitness of the scanned mineral assessment report for a particular purpose and warranties as to the non-infringement or other non-violation of the proprietary rights held by any third party in respect of the scanned mineral assessment report;
- c) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents, exclude and disclaim liability to the User for losses and damages of whatsoever nature and howsoever arising including, without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, consequential, punitive or incidental damages, loss of use, loss of data, loss caused by a virus, loss of income or profit, claims of third parties, even if Alberta Energy have been advised of the possibility of such damages or losses, arising out of or in connection with the use of the Alberta Energy website, including the accessing or downloading of the scanned mineral assessment report and the use for any purpose of the scanned mineral assessment report so downloaded or retrieved.
- d) User agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the Minister, and the Minister's employees and agents against and from any and all third party claims, losses, liabilities, demands, actions or proceedings related to the downloading, distribution, transmissions, storage, redistribution, reproduction or exploitation of each scanned mineral assessment report obtained by the User from Alberta Energy.



ECONOMIC MINERALS FILE REPORT No.

S-AF-116 (1) S-AF-117 (1) S-AF-118 (1) S-AF-120 (1) S-AF-121 (1) S-AF-123 (1) S-AF-125 (1) S-AF-125 (1)

REPORT ON FIELD EXAMINATION

STALL LAKE MINES LIMITED

SULPHUR PERMITS NOS. 116 TO 125

NORTHERN ALBERTA 19680105

700480 700481 700483 700483 700485 700486 700486 700487 700 488 INDEXING DOCUMENT NOS 700489

				PREPARED
FOR	THE EXC	LÜSI	E USE	OF:

S	ta	1	1	La	ke	Min	20	Limi	ted

COPY NO: 1

REPORT ON FIELD EXAMINATION STALL LAKE MINES LIMITED SULPHUR PERMITS NOS. 116 TO 125 NORTHERN ALBERTA

> Prepared For Stall Lake Mines Limited November, 1968

J. C. SPROULE AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

REPORT ON FIELD EXAMINATION

STALL LAKE MINES LIMITED

SULPHUR PERMITS NOS. 116 TO 125

NORTHERN ALBERTA

The field work on these permits has been carried out at the request of Mr. G.B. White acting for Stall Lake Mines Limited. The work was authorized under our letter agreement of May 28, 1968 and the request made was that J.C. Sproule & Associates Ltd. should investigate and sample representative locations as described in the pertinent paragraphs of our May 28th letter. We quote:

"Phase II - Field Geological and Sampling Program"

We are prepared to place a party in the field beginning in June, to conduct a geological and sampling program. This program would include a limited amount of shallow auger testing, the results of which would influence our recommendations for a subsequent auger or drilling program. This party will move by helicopter in order to facilitate travel and to permit the geological party to land at points previously identified on the photomosaics.

We propose that Phase II would be conducted in two steps. As a first step, the field party would check strategic and representative points as indicated on the photogeological study. This step could be done at an estimated cost of \$800 per permit, or \$8,000 for all ten permits."

The field work has been conducted by Mr. N. Soul. The field notes describing the samples taken are as follows: We quote:

Area No. 2

Hole No. 1 - S 1/2 9-103-10 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No. Depth Sulphur Sample

116-2-1 8 15.64 Sandy organic clay.

Hole No. 2 - S.W. 29-103-10 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No. Depth Sulphur Sample

Ft. %

Trace Sandy organic clay.

Area No. 3

Hole No. 1 - W 1/2 22-104-10 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample .
116-3-1	8	Trace	Alluvium.

Permit No. 117

Area No. 1

Hole No. 1 - W 1/2 14-103-11 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample
117-1-1	4 8	Trace	Brown sandy clay.
117-1-1		Trace	Brown sandy clay.

Hole No. 2 - S.E. 36-102-11 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample
117-1-2	4	Trace	Wet muskeg.
117-1-2	8	Trace	Wet muskeg.

Area No. 1

Hole No. 1 - W 1/2 26-103-11 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No. Depth Sulphur Sample Ft. %

118-1-1 8 Trace

Hole No. 2 - N.E. 4-104-11 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No. Depth Sulphur Sample

Ft. %

118-1-2 8 Trace Muskeg and lacustrine.

Sandy alluvium.

Permit No. 119

Area No. 2

Hole No. 1 - E 1/2 28-104-11 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample
119-2-1	8	Trace	Alluvium.

Permit No. 120

Area No. 5

Hole No. 1 - N.E. 30-104-12 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	<u>Sample</u>
120-5-1	4	Trace	Grey clay.
120-5-1	8	Trace	Grey clay.

Area No. 1

Hole No. 1 - Ctr. 34-104-22 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample .
121-1-1	4	Trace	Grey clay.
121-1-1	8	Trace	Grey clay.

Area No. 2

Hole No. 1 - S.W. 14-104-22 W. 4 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample_
121-2-1	8	2.11	Brown muck.

Permit No. 122

Area No. 4

Hole No. 1 - S.W. 9-102-1 W. 5 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample
122-4-1	4	10.35	Yellowish grey clay.
122-4-1	8	Trace	Yellowish grey clay.

Permit No. 123

Area No. 3

Hole No. 1 - Ctr. 4-103-1 W. 5 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample
123-3-1	4	4.10	Brown muck to five feet. Grey clay.
123-3-1	8	Trace	

Area No. 1

Hole No. 1 - N.W. 4-103-2 W. 5 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample
124-1-1	4	Trace	Brown clay, strong
124-1-1	8	22,94	Brown clay, strong sulphurous odour.

Permit No. 125

Area No. 1

Hole No. 1 - S.W. 26-102-3 W. 5 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	<u>Sample</u>
125-1-1	4	Trace	Brown muck.
125-1-1	8	Trace	Greenish grey clay.

Area No. 2

Hole No. 1 - N.E. 7-102-2 W. 5 M. Depth, 8 feet.

Sample No.	Depth Ft.	Sulphur %	Sample
125-2-1	4	3.96	Dry muskeg.
125-2-1	8	Trace	Dry muskeg.

The sample locations are given on the accompanying Figures 1 and 2 and the related analyses by Chemical and Geological Laboratories Ltd. constitute Appendix I attached hereto.

With reference to these results, it will be observed that analyses made of all samples taken from Sulphur Permits Nos. 116 to 121, 123 and 125 show only traces or very small percentages of sulphur. The material penetrated was mostly clay, but included muskeg debris and alluvium. These are normally rather high in

sulphur in lake or depressional areas where sulphur is known. That being the case, it is reasonably certain that Sulphur Permits Nos. 116 to 121, 123 and 125 do not contain occurrences of native sulphur in sufficient quantities to justify further exploratory activity, with exceptions as indicated below.

We quote from our letter proposal of May 28, 1968: "If the early field check should show the encouraging presence of sulphur, we would proceed with the second step of the field study, which would involve more extensive field studies and sampling of prospective areas. This second step of Phase II is estimated to cost an additional \$2,150 to \$2,500 per Permit, or \$0 to \$20,000 for ten Permits, depending upon the number studied. You would, of course, be advised of the preliminary results of Phase II before being required to authorize Step 2 of Phase II."

An alternative solution is stated in our letter of May 28, 1968, the pertinent statement is herein quoted: "On the other hand, your promising Permits might also be turned over to any other party who may wish to own same, by returning costs to Stall Lake Mines."

The samples collected from Hole No. 122-4-1, Permit No. 122, analysed trace to 10.35 percent sulphur. This showing occurs within a small muskeg adjacent to a few other slightly larger muskegs, which may provide large enough areas to develop encouraging reserves. In addition, a higher sulphur analysis has been recorded from the Permit immediately south of Permit No. 122. In consequence, therefore, a portion of this Permit, occupying the southeastern corner, has been outlined for further attention. The size and configuration of this recommended area allows for the possible northeastward extension of the large muskeg area that crosses the southern boundary of Permit No. 122.

On Permit No. 124, one sample analysed at 22.94 percent sulphur (Hole No. 124-1-1; depth, eight feet) and the test is located in an extensive muskeg. This particular muskeg is surrounded by abundant large and small muskeg areas which, it is believed, should be examined. Thus, we feel that Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 124 should be retained and subjected to the second step of Phase II, as per our proposal of May 28, 1968. In order to retain control of potentially interesting 'basins' during this subsequent exploration period, it is recommended also that those portions of Sulphur Permits Nos. 122, 123 and 125 (outlined on Figure 2), which lie adjacent to Permit No. 124 and which contain likely looking catchment basins, be retained as partial Permits.

In consequence, therefore, we recommend retaining Sulphur Prospecting Permit No. 124 and portions of Sulphur Prospecting Permits Nos. 122, 123 and 125, as shown on Figure 2. Permits Nos. 116, 117, 118, 119, 120 and 121 should be abandoned and a request for return of deposits should be made by the Company.

We will be pleased to submit an estimate of the cost of conducting an adequate exploration sampling program over the areas recommended for retention at such time as a complete analysis of costs and performance of the past season's operations have been completed.

H.B. Lyall, P/ Geol.

J.C. Sproule, P. Geol.

1009 Fourth Avenue S.W., Calgary 2, Alberta. November 14, 1968. HBL:JCS:1d1

CHEMICAL & GEOLOGICAL LABORATORIES LTD.





Date Reported: October 29, 1968

Laboratory Report Number: C68-4213-2

J. C. SPROULE & ASSOCIATES LTD.

Kind of Sample: Soil Date Received: October 18, 1968

SAMPLE NUMBER	FEET (% by)	AL SULFUR Weight on Sample)
125-2-1	3.9	96
122-4-1	10.:	35
121-1-1	L 4	ace
123-3-1	1 4.:	LO
124-1-1	l Tra	ace
125-1-1	Land the state of	ace .

With Joursey

CHEMICAL & GEOLOGICAL LABORATORIES LTD.



Date Reported: October 11, 1968 Laboratory Report Number: C68-4156

J. C. SPROULE & ASSOCIATES LTD.

Kind of Sample: Soil

Date Received: October 3, 1968

		ELEMENTAL	SULFUR
SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH IN FEET	(% by Weight on Dry Sample)	(% by Weight on Wet Sample)

116-2-1	8	15.64	8.42
116-2-2	8	Trace	Trace
116-3-1	8	Trace	Trace
117-1-1	4	Trace	Trace
117-1-1	8	Trace	Trace
117-1-2	4	Trace	Trace
117-1-2	8	Trace	Trace
118-1-1	8	Trace	Trace
118-1-2	8	Trace	Trace
119-2-1	8	Trace	Trace
120-5-1	4	Trace	Trace
120-5-1	8	Trace	Trace



















